



Reviewing the Key Factors Leading to Classroom Distraction

Harsh Sharma¹, Eshan Sengupta^{2,*}

and Munish Saini³

¹Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, India.

²Department of Electronics Systems, Faculty of Electronics, Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, Lithuania.

³Department of Computer Engineering and Technology, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, India.

arsh42@outlook.com, eshansengupta2000@gmail.com,
munish.cet@gndu.ac.in

Abstract. Learning in an educational setting is hindered greatly by distractions, which have a dramatic effect on a student's success and participation in educational institutions. In this study, we categorized classroom distractions into six main types, e.g., auditory, visual, digital, external, behavioral, and cognitive; and evaluated the extent to which each interferes with student concentration and learning. We assessed how much each distraction can potentially interfere with concentration and hinder learning to determine the potential for emerging technology, such as artificial intelligence, to identify, minimize, and/or eliminate such distractions before they can disrupt the learning process. AI-powered solutions and smart classroom technologies offer the potential to detect and mitigate distractions in real-time, thereby creating an environment conducive to uninterrupted learning. The purpose of this study is to provide educators, researchers, and technology specialists with an understanding of the complex nature of distractions and their urgent need for innovative solutions to maintain students' focus while they are learning in the classroom.

Keywords: AI in Education, Attention Detection, Classroom Distractions, Distraction Mitigation, Smart Classrooms.

1 Introduction

Education is an important tool for society; it promotes economic mobility, reduces inequality, and fosters innovation (Ochieng & Gyasi, 2021). While there have been advances in making education available throughout the world, significant gaps still exist. Approximately 244 million children and adolescents are still out of educational

*Corresponding author

institutions, and many students who are in them do not attain the level of literacy and numeracy expected of them (Heugh & Mohamed, 2020; Sengupta et al., 2022). There are a number of reasons for this; curriculum development and instruction are two significant contributors. One of the biggest barriers to achieving success in education is distractions. Distractions can be both internal and external and hinder students' and teachers' ability to absorb and retain information (Alam, 2023). Examples of distractions include behaviorally disruptive students, fatigue, background noise, and ineffective technology usage (Dangstorp, 2024). Technology can be both a tremendous educational tool and also one of the biggest distractions for students, especially with the influx of new technologies that did not exist a generation ago (Haleem et al., 2022). Further, poorly designed physical classroom environments and a lack of quality teaching practices further impede students' ability to learn (Paasonen, 2021).

Studying classroom distractions is crucial not only as a pedagogical concern but also for understanding how classrooms actually function, including the degree to which they support or undermine students' right to a high-quality education. It also helps show how much they can increase the gap between the two through distractions (Brink et al., 2021; Saini et al., 2023). By investigating how different types of classroom distractions affect learning and, ultimately, how well a student performs, we hope to gain a clearer understanding of which distractions can be traced back to what are referred to as behavioral, environmental, and technological factors, rather than only focusing on all three as separate entities. Through further investigation into what pulls students' attention away from the learning process, teachers can begin to redesign classrooms conducive to education. And as technology becomes increasingly ubiquitous in the day-to-day operation of a classroom, understanding the factors pulling students' attention from their education will be more essential than ever (Cicekci & Sadik, 2019; Paasonen, 2021; Wu & Cheng, 2019).

1.1. Aims and Objectives

The objective of this research is to analyze the complexity of distractions within educational settings, as well as their impact on the development of quality learning experiences. As a result, the objectives of this paper are as follows:

- To identify and assess the major categories of distraction in classrooms, such as: Physical Distractions; Auditory Distractions; Technological Distractions; Behavioral Distractions; and Cognitive Distractions. Also, evaluate how each type of distraction affects educational success.
- To assess effective evidence-based interventions that can address classroom distractions to increase student focus and create a productive learning experience in classrooms.

2 Types of distractions in educational environments

Sources of distraction in a classroom can be diverse. They include physical, social, technological, and internal distractions, which often cause one another to compound in

a way that makes understanding them as a separate entity a good first step if one were to consider how to support students experiencing distractions when learning (Cicekci & Sadik, 2019; Wu & Cheng, 2019).

