Organizational Incentives, Employee Motivation, and Organizational Effectiveness: A Concept Analysis

Habibie

Universitas Sarjanwiyata Tamansiswa, Yogyakarta, Tamansiswa
Habibieof@gmail.com

Abstract. This study aims to address conceptual ambiguities and build an integrated theoretical framework based on Social Exchange Theory to clarify the conceptual relationships between financial incentives, job-related incentives, non-financial incentives, employee motivation and organisational effectiveness. Using a concept analysis approach, we reviewed and critically synthesised relevant literature to identify defining attributes, antecedents, consequences, and conceptual boundaries for each construct. The analysis indicates that financial, job-related and non-financial incentives are separate but complementary aspects of organisational incentive systems which affect organisational effectiveness directly and indirectly through employee motivation. The investigation further indicates conceptual overlaps between the incentive dimensions and suggests that theoretical coherence can be improved through clearer conceptual differentiation. The synthesis of the literature produces ten theoretical propositions that provide an integrated conceptual framework that views employee motivation as the primary mechanism linking organisational incentives and organisational effectiveness. This study contributes to the literature of human resource management by providing practical insights for the design of balanced organisational incentive systems to improve employee motivation and organisational effectiveness and by providing a stronger conceptual base for future empirical research.

Keywords: Financial Incentives; Job-Related Incentives; Non-Financial Incentives; Employee Motivation; Organizational Effectiveness; Social Exchange Theory; Concept Analysis.

1 Introduction

Organisational effectiveness has become one of the most important indicators of an organization's ability to realise its strategic objectives and sustain long-term performance in the present-day competitive, uncertain and dynamic organisational environment. Organisational effectiveness has become a multidimensional construct representing organisation adaptability, resource optimisation, operational efficiency, satisfaction of stakeholders and sustainable competitive advantage as opposed to the extent to which organisational goals are achieved. [1] proposes that organisational effectiveness should be assessed not only by goal achievement, but also by the organization's ability to secure resources, preserve internal stability and adapt to environmental changes. This was

© The Author(s) 2026
K. Chandra Kirana et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Business, Economic, and Social Sustainability (ICOBES 2026)*, Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research 409,
https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6239-811-5_9

further refined in the Competing Values Framework [2] which conceptualised organisational effectiveness as a multidimensional construct that was influenced by competing organisational values, rather than a single universal criterion. Similarly, [3] noted that organisational effectiveness is a multidimensional construct that includes a multitude of organisational performance measures such as stakeholder satisfaction, operational efficiency, employee productivity, organisational sustainability, and long-term competitiveness. The complexity of organisational effectiveness within higher education institutions has increased, as institutional success cannot be limited to academic accomplishments, but also includes the quality of governance, the excellence of educational services, employee productivity, innovation capability, and institutional resilience in light of the ongoing changes of the higher education landscape [4]. In view of this, there has been an increasing awareness among scholars that effective human resource management practices which can foster positive employee attitudes and behaviours are needed to enhance organisational effectiveness.

Among various human resource management practices, incentives have always been recognised as one of the most compelling organisational mechanisms for improving employee motivation, work behaviour and organisational outcomes. incentives are institutional prizes that are intended to recognise employees' efforts and increase their enthusiasm to work. This will promote positive employee behaviour and boost both individual and organisational performance [5]. As organisations continue to grow the idea of incentives has developed greatly and now includes a wider reward system, aside from the traditional monetary compensation, to include financial, career, psychological and social recognition. This development is a reflection of the shift from traditional compensation systems to more comprehensive employee reward strategies designed to enhance performance, employee engagement and long term organisational sustainability. Organisational Commitment.

Despite its wide application in the fields of human resource management, organisational behaviour and public administration, the concept of incentives is still conceptually fragmented. Previous research has provided different definitions and classifications, which has resulted in considerable inconsistencies in the conceptual boundaries of incentives. For some scholars, incentives are usually regarded as primarily financial remuneration such as salaries, bonuses, allowances, and other money benefits [6]. However, other scholars broaden the concept to encompass leadership support, job security, career development, organisational recognition, empowerment, and workplace conditions [4]. This variation mirrors the reality that incentives have become a complex entity with poorly defined theological borders, and hence there is substantial conceptual ambiguity in the literature.

