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#### Abstract

Pseudo-difference posets (for short, $P D$-posets) have been introduced as a new quantum logic structure. In this paper, the connections between $P D$ posets and pseudo-BL algebras are investigated.
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## 1. Introduction

In order to establish mathematical foundations of quantum mechanics, in 1936, Birkhoff and von Neumann [1] proposed a quantum logic, i.e., an algebraic system describing a propositional system of quantum mechanics. Since then the quantum logic has been extensively investigated by many researchers. In 2001, Dvurecenskij and Vetterlein [3] introduced a quantum structure called the pseudoeffect algebras. Recently, Ma et al. [11], from another point of view, introduced a equivalent quantum structure called $P D$-posets as a noncommutative extension of $D$-posets [6].

The notion of BL algebras were introduced by Hajek [5] as algebraic structure for his well-known Basic Logic. In order to generalize BL-algebras in a noncommutative form, Di Nola [2] introduced the notion of pseudo-BL algebras.

In [7], we investigated the connections between $P D$-posets and pseudo-BCK algebras. In this paper, as a continuation, we investigate the connections between $P D$-posets and pseudo- BL algebras. We show that a pseudo-BL algebra is a $P D$-poset iff $x^{-\sim}=x^{\sim-}=x$. Using this result, we obtain some related corollaries.

## 2. Preliminaries

A PD-poset [11] is a poset $\left(P D ; \leq, \ominus_{l}, \ominus_{r}, 0,1\right)$ with a maximum element 1 and a minimum element 0 and two partial binary operations $\ominus_{l}$ and $\ominus_{r}$ satisfying the following axioms:
(PD1) $b \ominus_{l} a$ is defined iff $b \ominus_{r} a$ is defined iff $a \leq b$.
(PD2) $b \ominus_{l} a \leq b, b \ominus_{r} a \leq b$.
(PD3) $a \leq b$ implies $b \ominus_{l}\left(b \ominus_{r} a\right)=a$ and $b \ominus_{r}\left(b \ominus_{l} a\right)=a$.
(PD4) $a \leq b \leq c$ implies $c \ominus_{l} b \leq c \ominus_{l} a$ and $c \ominus_{r} b \leq c \ominus_{r} a$.
(PD5) $a \leq b \leq c$ implies $\left(c \ominus_{l} a\right) \ominus_{r}\left(c \ominus_{l} b\right)=$ $b \ominus_{l} a$ and $\left(c \ominus_{r} a\right) \ominus_{l}\left(c \ominus_{r} b\right)=b \ominus_{r} a$.
(PD6) If $1 \ominus_{r}\left(\left(1 \ominus_{l} b\right) \ominus_{l} a\right)$ is defined, then there exist $d, e \in P D$ such that
$1 \ominus_{r}\left(\left(1 \ominus_{l} b\right) \ominus_{l} a\right)=1 \ominus_{r}\left(\left(1 \ominus_{l} a\right) \ominus_{l} d\right)=1 \ominus_{r}\left(\left(1 \ominus_{l} e\right) \ominus_{l} b\right)$.
If $1 \ominus_{l}\left(\left(1 \ominus_{r} b\right) \ominus_{r} a\right)$ is defined, then there exist $f, g \in P D$ such that
$1 \ominus_{l}\left(\left(1 \ominus_{r} b\right) \ominus_{r} a\right)=1 \ominus_{l}\left(\left(1 \ominus_{r} a\right) \ominus_{r} f\right)=1 \ominus_{l}\left(\left(1 \ominus_{r} g\right) \ominus_{r} b\right)$.
In our previous paper [8], we have showed that (PD6) in the definition of $P D$-posets is not independent, since it follows from (PD1)-(PD5). That is we have following Lemma:

Lemma 2.1 [8]. A poset $\left(P D ; \leq, \ominus_{l}, \ominus_{r}, 0,1\right)$ with a maximum element 1 and a minimum element 0 , and two partial binary operations $\ominus_{l}$ and $\ominus_{r}$ is a $P D$-poset iff the following hold:
(PD1) $b \ominus_{l} a$ is defined iff $b \ominus_{r} a$ is defined iff $a \leq b$.
(PD2) $b \ominus_{l} a \leq b, b \ominus_{r} a \leq b$.
(PD3) $a \leq b$ implies $b \ominus_{l}\left(b \ominus_{r} a\right)=a$ and $b \ominus_{r}\left(b \ominus_{l} a\right)=a$.
(PD4) $a \leq b \leq c$ implies $c \ominus_{l} b \leq c \ominus_{l} a$ and $c \ominus_{r} b \leq c \ominus_{r} a$.
(PD5) $a \leq b \leq c$ implies $\left(c \ominus_{l} a\right) \ominus_{r}\left(c \ominus_{l} b\right)=$ $b \ominus_{l} a$ and $\left(c \ominus_{r} a\right) \ominus_{l}\left(c \ominus_{r} b\right)=b \ominus_{r} a$.

