

# Strong Law of Large Numbers for $\alpha$ -Mixing Sequences

Yang Wenquan

School of mathematics and computer sciences,  
Jiangnan University,  
Wuhan, China  
yangwenq@jhu.edu.cn

**Abstract**—For independent identically distributed random variables, the Marcinkiewicz strong law of large numbers is that suppose  $EX_n = 0$ , Then  $n^{-1/p} S_n \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty, a.s.$  if and only if  $E|X_1|^p < \infty$ . Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be an identically distributed  $\alpha$ -mixing sequence of random variables, in this paper, the Marcinkiewicz's strong law of large numbers for  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is discussed, by using Wang Xiaoming's Borell-Cantelli Lemma (Wang X. M.1997), we have the following equivalences,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$

$$2^{-nr}(S_{2^n+2^{n-1}} - S_{2^n}) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty, a.s. \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n^{(1)}) < \infty,$$

$$2^{-nr}(S_{2^{n+1}} - S_{2^n+2^{n-1}}) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty, a.s. \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n^{(2)}) < \infty,$$

$$2^{-nr} \max_{2^n \leq j \leq 2^{n+1}} |S_j - S_{2^n}| \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty, a.s. \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(B_n^{(1)}) < \infty,$$

$$2^{-nr} \max_{2^n+2^{n-1} \leq j \leq 2^{n+1}} |S_j - S_{2^n+2^{n-1}}| \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty, a.s. \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(B_n^{(2)}) < \infty.$$

By use of Herrndorf's maximal inequality (Herrndorf N. 1983), necessary conditions for Marcinkiewicz's strong law of large numbers are obtained, which require low mixing speed. As a consequence, by use of Shao Qiman's result(1995), we obtain the Marcinkiewicz's strong law of large numbers for  $\rho$ -mixing sequence of random variables.

**Keywords**-  $\alpha$ -mixing; strong law of large numbers; necessary conditions; strong convergence. **AMS 2000 subject classification**: 60F15, 60G50.

## I. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables,

$$\text{let } S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, n \geq 1; S_0 = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1^k = \sigma(X_i, 1 \leq i \leq k),$$

$$\mathcal{F}_k^\infty = \sigma(X_i, i \geq k).$$

For  $n \geq 0$ , let

$$\alpha(n) @ \sup_{k \geq 1} \sup \{ |P(AB) - P(A)P(B)|,$$

$$A \in \mathcal{F}_1^k, B \in \mathcal{F}_{k+n}^\infty \};$$

$$\varphi(n) @ \sup_{k \geq 1} \sup \{ |P(B/A) - P(B)|,$$

$$A \in \mathcal{F}_1^k, B \in \mathcal{F}_{k+n}^\infty, P(A) > 0 \};$$

$$\rho(n) @ \sup_{k \geq 1} \sup \{ |EXY - EXEY|:$$

$$X \in L_2(\mathcal{F}_1^k), Y \in L_2(\mathcal{F}_{k+n}^\infty) \}.$$

If  $\alpha(n) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\varphi(n) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\rho(n) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$  respectively, the  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is called  $\alpha$ -mixing,  $\varphi$ -mixing,  $\rho$ -mixing respectively.

For i.i.d random variables, the Marcinkiewicz strong law of large numbers is that

**Theorem A**. suppose  $EX_n = 0$ , Then

$$n^{-\frac{1}{p}} S_n \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty, a.s. \quad (1)$$

if and only if  $E|X_1|^p < \infty$ .

Lately there has been a great amount of work on strong law of large numbers for dependent random variables, such as the discussion by Wang Xiaoming(1997) Xue Liugen(1994) on  $\varphi$ -mixing sequences, the discussion by Kuczmaszewska A.(2005), Shao Qiman(1995) on  $\rho$ -mixing sequences, and the discussion by Meng Y. J., Lin Z.Y.(2010), Bryc W., Smolenski W.(1993), Peligrad M., Gut A. Yang S. C. (1998), Kuczmaszewska (2008) on  $\beta$ -mixing sequences. In this paper, we obtain the necessary conditions for strong law of large numbers similar to that of theorem A for  $\alpha$ -mixing sequences.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be an identically distributed  $\alpha$ -mixing sequence of random variables, assume that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha(2^n) < \infty \quad (2)$$

$$\varphi(1) < 1 \quad (3)$$

If for some  $r > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-r} S_n = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\text{Then } E|X_1|^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty \quad (5)$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be an identically distributed  $\rho$ -mixing sequence of random variables with

