# JP Morgan's Financial Risk Management Strategies and Their Implementation Effects on the Post-Financial Crisis Period

Runqi Zheng

School of Accounting, Shanghai Lixin University of Accounting and Finance, Shanghai 200000, China
isabella0512098210@gmail.com

**Abstract.** The global financial crisis in 2008 had a far-reaching impact on the banking industry, prompting the international banking system to carry out profound risk management reform. Taking JP Morgan Chase as a case, this study systematically discusses the evolution, implementation effect and limitations of management and control strategies in the three core areas of credit risk, liquidity risk and market risk during the subsequent financial crisis. It is found that JPMorgan Chase has significantly improved its risk resilience and financial stability by strengthening credit standards, optimizing its asset-liability structure, building a real-time risk monitoring system and strictly abiding by the international regulatory framework represented by Basel III. However, under the new environment of the rise of financial technology, rising regulatory costs and increasing global market linkage, its traditional risk management model is also facing adaptive challenges. This study further refines its enlightenment to global banking risk management, emphasizing the importance of "risk first" culture, dynamic capital and liquidity management mechanism, and actively embracing supervision and industry reform.

**Keywords:** JP Morgan, Financial Risk Management Strategies, Post-Financial Crisis Period.

## 1 Introduction

The 2008 global financial crisis—what many consider the most severe financial shock since the 1930s, did not just shake the economy; it exposed fundamental cracks in how banks understood and managed risk. For example, unregulated rampant financial-engineering practices, risk-assessment models failing at critical junctures, banks operating amid precarious liquidity conditions and unprepared regulators constituted the confluence of factors that led to the collapse of financial stalwarts including Lehman Brothers.The whole system teetered on the brink, and the message was clear: the old way of doing things was broken [1].

In the aftermath, the banking world started to rethink everything. The pre-crisis obsession with growing bigger and chasing high-risk, high-return bets began to fade. A

© The Author(s) 2026
L. C. Yoong (ed.), *Proceedings of the 2026 2nd International Conference on Financial Innovation and Marketing Management (FIMM 2026)*, Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research 408,
https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6239-801-6_27

new priority emerged: building banks that were sound, could withstand shocks, and were built to last. In this new era, risk management was no longer just a supporting act or a compliance checklist. It moved centre stage, becoming a core part of strategy, something that could make or break a bank. That's why the journey of the world's most systemically important banks, and JPMorgan Chase in particular, is so telling. As the largest U.S. bank, it weathered the storm and then spent the next decade overhauling its risk playbook. Its story isn't just a list of best practices; it includes hard knocks like the "London Whale" incident, making it a really insightful case study for anyone looking at how global banking risk culture has changed since the crisis [2].

This paper focuses squarely on JPMorgan Chase and the financial risk management framework it built in the post-crisis years (roughly 2009 to the present). Scholars concentrate on financial risk management, which is a core capability for JP Morgan Chase, as a global trading and retail banking leader, mainly covering three types of risk that most directly impact its profitability and systemic stability: credit risk in corporate/retail lending, liquidity risk in cross-border funding, and market risk in global trading portfolios. Those concerned seek to investigate how JPMorgan's methodologies have matured within these domains, what operational tools the institution utilises, and the real-world performance yielded by its overall framework.

Then people will discuss the outcomes: has this increased the resistance of the bank? People will not stop there, however, and people will also include the new challenges and restrictions which appeared in the process.

The purpose of the paper is to fill a gap by refraining generalizing the regulatory changes and the concept of financial stability theory that JPMorgan can experience on the ground. It demonstrates the way in which real world bank behaviour is dynamic and changes sometimes smoothly, sometimes messily, in accordance with a changing world of rules and expectations [3].

## 2 Financial Risk Management Strategies of JP Morgan in the Post-Financial Crisis Period

### 2.1 Credit Risk Management Strategies

In the wake of the worldwide financial crisis, JPMorgan Chase was no different since it found that it required radical change with regard to its approach to credit risk. This historic emphasis on addressing the losses once they occurred could not be sufficient anymore; what was needed was the prevention of problems before they occurred and maintain a vigilant eye at all times. As a way of spearheading this transformation, the bank made its proprietary model of Credit Metrics portfolio one of its key strategies. In effect, the model transcends gut feelings it uses hard numbers, that is, it examines the relationship between a change in the credit of a portfolio to what will happen in terms of financial loss, which is an irreversible shift in qualitative considerations to quantitative risk management.