The ambiguity becomes more evident when incentives are categorised into financial incentives, job-related incentives, and non-financial incentives. Financial incentives are often connected with direct monetary incentives that satisfy the economic requirements of employees and boost extrinsic motivation through the use of compensation systems such as salaries, bonuses, and financial perks [6]. In contrast, different research interpret job-related incentives differently . Some researchers describe them as job characteristics, e.g. supportive leadership, job security, job autonomy, and favourable job characteristics [4], while others consider these elements as part of the organisational climate,

leadership practices, or job design, not incentives per se. Non-financial incentives also show similar inconsistencies. Some studies define non-financial incentives as organisational recognition, opportunities for promotion, empowerment and professional development. Other researchers include work-life balance, flexible working arrangements, employee well-being and organisational support in the same conceptual domain [7]. Thus, several organisational practices are presented simultaneously under different conceptual labels, leading to significant conceptual overlap among financial incentives, job-related incentives, non-financial incentives, rewards, compensation, employee benefits, recognition and organisational support. Such inconsistencies complicate the task of discriminating the defining attributes of each concept and hinder the accumulation of coherent theoretical knowledge.

Social Exchange Theory is a commonly used theoretical explanation for how incentives influence organisational outcomes. The theory states that employees will respond to positive organisational treatment with positive attitudes and behaviours that will be beneficial for the organisation [8]. From this perspective, incentives are organisational resources that signal organisational appreciation and support and motivate employees to reciprocate through improved work performance, greater commitment and stronger motivation. Incentives are organisational resources. Hence, motivation of employees is considered as an important psychological mechanism that connects organisational incentives and organisational effectiveness. However, motivation itself is a multidimensional concept which includes psychological empowerment, extrinsic motivation, self-determination and work engagement. This is compounded by the fact that empirical studies operationalise employee motivation in a different way making the interpretation of the ways in which different incentives contribute to organisational effectiveness more complex.

Despite a wealth of empirical studies on the relationships among financial incentives, job-related incentives, non-financial incentives, employee motivation and organisational effectiveness, the current studies are largely focused on testing causal relationships, rather than clarifying the conceptual basis of these constructs. In many studies, financial incentives, rewards, compensation, employee benefits, recognition, job-related incentives, and non-financial incentives are used interchangeably without a clear definition of their defining attributes, antecedents, consequences or empirical referents. These inconsistencies have engendered conceptual ambiguity and overlap, hindering theory development, reducing the comparability of empirical findings, and creating uncertainty about the mechanisms by which various incentives enhance employee motivation and ultimately improve organisational effectiveness.

These conceptual inconsistencies necessitate a comprehensive concept analysis to clarify the conceptual meanings of financial, job-related and non-financial incentives when considering organisational effectiveness. In particular, this inquiry intends to examine the conceptual connections between employee motivation and organisational effectiveness, as well as the defining characteristics, antecedents, consequences and empirical referents of each incentive dimension. The objective of this concept analysis is to strengthen the theoretical basis of incentive research and to induce greater conceptual consistency in future empirical research and to provide a more powerful framework to understand the impact of different organisational incentives on employee motivation

and organisational effectiveness especially in higher education institutions by delineating clearer conceptual boundaries.

2 Literature Review

2.1 Social Exchange Theory

The social exchange theory (SET) [9] explains the relationship between employees and organisations where reciprocity is the underlying principle. SET states that positive employee attitudes and behaviours are reciprocated by positive treatment by the organisation. Within the framework of organisations, organisational resources, financial incentives, job-related incentives and non-financial incentives are used to motivate and commit employees. According to [10], employees who feel they are supported by their organisation and receive fair rewards are more likely to respond with better performance and increased motivation. Thus, SET offers a good theoretical foundation in explaining how organisational incentives enhance employee motivation and subsequently improve organisational effectiveness.

2.2 Organizational Effectiveness

Organisational effectiveness is the organization's capacity to achieve its strategic objectives effectively, to adapt to environmental changes and to use resources efficiently. [11] stressed that organisational effectiveness encompasses more than goal attainment; it also includes internal stability and adaptability. This was further conceptualised by Framework [2] as a multidimensional construct through the Competing Values Framework. Organisational effectiveness is therefore a critical determinant of sustainable organisational performance and is recognised as such by many [3].

2.3 Financial 1ncentives

Financial incentives are offered to employees to encourage them to do more work and have better performance. These incentives often include salaries, bonuses, allowances and other financial benefits that increase employees' extrinsic motivation [12]. [4] found that financial incentives do have a positive impact on workplace performance, as they reinforce desired behaviours. Moreover, [6] found that fair financial incentives significantly increase employees' job performance and motivation.