$EX_n = 0$ , assume that  $1 \leq p < 2$ ,  $\varphi(1) < 1$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho(2^n) < \infty$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/p} S_n = 0. \quad a.s.$$

if and only if

$$E|X_1|^p < \infty.$$

## 2. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

To prove our theorem, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** (Wang Xiaoming 1997) Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be an  $\alpha$ -mixing sequence of random variables, assume that  $A_n \in \mathcal{F}_{u_i}^{v_i}$ , where  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  are positive integers satisfying  $u_i \leq v_i \leq u_{i+1} \leq v_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, L$ ,

if  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha(u_{i+1} - v_i) < \infty$ , then

$$P(A_n, i.o.) = 1 \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \infty.$$

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  satisfies (2), then for  $r > 0$ ,

$$2^{-nr} (S_{2^n + 2^{n-1}} - S_{2^n}) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty, a.s. \quad (6)$$

$$\iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n^{(1)}) < \infty, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0; \quad (7)$$

$$2^{-nr} (S_{2^{n+1}} - S_{2^n + 2^{n-1}}) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty, a.s. \quad (8)$$

$$\iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n^{(2)}) < \infty, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0. \quad (9)$$

Where

$$A_n^{(1)} @ A_n^{(1)}(\varepsilon, r)$$

$$@ \{ |S_{2^n + 2^{n-1}} - S_{2^n}| \geq \varepsilon 2^{nr} \}, n \geq 1$$

$$A_n^{(2)} @ A_n^{(2)}(\varepsilon, r)$$

$$@ \{ |S_{2^{n+1}} - S_{2^n + 2^{n-1}}| \geq \varepsilon 2^{nr} \}, n \geq 1$$

**Proof.** Clearly,  $A_n^{(1)} \in \mathcal{F}_{2^n+1}^{3 \times 2^{n-1}}$ , then  $u_n = 2^n + 1$ ,  $v_n = 3 \times 2^{n-1}$ , by (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha(u_{n+1} - v_n) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha(2^{n-1} + 1) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha(2^{n-1}) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 1, we have

$$(7) \iff P(A_n^{(1)}, i.o.) = 0 \iff (6).$$

Now, we prove (8)  $\iff$  (9).

Obviously,  $A_n^{(1)} \in \mathcal{F}_{3 \times 2^{n-1}+1}^{2^{n+1}}$ , then  $u_n = 3 \times 2^{n-1} + 1$ ,  $v_n = 2^{n+1}$ , by (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha(u_{n+1} - v_n) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha(2^n + 1) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha(2^n) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 1, we have

$$(9) \iff P(A_n^{(2)}, i.o.) = 0 \iff (8).$$

The proof of lemma 2 is complete.

**Lemma 3.** (Herrndorf N. 1983) Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be an arbitrary sequences of random variables. Suppose that  $q$  is a positive integer. Then for any  $a > 0$ , every positive integer  $s \geq q + 1$ , and nonnegative integer  $m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(1 - \varphi(q) - \max_{q \leq j \leq s} P(|S_{m+s} - S_{m+j}| \geq a)\right) \\ &\cdot P\left(\max_{j \leq s} |S_{m+j} - S_m| \geq 3a\right) \\ &\leq P(|S_{m+s} - S_m| \geq a) + P\left((q-1) \max_{j \leq s} |X_{m+j}| \geq a\right) \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4.** Suppose that  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  satisfies (2), then for  $r > 0$ ,

$$2^{-nr} \max_{2^n \leq j \leq 2^n + 2^{n-1}} |S_j - S_{2^n}| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad a.s. \quad (10)$$

$$\iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(B_n^{(1)}) < \infty, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0; \quad (11)$$

$$2^{-nr} \max_{2^n + 2^{n-1} \leq j \leq 2^{n+1}} |S_j - S_{2^n + 2^{n-1}}| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad a.s. \quad (12)$$

$$\iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(B_n^{(2)}) < \infty, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0; \quad (13)$$

