



Peer-Review Statements

Shila Wisnasari^{1,*}, Nurona Azizah¹, Ike Nesdia Rahmawati¹, Lola Ayu Istifiani²

¹ Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, Universitas Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia

² Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Health Sciences, Universitas Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia

*Editor-in-Chief of the **6th International Nursing and Health Sciences Symposium**.
Email: shila.wisnasari@ub.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *6th International Nursing and Health Sciences Symposium (INHSS 2025)* during *01 July – 06 November 2025 in Malang, Indonesia*. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *Scientific Committee* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *double-blind*. Each submission was examined by *one* reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was Open Journal System.

All submissions first underwent editorial screening for relevance, completeness, academic quality, and compliance with the conference guidelines. Manuscripts that passed the screening were assigned to reviewers based on subject expertise and absence of conflicts of interest.

Reviewers assessed the relevance, methodology, clarity, validity of findings, and contribution of each manuscript. Authors were required to address all reviewer comments before acceptance. Revised manuscripts were re-evaluated, and the final acceptance or rejection decision was made by the editorial committee.

To maintain fairness, author identities were concealed, conflicts of interest were avoided, and all review reports and editorial decisions were treated as confidential.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers assessed each submission solely on its academic merit using the following criteria:

1. Relevance to the conference scope and themes;
2. Originality and significance of the study;
3. Appropriateness of the methods, analyses, and interpretation of results;
4. Compliance with applicable research ethics and professional standards; and
5. Clarity, coherence, and accuracy of the manuscript, including tables and figures.

All submitted manuscripts were also screened for textual similarity using iThenticate to identify potential plagiarism. Manuscripts with unacceptable levels of overlap or other ethical concerns were returned to the authors for clarification or revision and could be rejected when necessary.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	36
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	36
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	19
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	52.8%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	5

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