Let $\left(P D ; \leq, \ominus_{l}, \ominus_{r}, 0,1\right)$ be a $P D$-poset and $a \in P D$. Denote by $a^{-}=1 \ominus_{l} a$ and $a^{\sim}=1 \ominus_{r} a$.

## 3. Connections with pseudoBL algebras

A pseudo-BL algebra (or call a right-pseudo-BL algebra) [2] is a structure $(A ; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, \rightarrow, \hookrightarrow, 0,1)$ of
type ( $2,2,2,2,2,0,0$ ), which satisfies the following axioms, for all $x, y, z \in A$ :
$(\mathrm{RC} 1)(A ; \vee, \wedge, 0,1)$ is a bounded lattice,
( RC 2 ) $(A ; \oplus, 0)$ is a monoid $(\oplus$ is associative and $x \oplus 0=0 \oplus x=x)$,
(RC3) $z \leq x \oplus y$ iff $y \rightarrow z \leq x$ iff $x \hookrightarrow z \leq y$,
$(\mathrm{RC} 4) x \vee y=(x \rightarrow y) \oplus x=x \oplus(x \hookrightarrow y)$,
$(\mathrm{RC} 5)(x \rightarrow y) \wedge(y \rightarrow x)=(x \hookrightarrow y) \wedge(y \hookrightarrow$ $x)=0$.

In a pseudo-BL algebra $(A ; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, \rightarrow, \hookrightarrow, 0,1)$, define two negations: $x^{-}=x \rightarrow 1, x^{\sim}=x \hookrightarrow 1$ for all $x \in A$.

Lemma 3.1 [4]. In a pseudo-BL algebra $(A ; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, \rightarrow, \hookrightarrow, 0,1)$, the following hold:
(i) $x \leq y$ iff $y \rightarrow x=0$ iff $y \hookrightarrow x=0$.
(ii) $(x \oplus y)^{-}=x \rightarrow y^{-},(x \oplus y)^{\sim}=y \hookrightarrow x^{\sim}$.
(iii) $x^{-\sim} \leq x, x^{\sim-} \leq x, x^{-\sim-}=x^{-}, x^{\sim-\sim}=$ $x^{\sim}$.
(iv) $x \leq y \Rightarrow y^{-} \leq x^{-}, y^{\sim} \leq x^{\sim}$.
(v) $(x \wedge y)^{-}=x^{-} \vee y^{-},(x \wedge y)^{\sim}=$ $x^{\sim} \vee y^{\sim},(x \vee y)^{-}=x^{-} \wedge y^{-},(x \vee y)^{\sim}=x^{\sim} \wedge y^{\sim}$.

Next, we add some properties of pseudo-BL algebras which are needed in the sequel.

Lemma 3.2. In a pseudo-BL algebra $(A ; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, \rightarrow, \hookrightarrow, 0,1)$, the following hold:
(i) $x \hookrightarrow(x \oplus y) \leq y \leq x \oplus(x \hookrightarrow y), y \hookrightarrow$ $(y \oplus x) \leq x \leq x \oplus(x \hookrightarrow y)$.
(ii) $y \rightarrow(x \oplus y) \leq x \leq(x \rightarrow y) \oplus x, x \rightarrow$ $(y \oplus x) \leq y \leq(x \rightarrow y) \oplus x$.
(iii) If $x \leq y$, then $x \oplus z \leq y \oplus z, z \oplus x \leq z \oplus y$.
(iv) If $x \leq y$, then $z \hookrightarrow x \leq z \hookrightarrow y, z \rightarrow x \leq$ $z \rightarrow y$.
(v) If $x \leq y$, then $y \hookrightarrow z \leq x \hookrightarrow z, y \rightarrow z \leq$ $x \rightarrow z$.
(vi) $0 \rightarrow x=0 \hookrightarrow x=x$.