Where

$$B_n^{(1)} @ B_n^{(1)}(\varepsilon, r)$$

$$@ \{ \max_{2^n \leq j \leq 2^n + 2^{n-1}} |S_j - S_{2^n}| \geq \varepsilon 2^{nr} \}, n \geq 1;$$

$$B_n^{(2)} @ B_n^{(2)}(\varepsilon, r)$$

$$@ \{ \max_{2^n + 2^{n-1} \leq j \leq 2^{n+1}} |S_j - S_{2^n + 2^{n-1}}| \geq \varepsilon 2^{nr} \}, n \geq 1.$$

**Proof.** Clearly,  $B_n^{(1)} \in \mathcal{F}_{2^n+1}^{3 \times 2^{n-1}}$ , then  $u_n = 2^n + 1$ ,  $v_n = 3 \times 2^{n-1}$ , by (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha(u_{n+1} - v_n) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha(2^{n-1} + 1) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha(2^{n-1}) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 1, we have

$$(11) \iff P(B_n^{(1)}, i.o.) = 0 \iff (10).$$

Now, we prove (12)  $\iff$  (13).

Obviously,  $B_n^{(1)} \in \mathcal{F}_{3 \times 2^{n-1}+1}^{2^{n+1}}$ , then  $u_n = 3 \times 2^{n-1} + 1$ ,  $v_n = 2^{n+1}$ , by (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha(u_{n+1} - v_n) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha(2^n + 1) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha(2^n) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 1, we have

$$(13) \iff P(A_n^{(2)}, \text{i.o.}) = 0 \iff (12).$$

The proof of lemma 3 is complete.

**Lemma 5.** (Shao Q. M. 1995) Suppose that  $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1, p\alpha \geq 1$ . Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be an identically distributed  $\rho$ -mixing sequence of random variables with  $EX_n = 0$  and  $E|X_n|^p < \infty$ . Assume that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho^{2/r}(2^n) < \infty.$$

Where  $r = 2$  if  $1 \leq p < 2$  and  $r > p$  if  $p \geq 2$ , Then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p\alpha-2} P(\max_{i \leq n} |S_i| \geq \varepsilon n^\alpha) < \infty.$$

**Proof of theorem 1.** By (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-r} S_n &\xrightarrow{P} 0, n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (14) \\ 2^{-nr} (S_{2^n+2^{n-1}} - S_{2^n}) &= 2^{-nr} S_{3 \times 2^{n-1}} - 2^{-nr} S_{2^n} \\ &= \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{-r} (3 \times 2^{n-1})^{-r} S_{3 \times 2^{n-1}} - 2^{-nr} S_{2^n} \rightarrow 0, \\ &\quad n \rightarrow \infty, a.s \end{aligned}$$

That is (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (6).

By (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{-nr} (S_{2^{n+1}} - S_{2^n+2^{n-1}}) \\ &= 2^{-nr} S_{2^{n+1}} - 2^{-nr} S_{2^n+2^{n-1}} \\ &= 2^r (2^{n+1})^{-r} S_{2^{n+1}} - \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{-r} (3 \times 2^{n-1})^{-r} S_{3 \times 2^{n-1}} \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, a.s \end{aligned}$$

That is (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (8).

By lemma 2 we get (6) + (8)  $\Rightarrow$  (7) + (9). In the following, we show that (14) + (7)  $\Rightarrow$  (11).

