# Ancient vs. Modern Agricultural Practices: A Comparative Analysis of Techniques, Sustainability, and Efficiency

**Yamini Kaushik**[1], **Boola Choudhary**[2]

*1.Research Scholar, Department of Development Studies, Vivekananda Global University, India.*
*2. Associate Professor, Department of Development Studies, Vivekananda Global University, India.*

**Email:** yamini.k@vgu.ac.in

## ABSTRACT

This paper analyses the history of Indian farming practices, comparing ancient methods to contemporary methods in terms of technique, sustainability, and efficiency. While prior scholarship has examined ancient Indian agriculture and the Green Revolution largely as separate episodes, few studies have systematically compared the two across the dimensions of technique, sustainability, and efficiency, and fewer still have incorporated emerging models such as Sikkim's organic transition as a bridging case; this study addresses that gap. Based on Vedic traditions and local innovations such as tank irrigation and crop rotation, ancient Indian farming pursued ecological balance and community resilience, though it supported far smaller populations and remained vulnerable to recurring famine. Conversely, contemporary practices spurred by the Green Revolution introduced mechanisation, chemical inputs, and high-yielding varieties, turning India into a food-sufficient country at considerable environmental and social cost. Drawing on a descriptive-comparative historical methodology that combines archaeological and archival evidence, government statistics, and peer-reviewed literature with three case studies (Indus Valley agriculture, Punjab's Green Revolution, and Sikkim's organic transition), and informed by agroecology and sustainability science frameworks, this research assesses the trade-offs between productivity and sustainability and considers emerging trends such as precision and regenerative agriculture. The study recommends a hybrid framework that combines indigenous knowledge with contemporary technologies to address food security, climate change adaptation, and rural livelihoods (Chakrabarti, 1995; MSSRF, 2012).

**Keywords:** Indian agriculture, ancient farming practices, Green Revolution, sustainability, agricultural efficiency, crop rotation, tank irrigation, mechanisation, agroecology, traditional ecological knowledge, hybrid agricultural systems.


B. Choudhary and V. V. Singh (eds.), *Proceedings of the Anubhuti 4.0: Discourses in Indian Knowledge Systems – Pathways of Culture, Inclusion, and Sustainability (ICIKS 2026)*, Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research 1030,
https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-615-4_35

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Indian agriculture has been the lifeline of Indian civilisation for more than 5,000 years, shaping its culture, economy, and landscape. Ancient Indian farmers pioneered sophisticated techniques suited to different climates and soils, from the fertile plains of the Indus Valley to the rice paddies of the Gangetic basin. Agrarian practices recorded in texts such as the Rigveda and the Krishi-Parashara emphasised harmony with nature (Chakrabarti, 1995). Modern Indian agriculture, whose defining episode was the Green Revolution of the 1960s, adopted mechanisation, synthetic fertilisers, and irrigation to meet the needs of a burgeoning population of over 1.4 billion (MSSRF, 2012; Tripathi & Prasad, 2009). This shift ended recurring famine but brought with it challenges such as soil degradation, water scarcity, and farmer debt.

This paper examines: what are the similarities and differences in techniques, sustainability, and efficiency between ancient and modern Indian agricultural practices? Tools and methods make up techniques; sustainability determines ecological and social viability; and efficiency relates output to inputs. The study draws on archaeology, historical records, and current statistics to chart India's agricultural journey, with the objective of identifying lessons from the past that could inform sustainable solutions for the future as climate change and population pressure increase.

While a substantial literature examines ancient Indian agricultural systems (Chakrabarti, 1995; Olivelle, 2013) and a separate literature documents the Green Revolution and its consequences (Frankel, 1971; Johl, 1975), few studies have systematically compared the two across the dimensions of technique, sustainability, and efficiency within a single Indian framework, and fewer still have incorporated emerging models such as Sikkim's organic transition as a bridging case between ancient and modern paradigms. This paper addresses that gap by treating ancient, transitional (colonial), and contemporary agriculture as points on a single continuum, evaluated against a common set of theoretical and analytical criteria.

## 2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study is grounded in three complementary theoretical perspectives. First, agroecology theory (Altieri, 1995; Gliessman, 2007) provides the ecological basis for evaluating farming systems as integrated systems of crops, soil, water, and biodiversity rather than as collections of discrete techniques, and is well suited to comparing ancient polyculture with the input-intensive monocultures introduced during the Green Revolution. Second, the sustainability science framework (Kates et al., 2001) supplies the three analytical dimensions used throughout this paper, ecological, social, and economic sustainability, against which both historical and contemporary systems are assessed. Third, the traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) framework (Berkes, 1999) provides a basis for treating indigenous agricultural practices, such as tank irrigation, organic manuring, and crop rotation, as systematic, transmissible knowledge rather than as unscientific custom, a position consistent with broader calls in the Indian context to document and reward such knowledge formally (Gupta, 2000). Together, these frameworks allow ancient and modern agriculture to be compared not simply as different stages of technological development but as different ways of organising the relationship between farming communities, ecosystems, and the state.

