



## Peer-Review Statements

Puspita Anggraini Katili<sup>1,\*</sup>, Romadhani Ardi<sup>2</sup>, Safira Candra Asih<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Indonesia

<sup>2</sup> Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Indonesia  
\*Editor-in-Chief of the QiR 2025 Conference. Email: [puspita\\_katili@ui.ac.id](mailto:puspita_katili@ui.ac.id)

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the QiR 2025 Conference during 27-28 October 2025 in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Scientific Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

### 1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were double-blind. Each submission was examined by at least two reviewers independently. The conference submission management system was Easy-chair.

Each manuscript first underwent an initial editorial screening for scope, formatting compliance, and ethical standards. Eligible articles were then independently evaluated by two qualified reviewers selected for their subject expertise and research experience.

To maintain fairness and integrity, potential conflicts of interest were carefully assessed prior to reviewer assignment. Reviewers were not assigned to manuscripts authored by close collaborators, colleagues from the same institution, or others where impartiality could be compromised. Where necessary, reviewers were recused and replaced. The double-blind model further helped to reduce potential bias.

An article was accepted only upon receiving favorable recommendations from two reviewers. In cases of significant disagreement, an additional independent review was conducted. Final decisions were made by the Scientific Committee following consideration of all reviewer reports and required revisions.

## 2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions, ordered by importance:

1. Clarity of objectives, appropriateness of study design, adequacy of background information, methodological detail, statistical analysis, variables and measures, and validity of results;
2. Relevance to the conference scope, novelty of the research, strength of the author's argument, and significance of the findings;
3. Logical coherence of the discussion, contextualization within existing literature, acknowledgment of limitations, and clarity of conclusions;
4. Quality of the title, abstract, and keywords; organization of the manuscript; and clarity and accuracy of language, tables, and figures;
5. Overall recommendation, revision requirement, total score, and reviewer confidence.

In addition to these criteria, reviewers provided an overall evaluation, indicated whether revisions were required, and rated their confidence in their assessment. Furthermore, to uphold academic integrity and prevent plagiarism, all submitted manuscripts were screened using Turnitin similarity-checking software prior to the review process.

## 3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	89
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	55
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	28
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	31.46%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	49

## 4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

**Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

