

Observer-based Guaranteed Cost Control for a Class of Singular Time-delay Systems with Uncertainties

Zhang Na , Ma Yuechao, Liang Jianyun
 College of Sciences
 Yanshan University
 Qinhuangdao Hebei, China

Abstract- The problem of guaranteed cost observer-based controller design for a class of singular systems time-delay with uncertainties is investigated. The design method of guaranteed cost observer-based controller is given. Based on linear matrix inequality (LMI) approach, sufficient conditions for the existence of guaranteed cost observer-based state feedback control law and corresponding guaranteed cost performance index are obtained by constructing generalized Lyapunov function. It makes that the closed-loop system is robust stable.

Keywords- Observer-based controller; guaranteed cost control; time-delay systems; linear matrix inequality

I. INTRODUCTION

The control of singular systems has been extensively studied in the past years[1-2]. In [3-4], guaranteed cost control for uncertain systems are discussed. In [5-6], observer-based guaranteed cost control for singular time-delay systems with uncertainties are discussed, but the state matrices and the control input matrices are consistent with the original system.

In this paper, we consider observer-based guaranteed cost control for a class of singular time-delay systems with uncertainties. The state matrices and the control input matrices are not consistent with the original system and are unknown in this paper. Based on linear matrix inequality (LMI) approach, sufficient conditions for the existence of guaranteed cost observer-based state feedback control law and corresponding guaranteed cost performance index are obtained by constructing generalized Lyapunov function. It makes that the closed-loop system is robust stable.

II. PROBLEMS FORMULATION

Consider the following uncertain singular time-delay systems

$$\begin{aligned} E\dot{x}(t) &= (A+\Delta A)x(t) + (A_1+\Delta A_1)x(t-d_1(t)) + (B+\Delta B)u(t) + (B_1+\Delta B_1)u(t-d_2(t)) \\ y(t) &= (C+\Delta C)x(t) + (C_1+\Delta C_1)x(t-d_1(t)) + (D+\Delta D)u(t) + (D_1+\Delta D_1)u(t-d_2(t)) \\ x(t) &= \varphi(t), t \in [-d, 0] \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Where $x(t) \in R^n$ is the state vector, $u(t) \in R^m$ is the control input vector, $E, A, A_1, B, B_1, C, C_1, D, D_1, C_2$ and D_2 are known real constant matrices with appropriate dimensions, where $E \in R^{n \times n}$ may be singular and we assume that

$rank E = r \leq n$; $\Delta A, \Delta A_1, \Delta B, \Delta B_1, \Delta C, \Delta C_1, \Delta D$ and ΔD_1 are uncertain matrices representing time-varying parameter uncertainties in the system model, $d_1(t)$ and $d_2(t)$ are unknown constant matrices representing the number of delay units in the state and input respectively, which satisfy $0 \leq d_1(t) < d_1 < \infty, 0 \leq d_2(t) < d_2 < \infty,$

$d = \max[d_1, d_2], d_1(t) \leq \alpha < 1, d_2(t) \leq \beta < 1, d_1(0) = \lambda, d_2(0) = \eta,$ The parameter uncertainties considered in this paper are assumed to be norm-bounded and of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta A &= E_1 F_1(t) H_1, \Delta B = E_2 F_2(t) H_2, \Delta A_1 = E_3 F_3(t) H_3, \Delta B_1 = E_4 F_4(t) H_4, \\ \Delta C &= E_5 F_5(t) H_5, \Delta D = E_6 F_6(t) H_6, \Delta C_1 = E_7 F_7(t) H_7, \Delta D_1 = E_8 F_8(t) H_8, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Where $E_i, H_i (i=1, 2, \dots, 10)$ are unknown real constant matrices with appropriate dimensions, and $F_i(t) (i=1, 2, \dots, 8)$ are unknown real matrices with Lebesgue-measurable elements and satisfy

$$F_i^T(t) F_i(t) \leq I_{g_i}, i=1, 2, \dots, 8, \quad (3)$$

We define the cost function:

$$J = \int_0^{\infty} [x^T(t) Q x(t) + u^T(t) R u(t)] dt \quad (4)$$

where $Q > 0$ and $R > 0$ are given matrices.