Put  $m = 2^n, s = 2^{n-1}, q = 1, a = \varepsilon 2^{nr}$ , by lemma 3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(1 - \phi(q) - \max_{q \leq j \leq s} P(|S_{m+s} - S_{m+j}| \geq a)\right) \\ &\quad \cdot P(\max_{j \leq s} |S_{m+j} - S_m| \geq 3a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq P(|S_{m+s} - S_m| \geq a) + P\left((q-1) \max_{j \leq s} |X_{m+j}| \geq a\right)$$

By (3), we get  $1 - \phi(1) > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{1 \leq j \leq 2^{n-1}} P(|S_{2^n+2^{n-1}} - S_{2^n+j}| \geq \varepsilon 2^{nr}) \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2^{n-1}} \left( P(|S_{2^n+2^{n-1}}| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} 2^{nr}) + P(|S_{2^n+j}| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} 2^{nr}) \right) \\ &\leq 2 \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2^{n-1}} P(|S_{2^n+j}| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} 2^{nr}) \end{aligned}$$

Combining  $1 - \phi(1) > 0$  with (14) yields that there exists a positive integer  $N_1$  such that for  $n > N_1$ ,

$$2 \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2^{n-1}} P(|S_{2^n+j}| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} 2^{nr}) \leq \frac{1}{2} (1 - \phi(1)).$$

Hence,

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq 2^{n-1}} P(|S_{2^n+2^{n-1}} - S_{2^n+j}| \geq \varepsilon 2^{nr}) \leq \frac{1}{2} (1 - \phi(1)),$$

By (15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{j \leq 2^{n-1}} P(|S_{2^n+j} - S_{2^n}| \geq 3\varepsilon 2^{nr}) \\ &\leq 2(1 - \phi(1))^{-1} P(|S_{2^n+2^{n-1}} - S_{2^n}| \geq \varepsilon 2^{nr}). \end{aligned}$$

So (11) follows from (7) immediately. In the following, we show that

(11) + (13)  $\Rightarrow$

$$P\left(\max_{2^n < j \leq 2^{n+1}} |S_{2j} - S_{2^n}| \geq \varepsilon 2^{nr}\right) \leq \infty, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad (16)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \max_{2^n < j \leq 2^{n+1}} |S_j - S_{2^n}| \geq \varepsilon 2^{nr} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \max_{2^n < j \leq 2^n+2^{n-1}} |S_j - S_{2^n}| \geq \varepsilon 2^{nr} \right\} \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ \max_{2^n+2^{n-1} < j \leq 2^{n+1}} |S_j - S_{2^n}| \geq \varepsilon 2^{nr} \right\} \\ &\subset B_n^{(1)}(\varepsilon, r) \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ \max_{2^n+2^{n-1} < j \leq 2^{n+1}} |S_j - S_{2^n+2^{n-1}}| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} 2^{nr} \right\} \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ |S_{2^n+2^{n-1}} - S_{2^n}| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} 2^{nr} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\subset B_n^{(1)}(\varepsilon/2, r) \cup B_n^{(2)}(\varepsilon/2, r), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

So (16) follows from (11) and (13) immediately. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &P\left(\max_{2^n < j \leq 2^{n+1}} |X_j| \geq \varepsilon 2^{nr}\right) \\ &= P\left(\max_{2^n < j \leq 2^{n+1}} |S_j - S_{j-1}| \geq \varepsilon 2^{nr}\right) \\ &\leq P\left(\max_{2^n < j \leq 2^{n+1}} |S_j - S_{2^n}| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} 2^{nr}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$+P(\max_{2^n < j \leq 2^{n+1}} |S_{j-1} - S_{2^n}| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} 2^{nr})$$

$$\leq 2P(\max_{2^n < j \leq 2^{n+1}} |S_j - S_{2^n}| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} 2^{nr})$$

So (16) yields that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(\max_{2^n < j \leq 2^{n+1}} |X_j| \geq \varepsilon 2^{nr}) < \infty, \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad (17)$$

It follows from (17) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(\max_{j \leq 2^n} |X_j| \geq \varepsilon 2^{nr}) < \infty, \forall \varepsilon > 0. \quad (18)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{n=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} \frac{1}{n} P(\max_{j \leq n} |X_j| \geq \varepsilon n^r)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} \frac{1}{2^m} P(\max_{j \leq 2^{m+1}} |X_j| \geq \varepsilon 2^{mr})$$

$$\leq P(\max_{j \leq 2^{m+1}} |X_j| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^r} 2^{(m+1)r}).$$

