Two Order Grey Markov Prediction Modeling and Its Application Xingping Li^{1,a}, Xiumei He^{2,b}

¹Department of statistics, Yunnan University, Kunming, 650091, China ²Department of Mathematics, Kunming University, Kunming, 650214, China ^aemail: lxp551@aliyun.com, ^bemail:hexiumei2004@163.com

Keywords: Grey model; Markov prediction method; Price forecast

Abstract. This paper based on the Grey Theory and Markov Theory, the Two Order Grey Markov Model(GMM(2,1)) is established. The modeling process are analyzed firstly, instead of using only one theory model or only using GM(1,1) in the past, this article also through a real instance comparing GM(1, 1), GM(2, 1) and GMM(2,1). Experiments demonstrate that the GMM(2,1) gets the better result performance than that of the other models.

Introduction

Unknown or uncertain information is called black information. The known information is called white information. The information which contain unknown information and known information is called gray system. Since the grey model has been proposed, it has been widely employed in various fields, ranging from economics through agriculture to engineering, and demonstrated promising results. In general, people are highly interested in forecasting future tendency of some data series or event, such as investment in stock market or in the Agriculture trading. Because of the accuracy prediction can reduce the uncertain and investment risk in making decision.

GM(1,1) is the most commonly employed grey model, such as predict chaotic time series[1], predict the price of the agriculture production[2], predict the price of the stock[3-4], compute weights of the criteria[5], evaluate restoration plans for power distribution systems [6], design the evaluation[7], estimation and decision of the mechanic motion project [8], control road tunnel ventilation[9] and so on[10]. In spite of GM(1,1) or its variants can obtain good performance on the various applications, but for acquiring the best forecasting results, an effective method, combined the Grey Theory with Markov Theory(GMM(2,1)) is proposed in our research.

Grey theory

In 1982, Professor Deng Julong founded Grey System Theory [11-13] for dealing with poor information system, usually 3-5 points, the grey model can be constructed. A brief description of the procedure of GM(1,1) is given as follows.

Step 1: for random sequence

$$x^{(0)} = \{x^{(0)}(1), x^{(0)}(2), x^{(0)}(3), \dots, x^{(0)}(n)\}$$
(1)

where n is the sample size. By 1-AGO(one time Accumulated Generating Operation) $\chi^{(0)}$, the preprocessed series,

$$x^{(1)} = \{x^{(1)}(1), x^{(1)}(2), x^{(1)}(3), \dots, x^{(1)}(n)\}$$
where $x^{(1)}(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^{(0)}(i)$, for $i=1,2,\dots,n$.
(2)

By mean operation on $\chi^{(1)}$, the series

$$z^{(1)} = \{z^{(1)}(1), z^{(1)}(2), z^{(1)}(3), \dots, z^{(1)}(n)\}$$
where $z^{(1)}(k) = 0.5x^{(1)}(k) + 0.5x^{(1)}(k-1), \quad (k=2,3,\dots,n)$
(3)

Step 2: obtained the grey differential equation and its whiting equation of GM(1,1,), respectively, as follows:

$$x^{(0)}(k) + az^{(1)}(k) = b, \quad k=2,3,...,n$$
(4)
$$\frac{dx^{(1)}}{dt} + ax^{(1)} = b,$$
(5)

where a and b is the developing coefficient and grey input, respectively.

Step 3:Let $\theta = (a,b)^T$ be the parameters vector. By using least square method (Hsia, 1979), the parameters a and b can be obtain as

$$\hat{\theta} = (X^{\mathrm{T}}X)^{-1}X^{\mathrm{T}}Y = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$
(6)

where

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} -z^{(1)}(2) & 1 \\ -z^{(1)}(3) & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ -z^{(1)}(n) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} X^{(1)}(2) \\ X^{(1)}(3) \\ \vdots \\ X^{(1)}(n) \end{bmatrix}$$

X denotes the accumulated matrix and Y represents the constant vector. The approximate relation can be obtained by substituting the θ into the differential equation.

