



Peer-Review Statements

Boniesta Zulandha Melani¹, Saprizal Hadisaputra², Santi Farmasari³, Lalu Rudyat Telly Savalas⁴, Zhenyu Zhang⁵, Na Jiang⁶, Mimi Nahariah Azwani Binti Mohamed⁷

¹English Education, University of Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia
boniestamelani@unram.ac.id

²Chemistry Education, University of Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia

³English Education, University of Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia

⁴Chemistry Education, University of Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia

⁵College of Business, Murdoch University, Australia

⁶Faculty of Education and Science, Shangrao Normal University, People's Republic of China

⁷Institute of Social Transformation and Regional Development, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the Seventh International Conference on Education and Social Sciences (7th ICESS 2025) during 9th – 10th of October 2025 in Mataram, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were double-blind reviews. Each submission was examined by two reviewer(s) independently.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability based on the conference theme. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables .

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

2 Key Metrics

Total submissions	45
Number of articles sent for peer review	37
Number of accepted articles	20
Acceptance rate	54,05%
Number of reviewers	7

3 Competing Interests

We would like to declare that neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the committee have any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