2.1 Auditory Distractions

The most apparent distractor to the classroom environment is noise. Noise from outside (e.g., traffic, construction, or students talking) and inside (e.g., chairs scraping when moved, items dropping to the floor, students having conversations) in the classroom creates distractions. Even low-level noise can disrupt a student's concentration and cause them to become confused about what is happening in a lesson, resulting in them mentally checking out (Baat-Eggen, 2020; Dangstorp, 2024).



Fig. 1. Types of distraction in educational environments

2.2 Visual Distractions

The classroom environment contains many visual distractions competing for attention. Competing visual distractions would include (for example, a student looking at their phone, people walking outside the classroom window, or wall displays that are too cluttered). With respect to classroom arrangement, this creates additional distractions; additionally, when students can easily see everything that everyone else is doing, it will require them to engage in intentional efforts to focus on their teacher(s) (Seufert et al., 2022; Wang, 2022).

2.3 Digital/Technological Distractions

With the advent of hand-held devices, including smartphones, tablets, and laptops, the problem of students being distracted from learning by the very tools designed to help them has become even more pronounced. Learning tools may be used not just as intended, but often immediately after use, for text messaging, social networking, and

gaming. The proliferation of notifications creates more distractions from learning because even a vibration or a lighted device can break a student's thought process. This creates a dilemma for teachers as they struggle to find an effective balance between the use of technology as a tool to help students learn (Haleem et al., 2022; Paasonen, 2021; Sengupta et al., 2022).

2.4 Environmental/External Factors Distractions

The physical environments of classrooms can have a significant impact on student attention, even though they are not always recognized for doing so. Many times, the lack of adequate lighting, ventilation, seating, and/or temperature control will cause students' attention to decline without them realizing it. Additionally, outside noise can contribute to classroom attention issues, especially in facilities with poor acoustic design; in these facilities, outside noise will cause the level of sound to increase rather than decrease. Finally, visual information can add to the level of environmental distraction; a cluttered or disorganized room can produce visual distractions in addition to the physical distractions of the environment (Brink et al., 2021; Paasonen, 2021).

2.5 Behavioral Distractions

Behavioral distractions include various behaviors such as talking, fidgeting, passing notes, and being involved in side conversations. All of these behaviors divert attention from both the individual acting out and fellow students. Likewise, teachers sometimes unintentionally cause students to behave distractedly by using unclear instructions, ineffective classroom management practices, and using an attention-holding delivery style (Cicekci & Sadik, 2019; Dangstorp, 2024).

2.6 Cognitive Distractions

Cognitive distractions are often more difficult for the teacher to recognize. A student can visually be sitting up straight, looking at the board, and being completely disengaged. There are many reasons why a student could be cognitively distracted, such as anxiety, tiredness, stress, and daydreaming. Information overload causes the brain to disengage from the material because it has too much information coming at it too quickly, so instead of working through the material, the brain shuts down. Boredom (learning fatigue) is another form of cognitive distraction; when there is no connection with the lesson being presented, students will not pay attention to what is happening, and they will allow their minds to wander. In contrast to many other distractions, cognitive distractions cannot be seen. Therefore, it is easy for teachers to miss this type of distraction because it is not visible (Paasonen, 2021; Wang, 2022).

3 Related Work

Recent research shows that classroom distractions are interconnected; method, device, and teacher behaviors, room and noise levels all influence outcomes, and if one is fixed without the others, the improvement will be limited. Digital devices have received the most research; for instance, Pérez-Juárez et al. (2023) reported that students using digital devices for non-academic use had reduced cognitive engagement and worse outcomes compared to when they used devices for academic use. They suggested clear regulations on digital device usage and teaching students to manage their own digital devices as opposed to the often-unenforceable blanket bans.

Noise-related distraction in the classroom is also a significant and ongoing issue; for example, Ioannou et al. (2020) found that the amount of noise in a classroom reduces student engagement and suggested better noise attenuation through soundproofing and better classroom layout. Also related to this is Cheung et al. (2021), who found that general chaotic physical environments (temporary or permanent) have produced students' inconsistent engagement (more disorganized classrooms) due to their being a distraction. They noted that if an environment has distractions in it, even excellent teaching cannot fully compensate for the distraction of the environment.