To begin with, JPMorgan Chase became considerably more front-door stringent. The bank revamped its credit approval mechanism in which it created a stricter internal

rating system. This system does not simply consider the history of a borrower; it goes deeper towards such things as, the predictability of their future cash flows, the susceptibility of their industry to risky economic cycles, their sensitivity to risks associated with environmental conservation (ESG). The bank is now more active in withdrawing its exposure to sectors considered to be considered as higher risk such as traditional energy or highly leveraged based businesses with more conservative lending policies being enforced. One can see the outcomes of this warning in the figures. To give just one example, its Card Services net charge-off rate stands at 3.32% in Q1 2024; and this, in the context of consumer credit, is an indicator that their tightening of the sieve is functioning as expected.

In addition to the enhancement in the number of loans rejected by the bank, JP Morgan chase also restructured its loans in order to diversify the risk. It actively liquidated its investments in complex, high risk structured instruments making a reduction of 68 percent in its exposure to CDOs in 2009-2011, and a switch to what it perceived to be safer investments as top-quality corporate loans, solid project-finance and sound retail banking divisions like mortgages. It is the same simple yet important principle that is best not to have the concentration of risk in one sphere. This strategic diversification has been clearly contravened in its energy portfolio. The 2023 finances of the bank for low-carbon energy sources were over 1.29 times higher than the high-carbon sources, which shows a positive change in the risk profile of the bank in line with the global green transition.

Unsurprisingly, the most meticulously devised plans are rarely fully executed. These strong defenses have been challenged by a challenging macroeconomic landscape with high-interest rates and unpredictability. The bank had increased its level of volumes of its “criticized loans to 26.01 billion as at Q3 2024, which is 26.3% higher than the year before. This increase is a clear reminder that even the leading risk management framework cannot totally protect a bank against overall economic pressures and that is why such tools as stress testing are so important.

And now people have the last, important piece of the puzzle which is forward-looking simulation. Stress testing is currently inseparable in the fabric of administration at JPMorgan. The bank regularly conducts the scenario to predict how its collection will stand when there is a case of a dire economic downturn. These are not mere learning lessons, the outcome of these is a direct cause of action, dynamic changes in the levels of credit limits and amount of money set aside as a form of loss provision. This offensive strategy worked out in Q1 2024. As the bank was actually writing off 2.0 billion miles of loans, it had accumulated such reserves that it was literally returning 72 million back to its profits illustrating how the anticipatory, intelligent handling of risk can help ease out the inescapable hitches in the path.

## 2.2 Liquidity Risk Management Strategies

Liquidity risk had a frontline and reinforcing role in the financial crisis of 2008 around the world and JP Morgan chase experienced a 40-percent tightening in the short-term inter-bank financing during the peak period. Following the event, the bank has made liquidity safety a strategic priority instead of a daily operational issue; an in-depth

multi-layered defense mechanism which fits the global funding and trading requirements of the bank.

The first and most direct line of defense is the high-quality liquid assets (HQLA) that have been built up in a big amount. The bank substantially augmented belongings of assets like U.S. Treasury securities and agency mortgage-backed securities to become a pathfinder of more than an entire trillion HQLA in 2023, in comparison to the 450 billion HQLA in 2010 that can comparatively effortlessly be converted to cash even in a high stress market environment. This provides a stable source of finance that can be used in case of temporary financial requirements in the event that normal sources are interrupted. Consequently, the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) of JP Morgan Chase has always been far out of the regulatory amount (with an average of 138% between 2020 and 2023) which forms a buffer of confidence [4].

Nevertheless, sizable reserves of money are not enough， it is necessary to have a certain source of capital. Thus, the second tier of defense is aimed at streamlining the funding structure itself. The bank has taken the initiative to decrease its dependence on short-term wholesale funding, including inter-bank borrowing, reducing it to 18% in 2023 (up from 35% in 2008) and shifting directly to developing its own deposit base and increasing long-term debt issuance, which has reached 120 billion long-term bonds in 2022 all on its own. This change greatly reduces the risk of maturity mismatch, that is the risk of financing the long-term assets with short-term debt. Thus, eliminating refinancing pressure in market turbulence [5].

The third line of defense is similar to sentinels and an advanced system of the walls of a castle. JP Morgan Chase does not only meet the Basel III requirements in the LCR and Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR). It captures these metrics and imposes more rigid internal warning thresholds (e.g., internal LCR threshold of 125% as opposed to regulatory 100% threshold) than regulatory ones in order to sustain a sufficient safety margin in a variety of market situations.