The paper is structured as follows: a statement of methodology; a historical review of ancient Indian agriculture; the transition to modern practices, including the colonial period; a comparative analysis organised around technique, sustainability, and efficiency; three case studies; a discussion that synthesises findings against the theoretical framework above; a statement of limitations; and a conclusion with recommendations for policy and future research. This analysis illustrates the relevance of India's agricultural heritage to global sustainability debates.

## 3. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a descriptive-comparative historical research design, appropriate for tracing change across long time periods where controlled experimentation is not possible; a broadly similar qualitative-comparative approach has recently been used to analyse organic farming transitions across South Asia (Babajani et al., 2023). The analysis draws on three categories of data sources: archaeological and archival records on ancient Indian agriculture (Chakrabarti, 1995; Olivelle, 2013); government and institutional statistics on modern agricultural performance, including data from the Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare (Government of India, 2020), the National Sample Survey Office (NSSO, 2014), and the National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB, 2023); and peer-reviewed literature on agricultural economics, agroecology, and sustainability science.

Three case studies, Indus Valley agriculture, Punjab's Green Revolution, and Sikkim's organic transition, were purposively selected to represent a temporal and paradigmatic spread: a fully pre-industrial system, a paradigmatic case of industrial intensification, and a contemporary attempt to reconcile modern governance and markets with agroecological principles. This selection allows the paper to move beyond a simple ancient-versus-modern contrast and to examine, through Sikkim, whether the trade-offs associated with the Green Revolution are inherent to agricultural modernisation as such or specific to the chemical-intensive model it pursued.

The analytical framework applied to each case, and to the comparative analysis more broadly, follows the three sustainability dimensions identified by Kates et al. (2001): ecological sustainability (soil health, water use, and biodiversity), social sustainability (labour conditions, equity, and community resilience), and economic sustainability (yields, costs, and market access). Within each case study, evidence is organised under five consistent sub-headings, Context, Agricultural Techniques, Sustainability, Efficiency, and Key Lessons, to allow direct comparison across cases. Because the study relies on secondary sources rather than primary fieldwork, its methodology is interpretive and historical rather than experimental; this and other constraints are discussed in the Limitations section.

## 4. HISTORICAL CONTEXT OF ANCIENT INDIAN AGRICULTURE

The earliest cultivation of wheat and barley is found at the Mehrgarh site in Balochistan, dating to the Neolithic period (circa 7000 BCE). A pinnacle of the Indus Valley Civilisation (2500–1900 BCE) was the emergence of urban centres such as Harappa, supported by advanced farming (Chakrabarti, 1995). Cotton, rice, and pulses were grown by farmers with the aid of wooden ploughs and bullock-drawn carts, with irrigation supplemented by wells, occasional floods, and small dams and channels. The Rigveda (circa 1500 BCE) also mentions crops such as yava (barley) and rituals for rain, indicating a spiritual as well as practical relationship to farming.

Techniques diversified during the Vedic period. Crop rotation, soil testing by taste and texture, and organic manuring with cow dung were described in the Krishi-Parashara (circa 400 CE). Monsoon water was stored in reservoirs and distributed through tank irrigation, pioneered in South India and still visible in Tamil Nadu's eris. Terracing was practised in the Himalayas and shifting cultivation (jhum) in the Northeast. Polyculture, the mixing of millets, legumes, and oilseeds, ensured dietary diversity and soil health. Tools such as iron-tipped ploughs, sickles, and hand mills were powered by human and animal labour.

Sustainability was a cornerstone of ancient practice. Crop rotation and fallowing prevented soil exhaustion, and the Arthashastra (circa 300 BCE) advised planting legumes to enrich fields (Olivelle, 2013). Water was conserved in tanks and wells, and agroforestry, including the cultivation of trees such as neem alongside crops, protected biodiversity. Cereal yields were modest, in the order of 0.5–1 ton per hectare, sufficient for village economies but rarely producing tradeable surplus. Labour was intensive, as families worked collaboratively across most agricultural tasks, though output per unit of effort was modest by modern standards. The system used minimal energy, relying on oxen and human effort, and was ecologically sustainable but vulnerable to drought, monsoon failure, and invasion.

These achievements should not obscure the limitations of ancient agricultural systems. Pre-industrial Indian farming supported populations that were a fraction of today's, with cereal yields too low to sustain large urban populations without extensive trade and storage networks. Labour productivity was low: a single family's subsistence required the near-continuous labour of multiple household members, leaving little surplus for specialisation outside elite urban centres. Most importantly, ancient systems offered limited protection against severe monsoon failure; periods of political fragmentation, such as the declines of the Mauryan and Gupta empires, coincided with recurring regional famine that pre-industrial storage and irrigation technologies could not fully offset. Scalability, rather than sustainability, was therefore the binding constraint on ancient Indian agriculture: its practices suited small, dispersed communities well but could not, on their own, have fed a population approaching 1.4 billion without the productivity gains later delivered, at significant ecological cost, by the Green Revolution.