Incorporating social dynamics into the classroom distraction equation adds another aspect of distraction: unproductive group interaction caused by off-task behaviour exhibited by students (Smith et al., 2024). They proposed that instead of eliminating group work as a strategy to reduce classroom distractions, more structured methods of facilitating productive student collaboration could be employed, such as providing clearly defined group roles and tasks to individual group members.

Patel et al. (2024) stated from a technology-related viewpoint that the prevalence of technology failures (i.e., malfunctioning digital devices) during a lesson has an equal impact upon lesson flow disruption when compared to auditory interruptions caused by phone ringing. They recommended implementing regular maintenance procedures for all technology used during lessons to maintain the standard of technology and having contingency plans for when digital devices malfunction in order to prevent technological issues from interrupting lessons. Orhan et al. (2021) and Datta et al. (2020), in their studies, discussed visual clutter or social media notifications as sources of distraction in classrooms and reached similar solutions for improving the classroom learning environment by removing non-essential stimuli (i.e., non-essential visual stimuli) and muting non-essential alerts while classes are in session.

Based on all of the previous research together, piecemeal strategies or programs will not work to reduce student distraction in a classroom; a comprehensive approach that incorporates the physical classroom space, use of technology, and social dynamics in the classroom must all be taken into consideration simultaneously when trying to reduce distractions in the classroom. The summary of related work (refer to Table 1) is provided to illustrate the various issues identified in these studies, as well as the corresponding proposed solutions.

4 Impact and Solution

Distractions can hinder lesson quality and accumulate negatively over time. A student experiencing consistent difficulty with focus can cause a decline in retention of

Table 1 Summary of common classroom distractions and corresponding solutions

Author(s)	Distraction Type	Problems Identified	Solutions Offered
Pérez-Juárez et al. (2023)	Digital distractions	Lower performance due to non-academic device use	Promote digital self-control, introduce device management policies
Ioannou et al. (2020)	Classroom noise	Reduced focus and cognitive overload	Soundproofing and optimized classroom layouts
Cheung et al. (2021)	Environmental interruptions	Irregular engagement due to interruptions	Foster immersive, distraction-free educational environments
Smith et al. (2024)	Peer disruptions	Off-task behavior due to group dynamics	Encourage structured group activities and behavioral contracts
Patel et al. (2024)	Technical malfunctions	Breaks in flow during digital lessons	Regular maintenance of technical tools and backup systems
Orhan et al. (2021)	Social media alerts	Frequent interruptions during educational	Disable notifications during class sessions
Datta et al. (2020)	Visual clutter	Overstimulation reducing attention	Simplify visual aids and classroom décor
Dawod & Bell (2020)	Classroom noise	Reduced focus and cognitive overload	Soundproofing and optimized classroom layouts

information, critical thinking, and ultimately lead them to being unengaged (or lack of interest) and low-confidence, and ultimately lead to academic failure. Students who experience disadvantage will experience a much steeper decline than their counterparts. Educational institutions that lack resources typically do not have the proper measures in place to reduce the impact of classroom distractions on students, therefore furthering the scope of the already existing gap that SDG 4 is attempting to close (Heugh & Mohamed, 2020; Saini et al., 2023). The digital education environment has presented its own set of problems. Unrelated alert systems, unreliable tech, and misconfigured content are among the common distractors that disrupt learning during the lesson. Ironically, the very tools intended to expand access to quality education could act to diminish access to quality education when not properly managed (Cicekci & Sadik, 2019).