Step 4: the solving of equation (5) can be represented as

$$\hat{X}^{(1)}(k+1) = \left[X^{(1)}(1) - \frac{b}{a} \right] e^{-ak} + \frac{b}{a} \qquad (k = 1, 2, 3, ..., n)$$
where $\hat{X}^{(0)}(1) = X^{(0)}(1)$.
(7)

Step 5: by IAGO(inverse AGO), the recovered value $\hat{x}^{(0)}(k)$ is:

$$\hat{x}^{(0)}(k) = \hat{x}^{(1)}(k) - \hat{x}^{(1)}(k-1) = (1-e^a) \left[x^{(0)}(1) - \frac{b}{a} \right] e^{-a(k-1)}$$
(8)

Given k = 1, 2, 3, ..., n, the predictive series value is

$$\hat{x}^{(0)} = (\hat{x}^{(0)}(1), \ \hat{x}^{(0)}(2), \ \hat{x}^{(0)}(3), \dots, \ \hat{x}^{(0)}(n))$$
(9)

Step 6: calculate the residual error and accuracy (Deng, 1988) as:

$$e(k) = \left| \frac{x^{(0)}(k) - \hat{x}^{(0)}(k)}{x^{(0)}(k)} \right| \times 100\%, \qquad k = 2, 3, 4, \dots, n$$

$$\varepsilon = (1 - e) \times 100\%$$
(10)
(11)

 $\mathcal{E} = (1 - e) \times 100\%$

Above is the process of GM(1,1) modeling, The following we will describe the procedure of GM(2,1) that is given as follows. For the sake of simplicity, we will only highlight the different place.

Step 1: for the original random sequence $x^{(0)}$, obtained $x^{(1)}$ by 1-AGO and $a^{(1)}x^{(0)}$ by 1-IAGO(one time Inverse Accumulated Generating Operation),

$$a^{(1)}x^{(0)} = \{a^{(1)}x^{(0)}(2), a^{(1)}x^{(0)}(3), a^{(1)}x^{(0)}(4), \dots, a^{(1)}x^{(0)}(n)\}$$
(12)

where n is the sample size, $a^{(1)}x^{(0)}(k) = x^{(0)}(k) - x^{(0)}(k-1)$, (k = 2, 3, 4, ..., n). By mean operation on $x^{(1)}$, the series $z^{(1)}(k) = 0.5x^{(1)}(k) + 0.5x^{(1)}(k-1)$, (k=2,3,...,n) can be obtained.

Step 2: obtained the grey differential equation and its whiting equation of GM(2,1,), respectively, as follows:

$$a^{(1)}x^{(0)}(k) + a_1 x^{(0)}(k) + a_2 z^{(1)}(k) = b,$$
(13)

$$\frac{d^2 x^{(1)}}{dt^2} + a_1 \frac{dx^{(1)}}{dt} + a_2 x^{(1)} = b,$$
(14)

where a_1 , a_2 and b is the developing coefficient and grey input, respectively. Step 3:Let $\theta = (a_1, a_2, b)^T$ be the parameters vector. Let

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} -x^{(0)}(2) & -z^{(1)}(2) & 1 \\ -x^{(0)}(3) & -z^{(1)}(3) & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -x^{(0)}(n) & -z^{(1)}(n) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} a^{(1)}x^{(0)}(2) \\ a^{(1)}x^{(0)}(3) \\ \vdots \\ a^{(1)}x^{(0)}(n) \end{bmatrix}$$

By using least square method (Hsia,1979), the parameters a_1 , a_2 and b can be obtain as $\hat{\theta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y = (a_1, a_2, b)^T$

(15)

The approximate relation can be obtained by substituting the θ into the differential equation.

Step 4: the solving of equation (14) has three kinds of solution: let x^* is the special solution of Eq.(14), $\overline{x}^{(1)}$ is the general solution on the general solution of homogeneous equation of Eq.(14).