Regional diversity further enriched ancient practice. Millets were grown on the black cotton soils of the Deccan, while wet rice cultivation developed in Bengal. Resilience was supported by oral knowledge preserved by farmers and sages across generations. Even so, the famines that accompanied Mauryan and Gupta political decline illustrate how closely ancient agricultural output remained tied to the monsoon.

## 5. EVOLUTION TO MODERN INDIAN AGRICULTURE

Modern Indian agriculture developed after independence, out of acute food insecurity rooted in nearly two centuries of colonial disruption. Under British rule (1757–1947), traditional agrarian institutions were reorganised to serve imperial revenue and export interests. Cultivators were pushed away from subsistence food grains toward commercial cash crops such as indigo and cotton, while land revenue settlements such as the zamindari and ryotwari systems extracted a largely fixed surplus from farmers regardless of harvest conditions, leaving little buffer against poor monsoons. Traditional irrigation infrastructure, including the South Indian tank systems described above, was comparatively neglected in favour of large canal projects oriented toward commercial cropping, and indigenous rural industries that had supplemented farm incomes were undercut by imported manufactured goods. These structural changes left rural India acutely vulnerable to harvest failure, a vulnerability realised catastrophically in the Bengal Famine of 1943, in which an estimated two to three million people died despite continued grain exports during the crisis. This colonial legacy of extractive land relations, infrastructural neglect, and chronic food insecurity directly shaped the post-independence policy consensus that domestic food self-sufficiency was a non-negotiable national priority, providing the political and economic rationale for the Green Revolution that followed (Frankel, 1971).

Reform was inspired by independence in 1947, but the Green Revolution (1965–1980) transformed Indian agriculture (Frankel, 1971). High-yielding varieties (HYVs) of wheat and rice, developed by the Indian Agricultural Research Institute together with foreign collaborators, increased output substantially: Punjab's wheat production rose roughly threefold, from 1.9 million tons in 1965 to 6.5 million tons in 1970 (Johl, 1975).

Mechanisation followed: tractors replaced bullocks, and tube wells supplemented canal irrigation, supported by projects such as the Bhakra-Nangal Dam. Chemical fertilisers (urea, phosphates) and pesticides (including DDT, later banned) boosted yields but altered ecosystems. By the 1990s, genetically modified crops such as Bt cotton had entered cultivation, reducing pest damage but raising seed costs. Today, precision agriculture, using drones and soil sensors, is emerging in states such as Maharashtra (Kakraliya et al., 2022), while organic farming has gained traction in Sikkim, declared fully organic in 2016.

Sustainability has been compromised in important respects. Punjab's monoculture has drained soils, and excessive fertiliser application has raised nitrogen levels, contaminating groundwater.

Around 60% of India's districts experience water stress according to the Central Ground Water Board, and Punjab's aquifers are declining by roughly one metre per year. Pesticides poison pollinators, endangering crops such as mustard. Efficiency, however, has increased markedly: wheat yields now reach 3–5 tons per hectare and rice 2–4 tons per hectare, supporting rapid urbanisation. Farm labour requirements declined with mechanisation, but energy consumption increased sharply: total commercial energy use in Indian agriculture rose roughly six-fold between 1980–81 and 2006–07 alone, from 425.4 to 2,592.8 billion megajoules, as fertiliser manufacturing and irrigation pumps came to depend increasingly on fossil fuels (Jha et al., 2012), contributing substantially to the carbon footprint of India's agricultural sector.

Modern agriculture made India a net food exporter, but serious problems remain. In 2022 alone, 5,207 farmers and 6,083 agricultural labourers died by suicide in India, debt linked to expensive inputs is cited as a recurring factor in this agrarian distress (NCRB, 2023). Sustainable alternatives such as zero-budget natural farming (ZBNF) in Andhra Pradesh represent a partial movement back toward ancient principles, though their performance relative to conventional and organic systems remains scientifically contested (Smith et al., 2020).

## 6. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

### 6.1 Techniques

Ancient Indian methods were adaptive and manual. Wooden sickles and ploughs worked small fields, while jhum and tank irrigation were suited to local conditions. Polyculture combined millets, pulses, and rice, drawing on texts such as the Krishi-Parashara. Knowledge was experiential rather than scientifically precise, but ecologically perceptive.

Modern methods are mechanised and standardised. Tractors, harvesters, and HYVs dominate, with tube wells and drip irrigation supplanting tanks. Chemical inputs and genetically modified crops increase output, with precision tools now used to track field conditions. This shift values scale over adaptability, shifting control from individual farmers toward market-driven systems.