The solutions, broadly speaking, need to match the complexity of the problem. A few stand out as particularly practical:

- Classroom monitoring software can reduce digital distractions by identifying students using their own devices for non-academic purposes without the need to remove all technology from the classroom. Therefore, classroom monitoring solutions could be used to reduce digital distractions caused by students using unapproved devices without having to remove all technology from the classroom (Pérez-Juárez et al., 2023).
- Using adaptive technology to manage cognitive load is also a viable solution. For example, the use of an AI-based adaptive system that modifies material delivery based upon a student's level of class engagement will keep students engaged and create a more permanent memory of what they learned, rather than losing the student's interest when the pace of instruction becomes unmanageable (Dangstorp, 2024; Wang, 2022).
- Many of the existing classrooms would benefit from the use of noise-cancellation technologies or having improved acoustic designs for class. The use of such improvements has been shown to significantly reduce audible distractions and to have had a significant impact on student understanding/comprehending and success with respect to classroom instruction. This would have a particularly positive impact on students with developmental delays due to noisy surroundings (Dawod & Bell, 2020; Ioannou et al., 2020).
- A structured method of teacher-student interaction will lessen drift due to unclear instructions or passive presentations. Providing high-quality feedback loops and keeping instructional methods actively engaging will lead to less repetition due to repeated teacher instructions. Equitable access to resources is the critical ingredient for any of the above to succeed. Where students are deprived of consistent devices, reliable internet, or even just basic physical infrastructure, no amount of classroom strategy will fix these underlying issues. Resource equity commitments at the policy level are a necessity, not an option (Cheung et al., 2021; Smith et al., 2024).
- Time management support through applications or structured routinizations will enable students to develop self-regulatory habits that will make the rest more effective (Orhan et al., 2021; Patel et al., 2024).

None of these solutions works in isolation. The classrooms most troubled by distractions often experience the most simultaneous convergence of several of these issues; the response has to be just as layered.

5 Conclusion

Student distractions in the classroom will create an effect on engagement, academic achievement, and an increase in difficulty for the teacher's overall capability to deliver instruction. The findings of the research indicate that a multi-faceted method will work better than just one method for resolving distractions within the classroom environment.

The design of the room and acoustic treatment will lower the overall noise level found within the classroom. This may assist in a decreased amount of energy required by students to concentrate. Teachers can use classroom management systems to help keep their students from misusing their electronic devices instead of requiring them to concentrate on managing the use of students' phones in every lesson. In addition, adaptive and individualised learning technologies allow both teachers and students to access the materials that are most appropriate for each student according to his or her current level of understanding. This individualised approach can help reduce students' frustration and boredom by ensuring that the learning materials for each student match his or her individual ability level, whether they are too easy or too hard. Gamified and/or interactive content will enable students to transition from passive receivers of information to active participants within their own learning, supporting them to pay attention to the information in a meaningful way as opposed to merely being entertained by the use of attention grabbing elements. Lastly, providing opportunities for students to practice self-regulation/management of their time during the school hour will build within them a mechanism for managing their own focus. Therefore, reducing their reliance on external methods for maintaining focus and sustaining attention.

In addition, educational institutions that struggle with the capacity for action will experience greater challenges when making these changes. Despite all the difficulties institutions have had to deal with due to distractions, whenever they think of them as more of an actual structural problem than just a behavior one they create much better chances for students to be able to learn and succeed than not. As such, the ultimate end goal is to create successful learning environments so that every student has the opportunity to thrive regardless of the level of effort it will take.

References

1. Alam, A. (2023). Media multitasking with M-learning technology in real-time classroom learning: Analysing the dynamics in formal educational settings for the future of E-learning in India. In *2023 2nd International Conference on Smart Technologies and Systems for Next Generation Computing (ICSTSN)*:1–6. IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSTSN57873.2023.10151509>
2. Braat-Eggen, P. E. (2020). Auditory distraction in open-plan study environments in higher education.
3. Brink, H. W., Loomans, M. G., Mobach, M. P. and Kort, H. S. (2021). Classrooms' indoor environmental conditions affecting the academic achievement of students and teachers in higher education: A systematic literature review. *Indoor Air* 31(2):405–425. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ina.12745>
4. Cheung, S. K., Kwok, L. F., Phusavat, K. and Yang, H. H. (2021). Shaping the future learning environments with smart elements: Challenges and opportunities. *Int. J. Educ. Technol. High. Educ.* 18:1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00254-1>
5. Cicekci, M. A. and Sadik, F. (2019). Teachers' and students' opinions about students' attention problems during the lesson. *J. Educ. Learn.* 8(6):15–30.