Proof. (i) By (RC4), $x, y \leq x \vee y=x \oplus(x \hookrightarrow$ $y$ ). By (RC3), $x \hookrightarrow(x \oplus y) \leq y$ iff $x \oplus y \leq x \oplus y$ and $y \hookrightarrow(y \oplus x) \leq x$ iff $y \oplus x \leq y \oplus x$.
(ii) Similarly.
(iii) By (ii), $z \rightarrow(x \oplus z) \leq x \leq y$, and by (RC3), we have $x \oplus z \leq y \oplus z$. By (i), $z \hookrightarrow(z \oplus x) \leq x \leq y$, and by (RC3), we have $z \oplus x \leq z \oplus y$.
(iv) By (i), $x \leq y \leq z \oplus(z \hookrightarrow y)$, and by (RC3), we have $z \hookrightarrow x \leq z \hookrightarrow y$. By (ii), $x \leq y \leq(z \rightarrow$ $y) \oplus z$, and by (RC3), we have $z \rightarrow x \leq z \rightarrow y$.
(v) If $x \leq y$, by (iii) and (i), $z \leq x \oplus(x \hookrightarrow$ $z) \leq y \oplus(x \hookrightarrow z)$, and by (RC3), we have $y \hookrightarrow$ $z \leq x \hookrightarrow z$. Similarly, if $x \leq y$, by (iii) and (ii), $z \leq(x \rightarrow z) \oplus x \leq(x \rightarrow z) \oplus y$, and by (RC3), we have $y \rightarrow z \leq x \rightarrow z$.
(vi) By (RC4) and (RC2), we have $x=0 \vee x=(0 \rightarrow x) \oplus 0=0 \rightarrow x$ and $x=0 \vee x=0 \oplus(0 \hookrightarrow x)=0 \hookrightarrow x$.

Lemma 3.3 [4]. Let $(A ; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, \rightarrow, \hookrightarrow, 0,1)$ be a pseudo-BL algebra with the property $x^{-\sim}=$ $x^{\sim-}=x$ for all $x \in A$. Define

$$
y \odot x=\left(x^{-} \oplus y^{-}\right)^{\sim}\left(=\left(x^{\sim} \oplus y^{\sim}\right)^{-}=x^{\sim} \rightarrow y=y^{-} \hookrightarrow x\right) .
$$

Then the following hold:
(i) $y \oplus x=\left(x^{-} \odot y^{-}\right)^{\sim}=\left(x^{\sim} \odot y^{\sim}\right)^{-}$.
(ii) $x \vee y=x \oplus\left(x^{\sim} \odot y\right)=y \oplus\left(y^{\sim} \odot x\right)=$ $\left(x \odot y^{-}\right) \oplus y=\left(y \odot x^{-}\right) \oplus x$.
(iii) $x \wedge y=x \odot\left(x^{-} \oplus y\right)=y \odot\left(y^{-} \oplus x\right)=$ $\left(x \oplus y^{\sim}\right) \odot y=\left(y \oplus x^{\sim}\right) \odot x$.

Now, we investigate the connections between pseudo-BL algebras and $P D$-posets.

Theorem 3.4. Let $(A ; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, \rightarrow, \hookrightarrow, 0,1)$ be a pseudo-BL algebra. Define two partial binary operations $\ominus_{l}$ and $\ominus_{r}$ on A by: $y \ominus_{l} x$ is defined iff $y \ominus_{r} x$ is defined iff $x \leq y$, and in this case

$$
y \ominus_{l} x=x \rightarrow y \quad \text { and } \quad y \ominus_{r} x=x \hookrightarrow y
$$

Then $\left(A ; \leq, \ominus_{l}, \ominus_{r}, 0,1\right)$ is a PD-poset iff $x^{-\sim}=$ $x^{\sim-}=x$ for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Suppose that $\left(A ; \leq, \ominus_{l}, \ominus_{r}, 0,1\right)$ is a $P D$-poset. For all $x \in A$, by (PD3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{-\sim}=(x \rightarrow 1) \hookrightarrow 1=1 \ominus_{r}\left(1 \ominus_{l} x\right)=x, \\
& x^{\sim-}=(x \hookrightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1=1 \ominus_{l}\left(1 \ominus_{r} x\right)=x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, suppose that $x^{-\sim}=x^{\sim-}=x$ for all $x \in A$.
(i) Since $0 \leq x$, by Lemma 3.2 (v) and (vi), we have $x \hookrightarrow y \leq 0 \hookrightarrow y$ and $x \rightarrow y \leq 0 \rightarrow y$, and so $x \hookrightarrow y \leq y$ and $x \rightarrow y \leq y$. Hence

$$
y \ominus_{l} x=x \rightarrow y \leq y, y \ominus_{r} x=x \hookrightarrow y \leq y
$$