### 6.2 Sustainability

Ancient agriculture was largely sustainable. Crop rotation and organic amendments kept soil fertile; tanks stored water replenished by the monsoon. Mixed cropping promoted biodiversity, reducing dependence on pest control. Threats were primarily natural, chiefly monsoon failure, rather than systemic. Contemporary farming struggles by comparison: monoculture and chemical inputs have degraded soils, and Punjab's soil organic carbon has fallen by roughly 50% since 1965. Over-irrigation has caused land salinisation, and pesticide run-off has contaminated rivers such as the Yamuna. Organic farming and ZBNF point toward corrective directions that recall ancient knowledge.

### 6.3 Efficiency

Ancient efficiency was subsistence-oriented: yields were low (0.5–1 ton/ha), labour input was high and family-based, and energy use was minimal, relying almost entirely on animal and human power. Modern efficiency is considerably higher in output terms: yields reach 3–5 tons per hectare for wheat and 2–4 tons per hectare for rice, and labour requirements per hectare have fallen with mechanisation. This productivity gain has, however, come at a steep energy cost: commercial energy use in Indian agriculture rose roughly six-fold between 1980–81 and 2006–07 alone (Jha et al., 2012), reflecting a structural trade-off between output and resource consumption that did not exist under the animal- and human-powered systems of ancient India. Table 1 summarises this and related trade-offs across the two systems.

***Table 1. Comparative Indicators: Ancient versus Modern Indian Agriculture***

| Indicator | Ancient Indian Agriculture | Modern Indian Agriculture |
|---|---|---|
| Typical cereal yield | 0.5–1 ton/ha (subsistence) | 3–5 tons/ha (wheat); 2–4 tons/ha (rice) |
| Primary energy source | Human and animal labour | Fossil fuels, electricity, and machinery (Jha et al., 2012) |
| Commercial energy-use trend | Minimal and broadly stable | Approx. six-fold increase, 1980–81 to 2006–07 (Jha et al., 2012) |
| Water management | Tanks, wells, rain-fed and flood irrigation | Canals, tube wells, intensive groundwater extraction |
| Soil organic carbon (Punjab) | Maintained via rotation and fallowing | Approx. 50% decline since 1965 |
| Biodiversity impact | Polyculture supported pest resilience and habitat diversity | Monoculture and pesticide use have reduced agro-biodiversity and pollinator populations |
| Typical landholding / labour model | Family- and community-based subsistence farming | Mechanised farming; about 70% of households hold under 1 hectare (NSSO, 2014) |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Principal vulnerability | Monsoon failure; limited storage capacity | Groundwater depletion, input-price volatility, and farmer indebtedness (NCRB, 2023) |

*Note. Figures are illustrative summaries drawn from the sources cited in the text; ancient-period figures are necessarily approximate, being based on archaeological and agronomic inference rather than direct measurement.*

## 7. CASE STUDIES

### 7.1 Ancient: Indus Valley Civilisation Agriculture

***Context***

The Indus Valley Civilisation (c. 3300–1300 BCE) was among the world's most sophisticated early civilisations, located in present-day Pakistan and north-western India. It is well known for an organised agricultural system that sustained large urban populations in cities such as Harappa, Dholavira, and Mohenjo-daro. Situated in the fertile floodplains of the Indus and its tributaries, the civilisation benefited from seasonal monsoon rains, rich alluvial soil, and natural flooding cycles.

***Agricultural Techniques***

A wide range of crops was cultivated. Wheat and barley were the primary staples, supplemented by legumes such as lentils and peas, oilseeds like sesame, and fruits including dates and melons. Cotton was cultivated for the first time anywhere in the Indus Civilisation, indicating early advancement in textile production, and evidence of rice cultivation appears later in regions such as Lothal. Together, this evidence points to an early understanding of crop rotation, soil management, and dietary diversity.

Irrigation and water management contributed significantly to agricultural success. Farmers used both rain-fed and direct flood irrigation, and archaeological sites such as Dholavira have uncovered reservoirs, bunds, and simple canal systems that reveal sophisticated methods of water storage and conveyance, likely reducing both water shortages and flood damage. The urban sites, particularly Mohenjo-daro, had elaborate drainage systems, suggesting that sanitation, water management, and agriculture were treated as an integrated system.

Tools were relatively unsophisticated yet effective: wooden ploughs, some tipped with copper or stone, and sickles for harvesting. Ploughed-field patterns discovered at Kalibangan indicate organised land preparation prior to sowing. Granaries excavated at Harappa and Mohenjo-daro, together with sealed storage jars and standardised weights and measures, suggest centralised storage capable of sustaining cities and buffering against crop failure, possibly supporting trade in agricultural surplus.