The last tier is the emergency plan: constantly improved Contingency Funding Plans (CFPs). JP Morgan Chase has come up with elaborate strategies which outline the available alternative source of funds in case of crisis like facilities of the central bank, asset-backed financing facilities as well as the internal decision-making criteria which are supposed to be approved by the executive within 4 hours of liquidity shock occurring. This will make sure that a timely and well invented retaliation is mobilized in case of a liquidity shock that is comprehensive to the defense-in-depth approach.

### 2.3 Market Risk Management Strategies

Being a leading player in international trading and market making, with a trading volume of more than 10 trillion a day, JP Morgan Chase has a market risk that is ever present and substantial. The years after the crisis and especially after the disastrous costly "London Whale" experience that cost the bank a huge 6.2 billion dollars, the need to overhaul the bank strategy of maneuvering through these perilous waters made sense.

Days when it was possible to stay at the company and use only end-of-day reports are long gone. JPMorgan spent significantly to create a nervous system to its trading

business, a collection of real-time surveillance systems that are driven by big data and AI. Such systems maintain a beat on international market volatility, changing correlations as well as the branches of the bank itself that have gone sprawling. Not merely going to watch but doing. When the movements go outside of the established guardrails, the system does not raise an alarm; it is capable of automatically defining defenses, such as compelling a position reduction or performing a hedge. This change of manual control to automated surveillance is said to have helped the bank save billions of money in losses that would otherwise have been incurred throughout the recent turbulent past.

The bank is cognizant that there is inherent risk in it and as such, it takes strategic derivatives which consist of interest rate swaps, currency forwards and others as shock absorbers to offset certain risks on the books. However, another important lesson that was gained was the lesson of setting boundaries. JPMorgan has taken more stringent precautions to making sure that its traders now have a much stricter limit to the size and the nature of bets that they can partake in particularly when dealing with complex derivatives [6]. It is a philosophy that involves risk management as a cage that nothing can jeopardize the unit as a whole because of the position or desk of the large organizational structure.

Lastly, the bank has changed its attitude to its total asset mix. It has visible inclination towards assets that have more predictable and reliable returns- consider high-grade bonds and infrastructure investments of long term. It is not about pursuing the best returns but constructing a portfolio that is less wild at the time when markets are getting all shaky. This is aimed at a stronger earnings stream, one that can act as ballast when the trading desks are confronted with a storm. Essentially, it is a question of not allowing the exciting elevated-stakes planet of trade to characterize the whole monetary nature of the bank.

## 3 Performance Evaluation and Existing Limitations of JPMorgan Chase's Financial Risk Management Strategies

Despite the significant success in boosting the overall resiliency of risk associated with JPMorgan Chase, post crisis reforms still remains structurally and emergent vulnerable to new challenges in an ever-changing financial world.

### 3.1 Performance Evaluation

In the general sense, the financial risk management system of JPMorgan Chase has been very vital in enhancing stability of the balance sheets and maintaining market confidence.

Concerning credit risk, the bank has been recording a relatively low non-performing loan ratio compared to other systemically important banks in the world. The cost of credit has been kept mostly under control as a result of the increased ex ante credit screening measures as well as continuous monitoring of the portfolios. Interestingly, 2022-2023 (even though in the framework of a high-interest rate environment) credit

loss rates within the Consumer and Community Banking segment were relatively stable, indicating that post-crisis underwriting standards have increased shock absorbing capacity [7].

Regarding management of liquidity risk, the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) and Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR) of JPMorgan Chase have continued to perform better than the requirements of the regulators. The bank was also very liquid in 2023 as the U. S. regional banking stress unfolded. Instead of having to go through deposits outflows, it enjoyed a strong safe-haven effect and received large amounts of deposits by risk-averse depositors.

On market risk and profitability, the risk control measures might have been tightened more, and this might have inhibited the opportunity to make short-term gains through trading. Nonetheless, these actions have significantly increased revenues steadiness and predictability. The capital adequacy ratios have been kept within the bounds of the well-capitalized category which have been adequate buffers to absorb any external shocks and flexible strategic manoeuvres in unpredictable market environments.

### 3.2 Existing Limitations and Potential Risks

Even though JPMorgan Chase has notable advantages, its risk management structure has a number of significant limitations.

Firstly, the operational risk and compliance costs have kept on increasing. The new regulatory programs, including CCAR and TLAC, have heightened the complexity of reporting and capital management regulation, which requires a long-term investment in infrastructure and expert staff. Such regulation costs have continual pressure on efficiency and profitability in the long run.

Second, financial technology has brought new information types of risk. There has been an increase in cybersecurity threats that are more complex and dangerous in terms of data breach and crippling business operations. Meanwhile, the increased application of artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms to credit rating and trading provokes issues associated with the transparency of the model used, the bias in the data, and the tendency of the system to make incorrect decisions. Moreover, the low involvement in the services of crypto-assets puts banks at risk of regulatory risks, market risks, and reputational risks [8].