Then the solution of Eq.(14) is $\hat{x}^{(1)}(k) = x^* + \overline{x}^{(1)}$,

 Φ There are a pair of unequal real root of characteristic equation, then $\overline{x}^{(1)}(k) = c_1 e^{r_1 t} + c_2 e^{r_2 t}$

² There are a pair of equal real root of characteristic equation, then $\overline{x}^{(1)}(k) = (c_1 + c_2)e^{r_1 t}$

3 There are a pair of conjugate complex root, then $\overline{x}^{(1)}(k) = e^{\alpha x}(c_1 \cos \beta x + c_2 \sin \beta x)$,

where $\hat{X}^{(1)}(1) = X^{(1)}(1) = X^{(0)}(1) \cdot \hat{X}^{(0)}(1) = X^{(0)}(1)$.

Markov chains theory

A Markov chain is a particular type of stochastic process, which must satisfy the Markov property, namely, the future evolution of the system of the condition probability depends only on the current states of the system and not on its history. As a forecasting method Markov process can be used to predict the future by these occurred events.

Let the state space of a Markov chain $\{X_n\}$ be S, the current state be i and the next state be j, then the transition probability is written as

$$P_{ij} = prob\{X_{k+1} = j \mid X_k = i\} \qquad (i, j \in S, k=0, 1, 2, ...)$$
(16)

where the P_{ij} is independent of k.

The transition probability matrix is $P=(p_{ij})$, then the elements of the matrix P satisfy the following two properties:

(1)
$$p_{ij} \ge 0, \quad \forall \quad i, j \in S \; ; \; (2) \; \sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} = 1, \quad \forall i \in S$$
 (17)

Experiment results

The experiment focus on the data sets $x^{(0)} = (79.9, 79.94, 79.96, 80, 80.29, 81.14, 81.02, 81.57, 81.93, 81.02, 81.57, 81.93, 81.02, 81.57, 81.93, 81.02, 81.57, 81.93, 81.02, 81.57, 81.93, 81.02, 81.57, 81.93, 81.02, 81.57, 81.93, 81.02, 81.57, 81.93, 81.02, 81.57, 81.93, 81.02, 81.57, 81.93, 81.02, 81.57, 81.93, 81.02, 81.57, 81.93, 81.02, 81.57, 81.93, 81.02, 81.57, 81.93, 81.02, 81.57, 81.93, 81.02, 81.57, 81.93, 81.57, 81.5$

82.88,83.38,82.87,83.3,83.58,83.81,84.45,83.2,83.36,83.08,82.43,83.13,83.2,82.47,83.06,83.45) (Cai, 2010), which was researched use GM(1,1,). In this paper, there are three experiment results show as Tables 1-2, Table 1 list the all prediction results of GM(1, 1), GM (2, 1) and GMM(2,1), Table 2 shows the mean error and accuracy of all prediction models. From these two tables, it is obvious that the performance of GMM(2,1) is the best among all the prediction models.

I able 1 real data series and predicted results													
				GM	(1,1)		G		GMM(2,1)				
$x^{(0)}(k)$	$\hat{x}(k)$	error		e(k)		$\hat{x}^{(0)}(k)$	error	e(k)	$\hat{x}^{(0)}(k)$		error	e(k)	
79.9	79.9477	-0.0077		0.0000	00 7	9.7169	0.2230	0.0007	79.9120		0.0279	0.000350	
4	64	6		9		2	8	0.0027	1		9	1	
79.9	80.0569	-0.0969		0.0012	21 7	9.8995	0.0604	0.0075	80.1	015 -0.1415		0.0017(0	
6	5	5	5	3		8	2	0.0075	1	-	1	0.001769	
80	80.1899	-0.0	619	0.0007	77 8	0.1614	-0.1899	0.0024	80.1	001	-0.1001	0.001252	
	58	7		5		4	6	6)	9	3	
80.2	80.3519	-0.0	619	0.2136	59 8	1.8461	-1.5561	0.0193	80.11		0.18	0.002241	
9	71	7	7	3		7	7	8	80.	11	0.10	87	
81.1	80.5493	0.5906		0.0072	27 8	1.0901	-0.0498	0.0061	81.2	981	-0.1581	0.001948	
4	19	8		9		1	9	4	3	;	3	8	
81.0	80.7897	0.23	302	2 0.00284		1.1432	-0.1232	0.0015	80.9	167	0.1032	0.001274	
2	08	Ģ)	2		1	1	2	5	5	5	0.001274	
81.5	81.0825	0.4874		0.0059	97 8	1.5151	0.0548	0.0067	82.0	761	-0.5061	0.006204	
7	27	7		6		3	7	2	3		3	8	
81.9	81.4392	0.49	907	7 0.00599		2.0100	-0.0800	0.0097	82.0221	-0.0921	0.001124		
3	1	9		0.0059	,,	3	3	7	02.0221				
82.8	81.8736	1.00	063	0.01214		2.8078	0.0721	0.0087	83.1	810	-0.3010	0.003632	
8	84	1	1 8			2	8	0.0087	9)	9	8	
83.3	82.4029	0.9	770	0.01171		3.3981	-0.0181	0.0021	83.5	411	-0.1611	0.001933	
8	18	8		8		3	3	7	9)	9	0.001755	
Table 2 Performance of three models													
Model					Mean error				Accuracy				
GM(1,1)					0.050405				0.949595				
GM(2,1)					0.04711				0.95289				
GMM(2,1)					0.002173				0.99787				