***Sustainability***

Sustainability was a defining feature of the Indus Valley agricultural system. Unlike several other ancient civilisations, there is no clear evidence that the Indus Valley's eventual decline was driven by agricultural or environmental collapse. Crop diversity, minimal deforestation, and water conservation supported ecological balance, while widespread animal husbandry provided organic manure that enriched soils without degrading them. Houses were built using kiln-baked bricks rather than large-scale timber, helping to conserve forest cover. In sustainability terms, Indus Valley agriculture compares favourably with modern systems: where contemporary agriculture relies on mechanisation, high-yielding varieties, chemical fertilisers, and intensive irrigation, often at the cost of groundwater depletion, soil degradation, and biodiversity loss, Indus Valley farming was systems-based, prioritising ecological balance through crop diversity and water-smart practices.

***Efficiency***

Indus Valley agriculture was not technologically advanced by modern standards, but it achieved a notable balance between productivity and resource use. Yields were modest, consistent with the 0.5–1 ton per hectare range typical of ancient Indian cereal agriculture, but required only human and animal labour and no synthetic inputs. Centralised granaries and standardised measures suggest the civilisation achieved an efficient allocation of whatever surplus it produced, even without the yield-maximising technologies introduced during the Green Revolution.

***Key Lessons***

The Indus Valley Civilisation demonstrates that past societies could generate productive, sustainable agricultural systems without modern technology, through integrated water management, crop diversity, and community-based storage. As global agriculture seeks to increase output while preserving ecosystem integrity, the Indus Valley case offers a model of systems-based thinking, balancing resource use across farming, water management, and storage, that remains relevant to contemporary sustainability debates.

### 7.2 Modern: Punjab's Green Revolution – A Modern Agricultural Transformation

***Context***

The Green Revolution in India, which began in the 1960s, was a pivotal episode in the country's agricultural history, nowhere more visible than in Punjab. Often called the "breadbasket of India," Punjab became the centre of modern agricultural practice owing to its geography, political will for agrarian reform, and the chemical fertilisers, pesticides, and assured irrigation introduced during this period (Frankel, 1971). The Green Revolution in Punjab was designed to secure India's food-grain self-sufficiency, particularly in wheat and rice, after decades of chronic food shortages following independence.

***Agricultural Techniques***

A central component was the introduction of High Yielding Varieties (HYV) of seed, including wheat varieties developed by Norman Borlaug and adapted for Indian conditions by agricultural scientists such as M. S. Swaminathan. Combined with chemical fertilisers, pesticides, and assured irrigation, HYV seeds raised cropping productivity well beyond historical levels: in the decade following their introduction, wheat-sown area in Punjab more than doubled, and wheat yields more than doubled, turning India from a food-importing country into one capable of food self-sufficiency. Mechanisation accompanied this shift, with tractors, harvesters, threshers, and tube wells becoming common on Punjab's farms, reducing labour requirements and accelerating the pace of cultivation.

This technological transformation was supported by a substantial institutional framework. The government guaranteed subsidised fertilisers, seeds, and electricity, and provided minimum support prices (MSP) that gave producers a stable financial base. Extensive canal systems and abundant groundwater accessed through tube wells enabled year-round irrigated agriculture, while institutions such as Punjab Agricultural University (PAU) disseminated modern agronomic science to farmers.

***Sustainability***

While the Green Revolution model raised yields and food security, it raised serious questions about the long-term sustainability of Punjab's agriculture and its broader ecological balance. Heavy reliance on chemical inputs degraded soils and depleted micronutrients, while wheat-rice monoculture eroded soil health and local agro-biodiversity. Over-extraction of groundwater, particularly for water-intensive rice cultivation, has driven a substantial decline in water table levels, threatening the long-term viability of Punjab's agriculture. Inequality also widened: large landowners with access to credit and capital benefited disproportionately, while marginal and small farmers were often left behind, compounding rural inequality (Johl, 1975), and mechanisation reduced employment opportunities for agricultural labourers. Pesticide overuse has additionally been linked to health problems among farmers and to pollution of water sources.

***Efficiency***

In terms of capacity and efficiency, Punjab's Green Revolution achieved a great deal: it raised output per unit area substantially and reduced India's dependence on food imports. The trade-off, however, was a shift away from long-term ecological health and toward short-term productivity. This tension has fed an ongoing debate over whether India needs a “second Green Revolution” or a structural shift toward sustainable agriculture; policymakers have increasingly emphasised diversification away from water-intensive crops, though implementation has proved difficult, alongside organic farming and integrated pest management.

***Key Lessons***

Compared with ancient systems such as the Indus Valley Civilisation, Punjab's Green Revolution illustrates both the strengths and the costs of modern agriculture. Where ancient systems prioritised environmental balance at the expense of yield, Punjab's modern system prioritised yield and efficiency at the expense of environmental balance. The Punjab case suggests that a genuine synthesis is needed, one that combines the productivity gains of modern agricultural science with the ecological principles embedded in traditional agricultural systems.