Third, there is operational complexity and geopolitical risk (global). The different regulatory standards in different jurisdictions especially within the United States and European Union raise compliance costs. Geopolitical frictions and trade frictions can also cause sudden markets shocks, and this increases liquidity and market risk exposure.

Lastly, there are enduring extreme external shocks that are equivalent to black swan risks. The COVID-19 crisis reviewed the importance of localized shocks quickly turning into systemic crises across the entire world. The physical and transition risks associated with climate create other long-term issues that could put a strain on the available stress-testing systems and contingency planning systems.

# 4 Implications for Global Banking Risk Management

JPMorgan Chase experience can teach the global banking industry a number of more general lessons in the post-crisis era.

## 4.1 Establishing a Risk-First Governance Culture

Good risk governance demands boards of directors and senior management to incorporate risk appetite in a strategic decision-making process. The performance evaluation systems must be reasonable in terms of profitability and exposure to risks so that they do not take long-term risk-taking to the limit. In addition, the role of risk management functions should be independent enough to make the adequate response and reaction to the new risks in a timely manner [9].

## 4.2 Developing Dynamic Capital and Liquidity Frameworks

Capital and liquidity management must go beyond its orthodox operation of regulatory compliance to proactive and countercyclical mechanisms. Banks ought to be proactive in keeping an eye on macroeconomic activities and increase capital buffer during economic booms and not react in economic downturns. Extreme but realistic scenarios such as geopolitical shocks and liquidity freeze of the entire market need to feature in stress testing on a regular basis. Depending on such results, elaborate and implementable contingency funding strategies must be put in place long before.

## 4.3 Adapting to Regulatory and Technological Transformation

Instead of perceiving regulation as a limitation, the banks ought to internalize regulatory standards as the basis of enhancing internal risk capabilities. Regulatory technology may also be integrated to improve the process of compliance and decrease the operational number. Meanwhile, developed analytics, artificial intelligence, and distributed ledger technologies provide the possibility to enhance fraud detection, transparency, and settlement efficiency. It will also be necessary to incorporate risk factors related to ESG in the conventional risk models in order to combat long-term sustainability issues [10].

# 5 Conclusion

This paper looks into the evolution of financial risk management since the financial crisis at JPMorgan Chase. The results indicate that the bank was able to employ the lessons due to crisis into systematic risk governance practices. JPMorgan Chase has transformed the reactive risk control to proactive risk management through a process of greater credit screening, extra strong liquidity buffers that are in tandem Basel III, and further enhanced mechanisms of market risk monitoring. These reforms have helped to keep the bank afloat through several epidemics of market stress and also helped to put back its image as the bank that is steady and is survivable. The greater

point here is that competitiveness in banking in the future will be based more on the capability of integrating risk culture which is principled, regulatory flexibility, and technological incorporation.

## References


1. Hearit, K. M., Hearit, L. B.: Commentary—a dimon in the rough: apologetic crisis management at jpmorgan chase. International Journal of Business Communication, 60(1), 351-362 (2023)
2. Hearit, L. B. (2018). JPMorgan Chase, Bank of America, Wells Fargo, and the financial crisis of 2008. International Journal of Business Communication, 55(2), 237-260.Milojević, N., Redžepagić, S.: Prospects of artificial intelligence and machine learning application in banking risk management. Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice, 10(3), 41-57 (2021)
3. Rodgers, M. T., Payne, J. E.: Post-financial crisis changes in financial system structure: an examination of the JP Morgan & Co. Syndicates after the 1907 Panic. Review of Financial Economics, 38, 226-241 (2020)
4. Swartzbaugh, Carson. "JP Morgan Chase Strategic Analysis." (2024).
5. Wang, C. W., Lee, C. C., Chen, M. C.: The effects of economic policy uncertainty and country governance on banks' liquidity creation: International evidence. Pacific-Basin Finance Journal, **71**, 101708 (2022)
6. Zeissler, A. G., Metrick, A.: JPMorgan Chase London Whale D: Risk-Management Practices. Journal of Financial Crises, **1**(2), 92-102 (2019)
7. Dimon, J., Wu, W., Wu, L., Gao, Y.: Viewing the challenges facing the U.S. banking industry from the future development of JPMorgan Chase. China Banking, (07), 43-46 (2025)
8. Yu, Y.: Corporate governance of JPMorgan Chase Bank. Contemporary Financier, (08), 70-73 (2015).

**Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