2.4 Job Related Incentives

Job-related incentives are work-related conditions that encourage employees to perform at a high level as well as to receive direct financial rewards. They consist of job security, autonomy, supportive leadership, and meaningful job characteristics which enhance the

employees' work experiences [4] Layek & Koodamara, (2024) . Positive job incentives increase employees' commitment and motivation to the organisational objectives. In this way, they improve the performance of both individuals and organisations.

2.5 Non-Financial Incentives

Non-financial incentives are intangible rewards that aim to satisfy the psychological and professional needs of employees without providing direct monetary remuneration. The incentives include empowerment, career development, chances for promotion, and recognition [4]. Non-financial incentives enhance employee engagement, loyalty and organisational commitment, Khan dkk., (2024) suggest. They are therefore crucial for guaranteeing the long-term success of an organization.

2.6 Employee Motivation

Employee motivation refers to the internal and external forces that initiate, direct, and sustain employees' work behavior toward organizational objectives. [13] distinguished motivation into intrinsic and extrinsic dimensions that influence employee performance. further argued that supportive organizational environments strengthen employees' motivation and well-being. Consequently, employee motivation is widely recognized as an important predictor of organizational effectiveness.

3 Methodology

The aim of this study is to explore and synthesise theoretical perspectives on the relationships between financial incentives, job-related incentives, non-financial incentives, employee motivation and organisational effectiveness using a concept analysis approach. A comprehensive review and critical synthesis of the relevant literature was undertaken to identify the defining attributes, antecedents, consequences and conceptual boundaries of each construct and to clarify the interrelationships between these constructs within the framework of organisational effectiveness. Concept analysis then followed. The literature review also aimed at resolving conceptual ambiguities and overlaps among the different types of organisational incentives, thereby contributing to a more comprehensive theoretical understanding of their effects on organisational outcomes and employee motivation. Drawing on this conceptual synthesis, the study develops a conceptual framework that shows the direct and indirect contributions of financial incentives, job-related incentives and non-financial incentives to organisational effectiveness through employee motivation. Accordingly, this concept analysis proposes the following theoretical relationships: (1) financial incentives positively influence organizational effectiveness; (2) job-related incentives positively influence organizational effectiveness; (3) non-financial incentives positively influence organizational effectiveness; (4) financial incentives positively influence employee motivation; (5) job-related incentives positively influence employee motivation; (6) non-financial incentives positively influence employee motivation; (7) employee motivation positively

influences organizational effectiveness; (8) employee motivation mediates the relationship between financial incentives and organizational effectiveness; (9) employee motivation mediates the relationship between job-related incentives and organizational effectiveness; and (10) employee motivation mediates the relationship between non-financial incentives and organizational effectiveness.

4 Result and Discussion

4.1 Financial Incentives and Employee Motivation

The conceptual synthesis is that the basic idea of using financial incentives to improve employee motivation is to reinforce positive work behaviours, while at the same time meeting the economic expectations of employees. According to the Social Exchange Theory, the employees who feel that their salaries, bonuses and financial benefits are fair tend to reciprocate by enhancing their commitment to the organisation and work efforts . Transparent and equitable financial incentive schemes have been shown in prior research to bolster intrinsic and extrinsic motivation, driving employees to achieve organisational goals [4] [6]. The analysis of the concept reveals that financial incentives positively influence the motivation of the employees.

4.2 Job-Related Incentives and Employee Motivation

Job-related incentives are effective leadership, meaningful job characteristics and job security, which are the organisational conditions creating a supportive work environment. Social Exchange Theory proposes that employees who are exposed to supportive working conditions are more likely to perceive the level of organisational care as high and consequently be more motivated and committed. Previous studies have shown that leadership support, job security and well-designed jobs motivate employees, which in turn, increases their psychological security, engagement and trust [4] [14]. Thus, it is found from this concept analysis that employment-related incentives positively influence on motivation of employees.

4.3 Non-Financial Incentives and Employee Motivation

Employee Motivation
The key goals of non-financial incentives are to motivate, empower, provide career development and advancement opportunities. Non-financial rewards meet the psychological and professional needs of employees. Although financial incentives are widely used, they increase the intrinsic motivation of employees through enhancing feelings of competence, appreciation, and attachment to the organization. Research has shown that when employees are recognised and empowered, they are more likely to show engagement, commitment and a willingness to contribute beyond the formal job requirements [4] [6] [7]. Summarising, the concept analysis showed that non-financial incentives have a positive effect on employee motivation.

4.4 Employee Motivation and Organizational Effectiveness

Employee motivation is an important psychological mechanism that converts individual effort into organisational performance and effectiveness. Social Exchange Theory suggests that the more motivated employees are, the more they show commitment, productivity and work quality in response to organisational support which is beneficial to the success of the organisation. Motivated employees enhance the quality of service, operational efficiency and overall organisational performance in many organisational contexts [4] [6] [15]. Thus, the concept analysis indicates that employee motivation has a positive impact on the effectiveness of the organization.

