



Peer-Review Statements

Atul Agnihotri^{1*}, Prince Karndeeep Singh Sandhu², Ajay Kumar³

¹ Khalsa College of Engineering and Technology, Amritsar

² Khalsa College of Engineering and Technology, Amritsar

³ National Institute of Technology, Delhi

Editor-in-Chief of BEDAIML-2026

Email: draa.kcet@gmail.com

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the International Conference on Bridging Engineering Disciplines with AI and Machine Learning (BEDAIML-2026) during March 20–21, 2026 in Amritsar, India. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Technical Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were conducted using a double-blind peer review process. Each submission was examined by at least two independent reviewers.

The conference submission and review management system used was Google Forms / Email-based review system.

All submissions were initially screened for relevance, originality, and overall quality. Suitable manuscripts were then assigned to reviewers based on their domain expertise, ensuring no conflict of interest.

A paper was considered for acceptance only if it received favourable recommendations from reviewers. Authors were required to revise their manuscripts based on reviewer comments, and final acceptance was granted after satisfactory revision.

To ensure fairness and quality:

- Reviewers were assigned papers outside their institution
- Plagiarism checks (Turnitin) were performed
- Ethical standards and academic integrity were strictly maintained

© The Author(s) 2026

A. Agnihotri et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the Conference on Bridging Engineering Disciplines with AI and Machine Learning (BEDAIML 2026)*, Advances in Intelligent Systems Research 209,

https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6239-697-5_1

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers evaluated submissions based on the following criteria:

- Relevance to the conference theme and scope
- Originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research
- Technical soundness of methodology and results
- Adherence to ethical standards and plagiarism norms
- Clarity, organization, and quality of presentation

All submissions were also checked for similarity index using plagiarism detection tools (Turnitin).

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	110
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	100
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	35
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	35%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	10+

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Technical Committee declares any competing interest. All necessary steps were taken to ensure fairness, transparency, and impartiality in the review process.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