The objective is to design an observer-based controller of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} E \dot{\xi}(t) &= A_c \xi(t) + A_o \xi(t-d_1(t)) + B_c u(t) + B_o u(t-d_2(t)) + L[y(t) - \hat{y}(t)] \\ \hat{y}(t) &= C \xi(t) + C_1 \xi(t-d_1(t)) + D u(t) + D_1 u(t-d_2(t)) \\ u(t) &= -K \xi(t) \\ \xi(t) &= \varphi(t), t \in [-d, 0] \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Which $L \in R^{n \times p}$ is the observer gain and $K \in R^{m \times n}$ is the feedback control gain.

Let the error vector be such $e(t) = x(t) - \xi(t),$

We combine (1) and (5) to produce the closed-loop system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ e(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A+\Delta A & 0 \\ A-A_b+\Delta A-L\Delta C & A_b-LC \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t-d_1(t)) \\ e(t-d_1(t)) \end{bmatrix} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} A+\Delta A-BK-\Delta BK & (B+\Delta B)K \\ (A+\Delta A-BK-\Delta BK-A_c+B_cK)+L(\Delta DK-\Delta C) & (A_c+\Delta BK+BK-B_cK-LC-L\Delta DK) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ e(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} -(B+\Delta B)K & (B+\Delta B)K \\ -(B_1-B_b+\Delta B_1-L\Delta D)K & (B_1-B_b+\Delta B_1-L\Delta D)K \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t-d_2(t)) \\ e(t-d_2(t)) \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

Definition 1[5] For the uncertain system(1) and cost function(4), if there exist a control law $u(t)$ and a positive scalar J^* such that for all admissible uncertainties, the closed-loop system(1) is asymptotically stable and the closed-loop value of the cost function(4)satisfies $J \leq J^*$, then J^* is said to be a guaranteed cost and $u(t)$ is said to be a guaranteed cost control law of the uncertain system(1)

Lemma 1 Given matrices $Y ; H ; E$ of appropriate dimensions and with Y symmetric, then $Y + HFE + E^T F^T H^T < 0$ for all E satisfying $F^T F \leq I$ if and only if there exists a scalar $\varepsilon < 0$ such that $Y + \varepsilon HH^T + \varepsilon^{-1} E^T E < 0$

III. MAIN RESULTES

Theorem 1 The closed-loop system(5) is robust stable and $u(t) = -K\xi(t)$ is a guaranteed cost controller if there exist invertible matrices P_1, P_2 and symmetric positive-definite matrices S_1, S_2, R_1, R_2 , he following matrix inequality holds

$$E^T P_i = P_i^T E \geq 0, E^T R_i = R_i^T E \geq 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} N_1 & N_2^T \\ N_2 & -\varepsilon I \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (8)$$

An upper bound on the cost J^* is given by

$$J^* = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(0) \\ e(0) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} E^T P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & E^T P_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(0) \\ e(0) \end{bmatrix} \\ + \int_{-\lambda}^0 \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(\sigma) \\ e(\sigma) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & S_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(\sigma) \\ e(\sigma) \end{bmatrix} d\sigma \\ + \int_{-\eta}^0 \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(\sigma) \\ e(\sigma) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} K^T R_1 K & 0 \\ 0 & K^T R_2 K \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(\sigma) \\ e(\sigma) \end{bmatrix} d\sigma \quad (9)$$

where,

$$N_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & P_1^T A & -P_1^T B_1 & \Sigma_{44} & 0 & P_1^T B_1 \\ * & -(1-\alpha)S_1 & 0 & (A-A_b)^T P_2 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & -(1-\beta)R_1 & -(B_1-B_b)^T P_2 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & \Sigma_{44} & P_2^T A_b - P_2^T LC_1 & P_2^T (B_1-B_b) \\ * & * & * & * & -(1-\alpha)S_2 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & -(1-\beta)R_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$N_2 = \begin{bmatrix} H_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -H_2 K & 0 & 0 & H_2 K & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & H_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -H_4 & 0 & 0 & H_4 \\ -H_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ H_6 K & 0 & 0 & -H_6 K & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -H_7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & H_8 & 0 & 0 & -H_8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Sigma_{11} = 2(A-BK)^T P_1 + S_1 + K^T R_1 K + Q + K^T R K + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^4 P_i^T E_i E_i^T P_i;$$

