Peer-Review Statements

Sri Untari[1], Noorhafidza Binti Mohd Hed[2], Maizura Yasin[3],

Zulfikar Waliyuddin Fattah[4], Selfi Rahmawati[5], Fitri Ariska[6]

[1,4,5,6] Universitas Negeri Malang, East Java, Indonesia

[2]Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris

[3]Universiti Putra Malaysia

[*]Editor-in-Chief of the 3rd ICCEDI. Email:zulfikarfattah.fis@um.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the International Conference on Citizenship Education and Democratic Issues (3rd ICCEDI) on 18th July 2026 at Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Committee and reviewes and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. Review Procedure

The reviews were single-blind. Each submission was examined by at least two reviewers independently.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors whose manuscripts were rejected could revise and resubmit them after responding to reviewers' comments; the decision on the resubmitted version was final and not subject to further appeal. To uphold fairness in peer review, any reviewer with a conflict of interest such as a personal relationship with the author was recused from evaluating the corresponding manuscript, ensuring that the assessment remained free from bias.

© The Author(s) 2026
S. Untari et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Citizenship Education and Democratic Issues (ICCEDI 2026)*, Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research 1039,
https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-626-0_1

2. Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. Key Metrics

Total submissions	34
Number of articles sent for peer review	33
Number of accepted articles	16
Acceptance rate	48,48 %
Number of reviewers	6

4. Competing Interests

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

