



## Peer-Review Statements

Djouhara AGTI\*<sup>1</sup>, Salim BITAM\*<sup>2</sup>, Fateh DEBLA<sup>3</sup>, Reguia CHERROUN\*<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1, 2, 3, 4</sup> Mohamed Khider University of Biskra (Algeria)

\*<sup>1</sup>Editor-in-Chief of the [ICAIABA'2026]. Email: djouhara.agti@univ-biskra.dz  
Corresponding Editors Email: <sup>2</sup> salim.bitam@univ-biskra.dz, <sup>4</sup> reguia.cherroun@univ-biskra.dz

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence Applications in Business Administration in MENA Region* during [13-14 April, 2026] in *University of Biskra-Algeria*. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *Scientific Committee* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

### 1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *at least triple-blind*. Each submission was examined by *three* reviewer(s) independently.

*The conference submission management system was CMT3 (Conference Management Toolkit).*

*The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability (The editorial committee verified that the submitted paper complies with the conference guidelines, matches the conference themes and topics, and meets the required academic standards). If the paper passes the initial screening, it will be peer-reviewed by the technical program committee members, where each paper will be reviewed by three (3) reviewers at least according to a single peer review process taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the reviewers.*

*Authors of an accepted submission were given the opportunity to revise and re-submit after addressing the reviewers' comments. After the revision, researchers of the accepted papers signed an integrity pledge. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.*

*We paid care to avoid the conflict in sending papers to reviewers. So, authors didn't know the reviewers' identity.*

© The Author(s) 2026

D. Agti et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Intelligence Applications in Business Administration in MENA Region (ICAIABA 2026)*, Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research 393,

[https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6239-711-8\\_1](https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6239-711-8_1)

## 2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. The contribution and significance of the work to the field of business administration and AI;
3. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
4. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
5. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
6. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.
7. *In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. [The percentage of plagiarism shouldn't exceed 30% using TURNITIN to check that (Similarity reports are available in the CMT3 platform in camera-ready mode.)]*
8. *We sent an evaluation report to be followed by reviewers which involves a set of questions in assessing the quality of papers (See annex 1 below).*

## 3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	68
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	66
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	40
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	60.60%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	83

## 4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

### **ANNEX “ Evaluation report”**

- **How would you assess the researcher's adherence to scientific research methodology?**

- Evaluate the level of control over the search language and scientific terminology?
- What is the level of quality and clarity of the information?
- Quality of modernity of approved references?
- Quality and modernity of approved references
- Evaluate the added scientific value of the research results?
- Evaluate the researcher's discussion of the research findings
- Evaluate the suitability of the tables and figures to the nature and objectives of the research.
- What is your overall assessment of the paper?

**Type. Options:**

**\*Accept.**

**\*Reject.**

**\*Accept with minor revisions.**

**\*Accept with major revisions.**

**Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

