



Peer-Review Statements

Karina Becker^{1,*} , Britt Johanne Farstad² , Maria Assunção Folque³ ,
José Luis Gómez Ramos⁴ , Anne-Rachel Hermetet⁵ , Maria Ilhéu³,
Laure Pillot⁵ , William Pillot⁵, Philipp Pohlenz¹ , Daniel Pust¹ ,
Hugo Rebelo³ , Antonio Roselli¹ , and John Scahill⁶ 

¹ Otto von Guericke University Magdeburg, 39106 Magdeburg, Germany

² University of Gävle, 80176 Gävle, Sweden

³ University of Évora, 7000 Évora, Portugal

⁴ University of Extremadura, 06071 Badajoz, Spain

⁵ University of Angers, 49000 Angers, France

⁶ Atlantic Technological University, Galway, H91 T8NW, Ireland

*Editor-in-Chief of the conference GROUFES. Email: karina1.becker@ovgu.de

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the conference “Growing up the Future. Education for Sustainability” [GROUFES] during 5th-6th of May 2026 in Magdeburg [Germany]. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Scientific Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference’s review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were single-blind. Each submission was examined by at least two reviewers independently.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper’s topic with the reviewers’ expertise, taking into account any competing interests, but ruling out any conflict of interest and bias.

A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers. Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers’ comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	35
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	35
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	25
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	71.4%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	18

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest. Papers submitted by members of the Scientific Committee were not reviewed by their own members.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