$$\Sigma_{44} = P_1^T B K + (A-A_c - BK + B_c K)^T P_2 + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^4 P_i^T E_i E_i^T P_i;$$

$$\Sigma_{44} = 2(A_c + BK - B_c K)^T P_2 + S_2 + K^T R_2 K + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^4 P_i^T E_i E_i^T P_2 + \varepsilon \sum_{i=5}^8 P_i^T L E_i E_i^T L^T P_2$$

Proof. Consider the following Lyapunov function:

$$V(x(t), e(t)) = \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ e(t) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} E^T P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & E^T P_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ e(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ \int_{t-d_1(t)}^t \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ e(t) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & S_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ e(t) \end{bmatrix} dt$$

$$+ \int_{t-d_2(t)}^t \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ e(t) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} K^T R_1 K & 0 \\ 0 & K^T R_2 K \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ e(t) \end{bmatrix} dt$$

Then, the time-derivative of $V(x(t), e(t))$ gives

$$\dot{V} + x^T(t) Q x(t) + u^T(t) R u(t) \leq \eta^T(t) \Omega \eta(t)$$

where $\eta^T(t) = [x^T(t) \ x^T(t-d_1(t)) \ x^T(t-d_2(t)) \ K^T \ e^T(t) \ e^T(t-d_1(t)) \ e^T(t-d_2(t)) \ K^T]$

Φ_{11}

$$= (A - BK)^T P_1 + P_1^T (A - BK) + S_1 + K^T R_1 K + Q + K^T R K ;$$

$$\Phi_{14} = P_1^T B K + (A - A_c - BK + B_c K)^T P_2 - K^T R K ;$$

$$\Phi_{44} = 2(A_c + BK - B_c K + LC)^T P_2 + S_2 + K^T R_2 K + K^T R K ;$$

$$\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{11} & P_1^T A & -P_1^T B_1 & \Phi_{14} & 0 & P_1^T B_1 \\ * & -(1-\alpha)S_1 & 0 & (A-A_b)^T P_2 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & -(1-\beta)R_1 & -(B_1-B_b)^T P_2 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & \Phi_{44} & P_2^T A_b - P_2^T LC_1 & P_2^T (B_1-B_b) \\ * & * & * & * & -(1-\alpha)S_2 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & -(1-\beta)R_2 \end{bmatrix} ;$$

$$+ Q Q Q_3 + (Q Q Q_3)^T$$

$$O_1 = \begin{bmatrix} P_1^T E_1 & P_1^T E_2 & P_1^T E_3 & P_1^T E_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ P_2^T E_1 & P_2^T E_2 & P_2^T E_3 & P_2^T E_4 & P_2^T L E_5 & P_2^T L E_6 & P_2^T L E_7 & P_2^T L E_8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ;$$

$$O_2 = \text{diag}(F_1(t), F_2(t), F_3(t), F_4(t), F_5(t), F_6(t), F_7(t), F_8(t)); O_3 = N_2$$

According to Lemma 1 and Schur Complement , it holds for any $F(t)$ satisfying (2),if and only if there exists a

$$\text{scalar } \varepsilon > 0, \text{ such that } \begin{bmatrix} N_1 & N_2^T \\ N_2 & -\varepsilon I \end{bmatrix} < 0 . .$$

$$\text{Hence, } \dot{V} < -[x^T(t)Qx(t) + u^T(t)Ru(t)] < 0 . \quad (10)$$

By (7),(8),(10) implies that system(5) is asymptotically stable.On the other hand, using (10), $\int_0^T [x^T(t)Qx(t) + u^T(t)Ru(t)]dt < V(0)$, Let $T \rightarrow \infty$, we get (9).

