



Peer-Review Statements

Andi Faisal¹

Abbas²

¹Hasanuddin University, Indonesia

²Hasanuddin University, Indonesia

Andi Faisal (Editor-in-Chief of the ICLC VI)

Email: afaisal@unhas.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the International Conference on Language and Culture V (ICLC VI) on 12 November 2025 at Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia. These articles have been peer-reviewed by the Faculty of Cultural Sciences' Scientific Committee members and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were double-blind reviews. Each submission was examined by two reviewers independently.

The conference submission management system was an online submission system by the editorial manager (EM).

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, considering any competing interests. A paper could only be accepted if it had received favorable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

- 1. The pertinence of the article’s content to the scope and themes of the conference;
- 2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
- 3. The soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
- 4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
- 5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap by using the Turnitin application in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	113
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	41
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	41
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	100%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	2

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

The conference was fully funded by the organizing committee and the authors of the conference, who also supported or participated in some of the research submitted to the conference. All authors and reviewers must disclose their funding sources, and members of the Scientific Committee have reviewed that research works with no personal interests in the organizing committee.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