### 7.3 Contemporary: Sikkim's Organic Transition – A Model of Sustainable Agriculture

***Context***

In 2016, the Indian state of Sikkim became the world's first fully organic state, a decisive step away from chemical-intensive agriculture. Sikkim's transition illustrates both a policymaking success story and a contemporary attempt to re-situate modern agriculture within an ethic of ecological stability, efficiency, and longevity, values long associated with traditional forms of agriculture. The transition began in 2003, when the state government initiated a phased programme to eliminate synthetic inputs, extending over roughly twelve years and culminating in a total ban on chemical fertilisers and pesticides, the establishment of a certification scheme, farmer training, and the conversion of over 66,000 hectares of farmland into certified organic farms under the Sikkim Organic Mission.

***Agricultural Techniques***

The transition was characterised by integrated nutrient management, composting, crop rotation, bio-pesticides, and vermicomposting. Political will and policy consistency were critical drivers: the state adopted a multi-stakeholder approach involving farmers, extension agents, scientists, certifying bodies, and marketers, supported by awareness campaigns and training sessions intended to reduce farmers' chemical dependency. Financial support and transitional subsidies were offered to offset the income loss typically associated with conversion to organic farming. Sikkim's farmers have integrated traditional knowledge with modern organic science, growing crops such as maize, paddy, millet, ginger, turmeric, and cardamom using natural soil enhancers and pest-control systems.

***Sustainability***

Organic farming has improved soil health, enhanced biodiversity, and protected local water resources from pesticide and chemical run-off. Without chemical fertilisers and pesticides, Sikkim's fields have become habitats for beneficial insects, microbes, and birds, strengthening agro-ecosystem resilience. The Sikkim model performs strongly on multiple sustainability dimensions relative to chemical-intensive agriculture: environmental sustainability has improved through reduced pollution and enhanced soil and biodiversity health; social sustainability has improved through community farming, revitalisation of traditional practices, and increased farmer morale; and economic sustainability, while challenging at first, has begun to improve through expanding opportunities in domestic and global organic markets and growing demand for chemical-free produce. Sikkim's organic identity has also benefited tourism, with agri-tourism emerging as a new economic sector.

***Efficiency***

Sikkim's transition has not been without efficiency costs. Farmers experienced reductions in crop yield and new pest-management challenges during the initial transition period, a pattern consistent with broader scientific evidence that zero-budget and organic approaches in India can face short-term yield penalties and require careful agronomic management to sustain output at scale (Smith et al., 2020). Market access and price premiums for organic produce also remain uneven compared with some other regions, and limited cold storage and processing capacity continue to constrain post-harvest efficiency.

***Key Lessons***

Sikkim offers a different ecological route for Indian agriculture than the chemical-intensive monoculture model of Punjab's Green Revolution, drawing on principles, such as composting, multiple cropping, and respect for ecological balance, that parallel ancient systems like the Indus Valley Civilisation, while adding modern scientific knowledge, certification, and governmental policy capacity that ancient systems lacked. Sikkim's experience suggests that large-scale, state-supported ecological farming is achievable and can form the basis of more secure economic and social futures, offering a counter-narrative to industrial agriculture as global food systems confront climate change, soil health decline, and rural distress (Babajani et al., 2023).

## 8. DISCUSSION

Bringing the three case studies together within the sustainability-science framework used throughout this paper reveals a consistent pattern across the ecological, social, and economic dimensions of agricultural sustainability (Kates et al., 2001). Ecologically, ancient Indian agriculture, exemplified by the Indus Valley Civilisation, achieved long-term soil and water stewardship through crop diversity, organic inputs, and tank-based water management, but at a scale appropriate only to small, dispersed populations. Punjab's Green Revolution inverted this relationship: chemical inputs and monoculture delivered yields that ancient systems could never have matched, but at the cost of soil organic carbon, groundwater reserves, and agro-biodiversity that ancient systems had carefully preserved. Sikkim's organic transition represents an attempt to recover ecological balance without abandoning the institutional capacity, certification systems, and market access that distinguish modern from ancient agriculture, suggesting that the trade-off between productivity and ecological sustainability is shaped by policy choices rather than fixed by technology alone.

Socially, the three cases again diverge instructively. Ancient systems, organised around family and community labour, distributed both the burdens and the meagre surplus of agriculture relatively evenly, though this equity coexisted with vulnerability to famine and limited social mobility. The Green Revolution introduced sharp social stratification: large landowners with access to credit and irrigation infrastructure captured a disproportionate share of the gains, while marginal and small farmers, who still account for roughly 70% of India's farming households operating less than one hectare, became increasingly dependent on expensive purchased inputs (Birthal et al., 2015; NSSO, 2014). Sikkim's transition has attempted to rebuild social sustainability through community-based extension and multi-stakeholder governance, with farmer morale improving even as some economic challenges persist during the transition period.