4.5 Financial Incentives and Organizational Effectiveness

The effectiveness of the organisation is directly influenced by financial incentives, which encourage the employees to exceed the goals and productivity of the organisation. Adequate financial compensation is an organisational appreciation signal that reinforces reciprocal behaviours that improve employee performance and organisational outcomes. Earlier studies have shown that competitive compensation systems improve organisational performance, quality of work and employee productivity by increasing employee satisfaction and commitment [6] [4] [16]. Hence, the concept analysis shows that financial incentives are positively related to organisational effectiveness.

4.6 Job-Related Incentives and Organizational Effectiveness

Job-related incentives may improve the efficiency of an organization by offering work environments that are conducive to the performance of employees and the organization's stability. Quality of leadership, job security and meaningful work are a motivating force for employees to perform at their best and they encourage collaboration and organisational commitment. Past studies have indicated that encouraging productive employee behaviours, via secure work environments and supportive leadership, may significantly improve the outcomes of organisations [4] [15] [17].Therefore, the concept analysis indicates that employment incentives have a positive effect on the effectiveness of an organization.

4.7 Non-Financial Incentives and Organizational Effectiveness

Non-financial incentives enhance the effectiveness of an organization by creating a psychological bond between the employees and the organization in the form of career development, empowerment and recognition. These incentives encourage employees to engage in more discretionary behaviour, commitment and engagement, which ultimately leads to improved organisational performance. Previous studies have consistently reported that recognition and professional development opportunities contribute to organisational effectiveness by fostering long-term organisational commitment and increased employee satisfaction [4] [7] [18]. Therefore, the results of this concept

analysis indicate that non-financial incentives have a positive effect on organisational effectiveness.

4.8 The Mediating Role of Employee Motivation in the Relationship between Financial Incentives and Organizational Effectiveness

Organisational motivation is the psychological process converting financial incentives into organisational effectiveness. Monetary incentives motivate employees to work harder which increases the organisational productivity and performance. In fact, employee motivation partially mediates the positive effect of financial incentives on organisational outcomes by increasing employee engagement and commitment [6] [4]. Therefore, this concept analysis concludes that employee motivation is a mediating variable between organisational effectiveness and financial incentives.

4.9 The Mediating Role of Employee Motivation in the Relationship between Job-Related Incentives and Organizational Effectiveness

Job-related incentives, supportive leadership, meaningful work and job security all increase the motivation of employees, improving the effectiveness of the organization. Motivated employees are normally more productive and more committed to the organization because of favourable working conditions. Motivation has been identified as the main mechanism between supportive work environments and organisational effectiveness in the previous studies based on Social Exchange Theory [4] [15]. Thus, the results of this concept analysis suggest that employee motivation mediates the relationship between organisational efficacy and job-related incentives.

4.10 The Mediating Role of Employee Motivation in the Relationship between Non-Financial Incentives and Organizational Effectiveness

Non-financial incentives indirectly help in improving organisational effectiveness by enhancing employee motivation through career development, empowerment and recognition. If employees are given opportunities for professional development and feel appreciated at the workplace, they will be more likely to go beyond the formal job roles. This will result in better organisational performance. In line with earlier studies, motivation demonstrates that non-financial incentives improve organisational effectiveness by improving employee engagement, commitment, and performance [6] [7] [19]. Thus, the results of this concept analysis provide evidence that the relationship between organisational effectiveness and non-financial incentives is mediated by employee motivation.

5 Conclusion

This concept analysis aims to explore the defining attributes, antecedents, consequences and conceptual boundaries of financial incentives, job-related incentives, non-financial

incentives, employee motivation and organisational effectiveness through a review of theoretical and empirical literature to clarify the conceptual linkages between them. The analysis shows that financial incentives, job-related incentives and non-financial incentives are distinct but complementary dimensions of organisational incentives systems that affect organisational effectiveness through employee motivation. The Social Exchange Theory provides an attractive theoretical framework for these reciprocal relationships. This study proposes an integrated conceptual framework that brings these findings together, reducing the conceptual ambiguity and overlap among the constructs and enhancing the theoretical understanding of the organisational incentive systems. It also develops ten theoretical propositions . The research makes two contributions to the field of human resource management. Firstly, it has a practical application for organisations who are interested in improving organisational effectiveness through balanced incentive strategies combining financial, work related and non financial rewards. It then sets the stage for a more sophisticated conceptual framework for future studies. Future research is encouraged to empirically validate the proposed conceptual framework in a variety of cultural settings and organisational contexts to further investigate the generalisability of the theoretical relationships.