(ii) By Lemma 3.1 (ii) and Lemma 3.3, we have $x \hookrightarrow y=\left(y^{-} \oplus x\right)^{\sim}=x^{\sim} \odot y, x \rightarrow y=y \odot x^{-}=\left(x \oplus y^{\sim}\right)^{-}$. Hence, if $x \leq y$, by Lemma 3.3 (iii), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y \ominus_{l}\left(y \ominus_{r} x\right)=(x \hookrightarrow y) \rightarrow y \\
& =\left(y^{-} \oplus x\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow y=y \odot\left(y^{-} \oplus x\right) \\
& =x \wedge y=x,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y \ominus_{r}\left(y \ominus_{l} x\right)=(x \rightarrow y) \hookrightarrow y \\
& =\left(x \oplus y^{\sim}\right)^{-} \hookrightarrow y=\left(x \oplus y^{\sim}\right) \odot y \\
& =x \wedge y=x .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) If $x \leq y \leq z$, by Lemma 3.2 (v), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z \ominus_{l} y=y \rightarrow z \leq x \rightarrow z=z \ominus_{l} x \\
& z \ominus_{r} y=y \hookrightarrow z \leq x \hookrightarrow z=z \ominus_{r} x
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) From (ii), $x \hookrightarrow y=x^{\sim} \odot y, x \rightarrow y=y \odot x^{-}$. If $x \leq y \leq z$, by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(z \ominus_{l} x\right) \ominus_{r}\left(z \ominus_{l} y\right)=(y \rightarrow z) \hookrightarrow(x \rightarrow z) \\
& =\left(z \odot y^{-}\right) \hookrightarrow\left(z \odot x^{-}\right)=\left(z \odot y^{-}\right) \sim \odot\left(z \odot x^{-}\right) \\
& =\left(y \oplus z^{\sim}\right) \odot\left(z \odot x^{-}\right)=\left(\left(y \oplus z^{\sim}\right) \odot z\right) \odot x^{-} \\
& =(y \wedge z) \odot x^{-}=y \odot x^{-} \\
& =x \rightarrow y=y \ominus_{l} x,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(z \ominus_{r} x\right) \ominus_{l}\left(z \ominus_{r} y\right)=(y \hookrightarrow z) \rightarrow(x \hookrightarrow z) \\
& =\left(y^{\sim} \odot z\right) \rightarrow\left(x^{\sim} \odot z\right)=\left(x^{\sim} \odot z\right) \odot\left(y^{\sim} \odot z\right)^{-} \\
& =\left(x^{\sim} \odot z\right) \odot\left(z^{-} \oplus y\right)=x^{\sim} \odot\left(z \odot\left(z^{-} \oplus y\right)\right) \\
& =x^{\sim} \odot(z \wedge y)=x^{\sim} \odot y \\
& =x \hookrightarrow y=y \ominus_{r} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left(A ; \leq, \ominus_{l}, \ominus_{r}, 0,1\right)$ is a $P D$-poset as Lemma 2.1. The proof is complete.

In a bounded poset, a chain with upper bound 1 and lower bound 0 is called a standard chain. Note that a bounded poset is the union of standard chains.

Lemma 3.5 [7]. In a PD-poset, every standard chain is a lattice-ordered, bounded pseudo-BCK algebra.

Lemma 3.6 [3]. A lattice-ordered, bounded pseudo-BCK algebra coincides with a pseudo-BL algebra with the property $x^{-\sim}=x^{\sim-}=x$, and coincides with a pseudo-MV algebra.

Combining Theorem 3.4, Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, we have the following:

Corollary 3.7. In a PD-poset, every standard chain is a pseudo-BL algebra with the property $x^{-\sim}=x^{\sim-}=x$ and a pseudo-MV algebra, respectively.

Lemma 3.8 [7]. If a $P D$-poset ( $P D ; \leq$ , $\left.\ominus_{l}, \ominus_{r}, 0,1\right)$ is a lower semilattice, define two binary operations $\star$ and $\circ$ on $P D$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \star y=x \ominus_{l}(x \wedge y) \\
& x \circ y=x \ominus_{r}(x \wedge y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $(P D ; \leq, \star, \circ, 0,1)$ is a lattice-ordered, bounded pseudo-BCK algebra iff $(x \star y) \circ z=$
$(x \circ z) \star y$ holds for all $x, y, z \in P D$.
Combining Theorem 3.4, Lemma 3.6 and 3.8, we have the following:

Corollary 3.9. If a $P D$-poset ( $P D ; \leq$ , $\left.\ominus_{l}, \ominus_{r}, 0,1\right)$ is a lower semilattice, let $\star$ and $\circ$ be two binary operations on $P D$ defined by $x \star y=$ $x \ominus_{l}(x \wedge y), x \circ y=x \ominus_{r}(x \wedge y)$. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $(x \star y) \circ z=(x \circ z) \star y$ holds for all $x, y, z \in$ $P D$.
(ii) PD is a pseudo- BL algebra with the property $x^{-\sim}=x^{\sim-}=x$.
(iii) PD is a pseudo-MV algebra.
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