Economically, the comparison underscores a central tension in Indian agricultural policy. The Green Revolution converted India from a food-deficit to a food-sufficient economy (Frankel, 1971), an achievement that should not be understated given the famines of the colonial period, but it did so by substituting cheap, internally generated inputs, animal labour, organic manure, and rain-fed or tank irrigation, with externally purchased, fossil-fuel-dependent inputs whose costs have since contributed to chronic farmer indebtedness and, in the most severe cases, to farmer suicide (NCRB, 2023). Zero-budget natural farming and Sikkim-style organic transitions represent policy attempts to reduce this input dependency, but the scientific evidence on their yield performance remains mixed: while some assessments find no significant short-term yield penalty for natural farming relative to conventional systems, others caution that long-term yields may be constrained without careful nutrient management at scale (Smith et al., 2020). This is not a reason to dismiss such models, but it is a reason for caution against treating them as a costless return to ancient productivity at modern scale.

Read together, the three cases support this paper's central claim: that a hybrid agricultural model, combining ancient and agroecological principles of polyculture, organic input management, and decentralised water harvesting with the institutional capacity, scientific plant breeding, and market infrastructure developed since the Green Revolution, offers the most plausible path toward agriculture that is simultaneously productive, equitable, and ecologically sustainable. Several existing initiatives point in this direction: zero-budget natural farming in Andhra Pradesh has reduced input costs and improved soil health while harvesting two to three tons per hectare sustainably; the restoration of traditional tank irrigation, as in Tamil Nadu's eri systems, has begun to recover water resources lost during the canal-led expansion of the Green Revolution era; and climate-smart management practices piloted in rice-wheat systems in north-west India have demonstrated that energy-use efficiency can be substantially improved within an otherwise modern cropping system (Kakraliya et al., 2022). Sustained policy support for natural and regenerative farming, rather than continued reliance on chemical-intensive monoculture, would be needed to scale these initiatives nationally.

This convergence of ancient adaptability and modern technological capacity is particularly urgent in the context of climate change. Unpredictable monsoons, rising temperatures, and increasingly frequent extreme weather events threaten both the rain-fed systems that sustain much of India's smallholder agriculture and the irrigation-dependent systems that underpin Green Revolution productivity. The trade-offs documented in this study, between yield and ecological balance, between input intensity and farmer autonomy, and between short-term productivity and long-term resource security, map closely onto the global development agenda captured in Sustainable Development Goal 2 (Zero Hunger) and Sustainable Development Goal 15 (Life on Land), both of which call for agricultural systems that increase productivity without degrading the ecosystems on which future productivity depends. India's agricultural history, spanning continuous cultivation from Mehrgarh to the present, offers an unusually long record from which to draw lessons for that global challenge.

## 9. LIMITATIONS

This study has several limitations that should guide its interpretation. First, the analysis relies entirely on secondary historical, archaeological, and statistical sources rather than primary fieldwork or farmer surveys; as a result, the paper can document broad patterns and trade-offs but cannot speak directly to lived farmer experience or local variation within the case study regions. Second, the comparative method, while valuable for identifying trade-offs across long historical periods, cannot fully control for confounding factors, including population growth, global trade integration, and climatic variation, that separate ancient and modern agricultural outcomes; some differences attributed here to technique and policy may also reflect these broader structural shifts. Third, the geographic scope of this study is limited to India, and the three case studies, while temporally and paradigmatically diverse, cannot represent the full regional diversity of Indian agriculture, particularly dryland and tribal farming systems outside the case study regions. Finally, quantitative comparisons across periods separated by centuries or millennia, such as cereal-yield estimates for ancient agriculture, necessarily rely on archaeological inference and should be read as indicative orders of magnitude rather than precise figures. Future research employing primary fieldwork, sub-national quantitative datasets, and life-cycle assessment methods would help address these limitations.

## 10. CONCLUSION

Indian agriculture stands at a turning point, shaped by a long tradition of ecologically grounded practice and by the transformative, and costly, influence of twentieth-century technology. Where the introduction to this paper framed the central question as a comparison of technique, sustainability, and efficiency, this conclusion synthesises what that comparison reveals: that ancient and modern Indian agriculture represent not a simple progression from worse to better, but two different solutions to the same underlying problem of feeding a population within ecological limits, each successful by its own metric and each carrying costs the other did not. Ancient systems, less productive but ecologically self-sustaining, kept soils healthy and water resources intact through crop rotation, organic manuring, and tank irrigation, yet could not have supported anything resembling India's current population. The Green Revolution and its legacies achieved that scale of productivity, but at the price of ecological degradation, water scarcity, and socioeconomic inequality documented here through the case of Punjab.