So (18) yields that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(\max_{j \leq n} |X_j| \geq \varepsilon 2^{mr}) < \infty, \forall \varepsilon > 0,$$

$$P(\max_{j \leq n} |X_j| \geq \varepsilon n^r) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty, \forall \varepsilon > 0,$$

$$P(\max_{j \leq n} |X_j| < \varepsilon n^r) \rightarrow 1, n \rightarrow \infty, \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Put  $\varphi_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n$ , since  $\varphi(1) < 1$ , so  $\varphi_0 < 1$ .

$$P(\max_{j \leq n} |X_j| < n^r) - \varphi(n) \rightarrow 1 - \varphi_0, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

There exists a positive integer  $N \geq N_1$ , such that for  $n > N$ ,

$$P(\max_{j \leq n} |X_j| < n^r) - \varphi(N) > (1 - \varphi_0) / 2$$

Since  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is identically distributed, so it follows from (19) and (22) that

$$\infty > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(\max_{j \leq n} |X_j| \geq n^r)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} P(\max_{j \leq n} |X_j| \geq n^r)$$

$$+ \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(\max_{j \leq n} |X_j| \geq n^r)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N P(|X_n| \geq n^r)$$

$$+ \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{N} \rfloor} P(|X_{(i-1)N+1}| \geq n^r, \max_{iN+1 < j \leq n} |X_j| \geq n^r)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N P(|X_n| \geq n^r)$$

$$+ \frac{1 - \varphi_0}{2} \frac{1}{N(N+1)} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| \geq n^r)$$

$$\geq C_1 \sum_{n=1}^N P(|X_n| \geq n^r)$$

$$\geq C_2 E |X_1|^{1/r}$$

Where  $[x]$  denotes the integer part of  $x$ ,  $C_1, C_2$  are constants. The proof of theorem 1 is complete.

## Proof of theorem 2.

Since a  $\rho$ -mixing sequence is an  $\alpha$ -mixing sequence,  $\alpha(n)/4 \leq \rho(n)$ , so the necessity of theorem 2 follows from that of theorem 1 immediately.

Put  $\alpha = 1/p$ , ( $1 \leq p < 2$ ) by lemma 5 we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} P(\max_{j \leq n} |X_j| \geq \varepsilon n^{1/p}) < \infty.$$

It is easy to see (20)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/p} S_n = 0. \quad a.s. \quad (21)$$

This completes the proof of the sufficiency of theorem 2.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Marcinkiewicz J. Zygmund A. Sur les fonctions indépendantes, Fund. Math., 29 :60-90(1937).
- [2] Wang xiaoming, A further discussion on the convergence rates of the strong law for non-stationary  $\rho$ -mixing sequences. Acta Math. Sinica, 40, 675-686 (1997).
- [3] Xue Liugen. Convergence rates of the strong law of large numbers for a mixing sequence(in Chinese). J.Sys.& Math.Scis, 14, 213-221 (1994).
- [4] Kuczmaszewska A. The strong law of large numbers for dependent random variables. Statist. Probab. Lett. 73, 305-314(2005).
- [5] Shao Q. M. Maximal inequalities for partial sums of  $\rho$ -mixing sequences. Ann. Prob., 23, 948-965 (1995).
- [6] Herndorf N. The invariance principle for  $\rho$ -mixing sequences. Z.Wahrsch.Verw Gebiete, 63, 97-108 (1983).
- [7] Meng Y. J., Lin Z.Y. Strong laws of large numbers for  $\tilde{\rho}$ -mixing random variables. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 365, 711-717(2010).
- [8] Bryc W., Smolenski W., Moment conditions for almost sure convergence of weakly correlated random variables, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 119 (2), 629-635(1993).
- [9] Peligrad M., Gut A., Almost-sure results for a class of dependent random variables. J. Theoret. Probab. 12 (1) 87-104(1999).
- [10] Kuczmaszewska A., On Chung-Teicher type strong law of large numbers for  $\rho^*$ -mixing random variables, Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc. (2008), Article ID 140548, 10 pp.
- [11] Yang S. C., Some moment inequalities for partial sums of random variables and their applications. Chinese Sci. Bull. 43 (17) 1823-1827(1998).