6. Dangstorp, A. E. (2024). Exploring attention dynamics in English learning classrooms: The role of external stimuli and multitasking. Master's thesis.
7. Datta, N., Derenne, J., Sanders, M. and Lock, J. D. (2020). Telehealth transition in a comprehensive care unit for eating disorders: Challenges and long-term benefits. *Int. J. Eat. Disord.* 53(11):1774–1779. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.23348>
8. Dawod, Z. and Bell, D. (2020). Semantically annotated learning media for reduced cognitive load. In *Int. Conf. Hum.-Comput. Interact.*:145–164. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-50506-6_11
9. Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Qadri, M. A. and Suman, R. (2022). Understanding the role of digital technologies in education: A review. *Sustain. Oper. Comput.* 3:275–285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susoc.2022.05.004>
10. Heugh, K. and Mohamed, N. (2020). Approaches to language in education for migrants and refugees in the Asia-Pacific region. UNESCO Bangkok.
11. Ioannou, M., Ioannou, A., Georgiou, Y. and Retalis, S. (2020). Designing and orchestrating the classroom experience for technology-enhanced embodied learning. <https://doi.org/10.22318/icls2020.1079>
12. Ochieng, V. O. and Gyasi, R. M. (2021). Open educational resources and social justice: Potentials and implications for research productivity in higher educational institutions. *E-Learn. Digit. Media* 18(2):105–124. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2042753021989467>
13. Orhan, M. A., Castellano, S., Khelladi, I., Marinelli, L. and Monge, F. (2021). Technology distraction at work. Impacts on self-regulation and work engagement. *J. Bus. Res.* 126:341–349. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.12.048>
14. Paasonen, S. (2021). *Dependent, distracted, bored: Affective formations in networked media*. MIT Press.
15. Patel, M. P. M., Bhatt, M. and Patel, A. (2024). AR/VR virtualisation of in-class academic activities. In *Virtual Lifelong Learning: Educating Society with Modern Communication Technologies*:135–148. Bentham Science. <https://doi.org/10.2174/97898151965661240101>
16. Pérez-Juárez, M. Á., González-Ortega, D. and Aguiar-Pérez, J. M. (2023). Digital distractions from the point of view of higher education students. *Sustainability* 15(7):6044. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15076044>
17. Saini, M., Sengupta, E., Singh, M., Singh, H. and Singh, J. (2023). Sustainable Development Goal for Quality Education (SDG 4): A study on SDG 4 to extract the pattern of association among the indicators of SDG 4 employing a genetic algorithm. *Educ. Inf. Technol.* 28(2):2031–2069. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11265-4>
18. Sengupta, E., Saini, M., Singh, M. and Singh, J. (2022). An exploration into artificial intelligence based advancement in education field. In *2022 2nd Int. Conf. Adv. Comput. Innov. Technol. Eng. (ICACITE)*:1250–1255. IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICACITE53722.2022.9823801>
19. Seufert, C., Oberdörfer, S., Roth, A., Grafe, S., Lugrin, J. L. and Latoschik, M. E. (2022). Classroom management competency enhancement for student teachers using a fully immersive virtual classroom. *Comput. Educ.* 179:104410. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104410>
20. Smith, S. D., Walbridge, F., Harris, T., Cotter, M. C., Kaplan, R., Garza, B. et al. (2024). Leveraging technology to support teachers' fidelity of universal classroom management

- interventions: Lessons learned and future applications. *Sch. Ment. Health* 16(3):894–912. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12310-024-09681-7>
21. Wang, C. (2022). Comprehensively summarizing what distracts students from online learning: A literature review. *Hum. Behav. Emerg. Technol.* 2022(1):1483531. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1483531>
22. Wu, J. Y. and Cheng, T. (2019). Who is better adapted in learning online within the personal learning environment? Relating gender differences in cognitive attention networks to digital distraction. *Comput. Educ.* 128:312–329. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.08.016>

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this license to share adapted material derived from this chapter or parts of it.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