The future of Indian agriculture lies not in choosing between the ancient and the modern but in deliberately integrating the strengths of both, an argument advanced here not merely as aspiration but as already partially realised in the cases examined. Sikkim's organic transition demonstrates that state-led conversion to ecologically grounded farming is achievable at scale when backed by sustained institutional investment, even though questions about yield performance and economic transition costs remain open. Zero-budget natural farming and the restoration of traditional irrigation systems point toward a similar synthesis at smaller scale. A genuinely hybrid system, one that takes seriously both the agroecological and traditional ecological knowledge embedded in ancient practice and the scientific, institutional, and market capacity built since the Green Revolution, offers the most credible path toward an agricultural system that is simultaneously productive, equitable, and environmentally sustainable.

Building on this analysis, future research should pursue at least three directions. First, quantitative life-cycle assessments comparing energy, water, and carbon footprints across ancient-inspired, Green Revolution, and hybrid farming systems at comparable spatial scales would substantially strengthen the empirical basis for the trade-offs identified qualitatively in this paper. Second, farmer household-level studies, particularly in transitioning regions such as Sikkim and the ZBNF districts of Andhra Pradesh, are needed to understand how the costs and benefits of agroecological transition are distributed among different categories of farmers, especially smallholders. Third, comparative research extending this paper's framework beyond India, to other regions with long agricultural histories and contemporary modernisation pressures, would help establish whether the trade-offs identified here are specific to the Indian case or reflect a more general pattern in agricultural development. Amid mounting climate pressure and persistent rural distress, pursuing these questions is of more than academic interest: it is central to designing the agricultural systems India, and the world, will depend on in the decades ahead.

**REFERENCES**

Altieri, M. A. (1995). Agroecology: The science of sustainable agriculture. Westview Press.

Babajani, A., Muehlberger, S.1, Feuerbacher, A., & Wieck, C. (2023). Drivers and challenges of large-scale conversion policies to organic and agrochemical-free agriculture in South Asia. International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability, 21(1), Article 2262372. https://doi.org/10.1080/14735903.2023.2262372

Berkes, F. (1999). Sacred ecology: Traditional ecological knowledge and resource management. Taylor & Francis.

Birthal, P. S., Roy, D., & Negi, D. S. (2015). Assessing the impact of crop diversification on farm poverty in India. World Development, 72, 70–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2015.02.015

Chakrabarti, D. K. (1995). The archaeology of ancient Indian cities. Oxford University Press.

Food and Agriculture Organization. (2018). The future of food and agriculture – Alternative pathways to 2050. FAO. https://www.fao.org/3/I8429EN/i8429en.pdf

Frankel, F. R. (1971). India's green revolution: Economic gains and political costs. Princeton University Press.

Gliessman, S. R. (2007). Agroecology: The ecology of sustainable food systems (2nd ed.). CRC Press.

Government of India. (2020). Agricultural statistics at a glance 2020. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare.

Gupta, A. K. (2000). Rewarding traditional knowledge and contemporary grassroots creativity: The role of Grassroots Innovation Network. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge, 1(1), 5–15.

Jha, G. K., Pal, S., & Singh, A. (2012). Changing energy-use pattern and the demand projection for Indian agriculture. Agricultural Economics Research Review, 25(1), 61–68.

Johl, S. S. (1975). Gains of the green revolution: How they have been shared in Punjab. The Journal of Development Studies, 11(3). https://doi.org/10.1080/00220387508421536

Kakraliya, S. K., Jat, H. S., Singh, I., Gora, M. K., Kakraliya, M., Bijarniya, D., Sharma, P. C., & Jat, M. L. (2022). Energy and economic efficiency of climate-smart agriculture practices in a rice–wheat cropping system of India. Scientific Reports, 12, Article 8731. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-12686-4

Kates, R. W., Clark, W. C., Corell, R., Hall, J. M., Jaeger, C. C., Lowe, I., McCarthy, J. J., Schellnhuber, H. J., Bolin, B., Dickson, N. M., Faucheux, S., Gallopin, G. C., Grübler, A., Huntley, B., Jäger, J., Jodha, N. S., Kasperson, R. E., Mabogunje, A., Matson, P., Mooney, H., Moore, B., III, O'Riordan, T., & Svedin, U. (2001). Sustainability science. Science, 292(5517), 641–642. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1059386

Narayanan, S. (2015). The productivity of Agricultural Credit in India. Indira Gandhi Institute of Development Research.

National Sample Survey Office (NSSO). (2014). Key indicators of situation of agricultural households in India. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation. https://mospi.gov.in

Olivelle, P. (2013). King, governance, and law in ancient India: Kautilya's Arthashāstra: A new annotated translation. Oxford University Press.

Reddy, D. N. & Mishra, S.(2012). Agrarian crisis in India. Oxford University Press

Shiva, V. (2016). Who really feeds the world? The failures of agribusiness and the promise of agroecology.

Tripathi, A., & Prasad, A. R. (2009). Agricultural development in India since independence: A study on progress, performance, and determinants. Journal of Emerging Knowledge on Emerging Markets, 1(1), Article 8. https://digitalcommons.kennesaw.edu/jekem/vol1/iss1/8/