



Peer-Review Statements

Eka Puspitawati^{1,*}, Dewi Hanggraeni², Indra Kusumawardhana¹, Parulian Sihotang³,
Nanda Nurdianto¹

¹ Universitas Pertamina, Indonesia

² Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

³ University of Dundee, UK

*Editor-in-Chief of the **Fourth International Conference on Contemporary Risk Studies (ICONIC-RS) 2025**. Email: iconicrs@universitaspertamina.ac.id, eka.p@universitaspertamina.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *Fourth International Conference on Contemporary Risk Studies (ICONIC-RS) 2025* on *October 8, 2025*, in *Jakarta, Indonesia*. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *Scientific Committee* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *double-blind*. Each submission was examined by *at least 2* reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was Microsoft CMT. The online system allows users to access and process submitted manuscripts anywhere with an internet connection, and all related records and correspondence are preserved.

The submission process begins with the submission of an abstract, which is screened by reviewers to assess its potential quality and topic relevance. After the initial screening, authors are invited to submit the full manuscript and present it at the conference. Papers that have been presented at the conference are eligible for a full-paper review through a double-blind review process.

Before being sent to reviewers, one of our editors will check the manuscript using Turnitin to identify any potential plagiarism issues. Papers that fail the plagiarism screening will be rejected immediately. Papers that pass the plagiarism check are then assigned to two reviewers by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' areas of expertise while also considering any potential conflicts of interest. A paper can only be

considered for acceptance if it receives favourable recommendations from both reviewers. The review reports submitted by the reviewers will be evaluated by one of the editors in accordance with international scientific standards.

Authors whose submissions were rejected were allowed to revise and resubmit their manuscripts after responding to the reviewers' feedback. The decision on the revised manuscript, whether acceptance or rejection, was considered final. The revised version will subsequently be assessed by one of the editors to determine whether the reviewers' comments and concerns have been adequately addressed. Afterward, the revised manuscript will be returned to the reviewers for further evaluation.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

- 1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
- 2. Clear demonstration of originality and novelty;
- 3. Soundness of the abstract, methods, analyses, results and conclusions;
- 4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
- 5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures, tables, and references.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	67
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	25
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	21
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	31.3%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	14

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