References

[1] K. Cameron, "Measuring organizational effectiveness in institutions of higher education," *Administrative science quarterly*, pp. 604–632, 1978.

[2] R. E. Quinn and J. Rohrbaugh, "A spatial model of effectiveness criteria: Towards a competing values approach to organizational analysis," *Management science*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 363–377, 1983.

[3] P. J. Richard, T. M. Devinney, G. S. Yip, and G. Johnson, "Measuring organizational performance: Towards methodological best practice," *Journal of management*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 718–804, 2009.

[4] P. D. Chatzoglou, A. D. Diamantidis, and A. Karras, "Unveiling employee motivating factors during economic crisis periods: A structural approach," *J Occupat & Organ Psyc*, vol. 97, no. 3, pp. 1148–1165, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.1111/joop.12507.

[5] Lina Kartika, "The Influence of Employee Incentives and Work Motivation on Employee Performance," *jemes*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 132–138, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.61502/jemes.v2i3.108.

[6] D. Elamalki, A. Kaddar, and N. Beniich, "Impact of motivation on the job performance of public sector employees: the case of Morocco," *Futur Bus J*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 53, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1186/s43093-024-00342-4.

[7] M. A. Khan, M. R. Farooqi, M. F. Ahmad, S. Haque, and A. Alkhuraydili, "Influence of Compensation, Performance Feedback on Employee Retention in Indian Retail Sector," *Sage Open*, vol. 14, no. 2, p. 21582440241236615, Apr. 2024, doi: 10.1177/21582440241236615.

[8] D. Layek and N. K. Koodamara, "Impact of contingent rewards and punishments on employee performance: the interplay of employee engagement," *F1000Res*, vol. 13, p. 102, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.12688/f1000research.144019.2.

[9] C. M. Wallenburg and R. Handfield, "Social exchange theory," in *Handbook of theories for purchasing, supply chain and management research*, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2022, pp. 267–282.

[10] R. Cropanzano and M. S. Mitchell, "Social exchange theory: An interdisciplinary review," *Journal of management*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 874–900, 2005.

[11] K. S. Cameron and M. Tschirhart, "Postindustrial environments and organizational effectiveness in colleges and universities," *The Journal of Higher Education*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 87–108, 1992.

[12] D. F. Vakouftsis, "HUMAN RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND MOTIVATION," *KNOWLEDGE-International Journal*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 25–30, 2024.

[13] B. Solikhah, A. Wahyudin, M. A. S. Al-Faryan, N. N. Iranda, A. Hajawiyah, and C.-M. Sun, "CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MECHANISMS AND EARNINGS QUALITY: IS FIRM SIZE A MODERATION VARIABLE?," *Journal of Governance and Regulation*, vol. 11, no. 1 special issue, pp. 200–210, 2022, doi: 10.22495/jgrv11i1siart1.

[14] I. Iddrisu, "Influence of staff performance on public university operations: Examining motivation and retention factors," *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 100744, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100744.

[15] F. Johri, Dr. S. B. Bisht, and Prof. A. K. M. Mishra, "The role of employee motivation in linking sustainable leadership and organizational effectiveness: evidence from higher education institutions in India," *Futur Bus J*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 20, Dec. 2026, doi: 10.1186/s43093-026-00733-9.

[16] I. Ibrahim and N. Z. Abiddin, "The Impact of Incentives on Employee Productivity: Review of Past Literatures," *JBAR*, vol. 12, no. 2, p. 19, Nov. 2023, doi: 10.5430/jbar.v12n2p19.

[17] W. Liu and Y. Liu, "The Impact of Incentives on Job Performance, Business Cycle, and Population Health in Emerging Economies," *Front. Public Health*, vol. 9, p. 778101, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.778101.

[18] E. Figueiredo, C. Margaça, J. C. S. García, and C. Ribeiro, "The Contribution of Reward Systems in the Work Context: A Systematic Review of the Literature and Directions for Future Research," *J Knowl Econ*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 16525–16559, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s13132-024-02492-w.

[19] J. Peemanee, R. Weerarathna, A. Issarapaibool, S. Boonlua, and N. Rathnayake, "Beyond compensation: effect of employee benefits on job motivation, performance, and turnover intention," *Cogent Business & Management*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 2607764, Dec. 2026, doi: 10.1080/23311975.2025.2607764.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