Table 1 real data series and predicted results

Conclusion

This paper based on the Grey Theory and Markov Theory, the Two Order Grey Markov Model(GMM(2,1)) is established. From the above analysis can be obtained the GM (1, 1) model is not satisfied to the random fluctuations larger sequence, the prediction results will be high or low, accuracy is low too. The GM(2, 1) model is modified by the prediction adding reflecting lifting situation factors on GM(1,1) model, make the prediction results more a accurate. But the GM (2, 1) model to predict the data which is related to time, the forecast result and the real value of total there is a unit of time delay. So in this paper GMM(2,1) model is established, its essence is the shock characteristics of Markov transition probability matrix was introduced into the grey GM (2, 1)model, the original GM (2, 1) index part of the model does not change, but modified the grey period. Thus the GMM(2,1) model has the characteristics of higher accuracy than GM(1, 1) and GM(2, 1).

References

[1] J.D. Farmer, J.J. Sidorowich, Predicting chaotic time series, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59 (1987) 845-847.

[2] Jiajun Zong, Apply Grey Prediction in the Agriculture Production Price, 2012 Fourth International Conference on Multimedia Information Networking and Security

[3] Wang, Y. F. Predicting stock price using fuzzy grey prediction system. Expert Systems with Applications, 2001,22, 33–38.

[4] Yen-Tseng Hsu, Ming-Chung Liu, Jerome Yeh, Hui-Fen Hung Forecasting the turning time of stock market based on Markov–Fourier grey model, Expert Systems with Applications 36 (2009) 8597–8603.

[5] Mei Changgen, He Yulong, Xiong Chunmei, Tao Xuefeng, Yang Lizhong. Modified DRASTIC Model Based on Grey Method for Assessing Groundwater Vulnerability. Bioinformatics and Biomedical Engineering, 2011. Page(s): 1 - 4.

[6] Wen-Hui Chen, Men-Shen Tsai, Hsi-Lin Kuo. Distribution system restoration using the hybrid fuzzy-grey method. Power Systems 2005, Page(s): 199 – 205.

[7] Yuan Jiang. Study and Application of Gray Method on Manager Selection. anagement and Service Science, 2009, Page(s): 1 – 4.

[8] Ying Hua. Research of project evaluation and decision method based on gray theory. Computer-Aided Industrial Design and Conceptual Design, 2006. Page(s): 1 - 5.

[9] Jiantao Chen, Yunhua Li, Xingjun Tian. Grey prediction and fuzzy control method for road tunnel ventilation. Industrial Electronics and Applications, 2011, Page(s): 170-171.

[10] Mu-Shang Yin [↑] Fifteen years of grey system theory research: A historical review and bibliometric analysis, Expert Systems with Applications 40 (2013) 2767–2775.

[11] Deng, J. Control problems of grey system. System and Control Letter, 1982,1,288–294.

[12] Deng, J. Essential method of grey system (pp. 17–34). WuHan: HUST Press. 1988.

[13] Deng, J. Introduction to grey system theory. The Journal of Grey System, 1989,1, 1–24.

[14] Hsia, T. C. System identification: Least square methods. Davis: University of California, 1979.