



Peer-Review Statements

Amit Kumar^{1,*} Shivani Chaudhry² Jitender Bhandari², Faraz Ahmad¹, Susanthika S¹

¹ Assistant Professor, Christ University, Delhi NCR, India

² Associate Professor, Christ University, Delhi NCR, India

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the 2nd International Conference on Advancing Economics on Redefining Growth: Integrating Economy, Society and Sustainability for a Resilient Future (2nd ICAE) during 25-26 February, 2025 in *Christ University, Delhi NCR, Ghaziabad, India*. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *publication committee* and approved by the editors, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The peer review process followed a single-blind review model. The identities of the authors were concealed from the reviewer. Each submission was examined by at least one reviewer independently.

The conference submissions were managed via emails. All the communication to the authors were through a dedicated conference email.

After receiving full papers, all manuscripts underwent a plagiarism check through Turnitin. The manuscripts which passed the minimum threshold were considered for the next stage. However, those manuscripts which did not met the threshold limit were sent back to author for revision. If revision met the threshold then they were moved to the next step otherwise they were rejected.

Then the editors conducted an initial screening to ensure that the manuscripts aligned with the scope of the conference and assessed their suitability for peer review. The papers which were relevant and of scientific quality were selected for peer review.

After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the reviewer.

Review reports are then judged by one of the editors and if found scientific then it is shared with the authors for the revision.

If the review reports are not logical then editors assign a new reviewer.

The authors were communicated about the reviewers’ comments and were asked to make the required changes in their manuscripts. The editors verify whether the comments have been successfully incorporated or not. Based on that acceptance or rejection were communicated.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers’ comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

- 1. Pertinence of the article’s content to the scope and themes of the conference;
- 2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
- 3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
- 4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
- 5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	120
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	52
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	20
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	16.7%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	15

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Some of the authors (Amit Kumar & Susanthika S) were supervised by the other editors, who has recused themselves from handling their submissions and has delegated them to colleagues with no personal interests in them.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