Theorem 2 The closed-loop system (5) is asymptotically stable if there exist invertible matrices X and symmetric positive-definite matrices T, Y, M, N , he following matrix inequality holds

$$E^T X^{-1} = X^{-T} E \geq 0, E^T T^{-1} = T^{-T} E \geq 0, E^T Y^{-1} = Y^{-T} E \geq 0 \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 & T_3 & T_4 \\ * & -\varepsilon I & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & T_5 & 0 \\ * & * & * & T_6 \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (12)$$

An upper bound on the cost J^* is given by (9).Where

$$T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \Pi_{11} & A_1 M & -B_1^T & \Pi_{14} & 0 & B_1 Y \\ * & -(1-\alpha)M^T & 0 & M^T A_1^T & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & -(1-\beta)T^T & -T^T B_1^T & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & \Pi_{44} & 0 & B_1 Y \\ * & * & * & * & -(1-\alpha)N^T & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & -(1-\beta)Y^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} X^T H_1^T & -W^T H_2^T & 0 & 0 & -X^T H_3^T & W^T H_6^T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M^T H_5^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & -M^T H_7^T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -T^T H_4^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & T^T H_8^T \\ 0 & W^T H_2^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & -W^T H_6^T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & Y^T H_4^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & -Y^T H_8^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_3 = \text{diag}(-M, -T, -Q^{-1}, -R^{-1}, \varepsilon_1^{-1}I, -\varepsilon_2^{-1}I, \varepsilon_3^{-1}I, -\varepsilon_4^{-1}I, -N, -Y,$$

$$-\varepsilon_5^{-1}I, \varepsilon_6^{-1}I, 2C^T C, -\varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{i=5}^8 C^T E_i E_i^T C, -\varepsilon_7^{-1}I, \varepsilon_8^{-1}I, \varepsilon_9^{-1}I);$$

$$T_6 = \text{diag}(\varepsilon_1 I, -\varepsilon_2 I, \varepsilon_3 I, \varepsilon_1 I, -\varepsilon_4 I, -\varepsilon_5 I, \varepsilon_6 I, -\varepsilon_7 I, \varepsilon_8 I, \varepsilon_9 I);$$

$$T_3 = \begin{bmatrix} X^T & W^T & X^T & W^T & X^T & W^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & M^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & T^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -W^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X^T & W^T & X^T & W^T & X^T & X^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Y^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_C & B_C & A_D & B_D & A_C & B_C & A_D & B_D & X^T C^T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Pi_{11} = X^T A^T + AX - BW - W^T B^T + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^4 E_i E_i^T;$$

$$\Pi_{14} = BW + X^T A^T - W^T B^T + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^4 E_i E_i^T; \Pi_{44} = W^T B^T + BW + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^4 E_i E_i^T$$

Proof. Let $L = P_2^{-T} C^T$, $P_1 = P_2$ Pre-multiplying and

post-multiplying matrix inequality(8) by

$\text{diag}(P^{-T}, S_1^{-T}, R_1^{-T}, P^{-T}, S_2^{-T}, R_2^{-T}, I)$ and

$\text{diag}(P^{-1}, S_1^{-1}, R_1^{-1}, P^{-1}, S_2^{-1}, R_2^{-1}, I)$,respectively.

Let

$$X = P^{-1}, M = S_1^{-1}, N = S_2^{-1}, T = R_1^{-1}, Y = R_2^{-1}, W = KP^{-1},$$

hance, $K = WX^{-1}$, $L = X^T C^T$,then (12) is obtained by Schur Complement.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the problem of observer-based guaranteed cost control for a class of singular time-delay systems with uncertainties has been studied. Sufficient conditions for the existence of guaranteed cost observer-based state feedback control law and corresponding guaranteed cost performance index are obtained. The results in this paper are much more desirable and less conservative than the existing results.

REFERENCES

- [1] WU Zheng-guang; ZHOU Wu-neng.Delay-dependent Robust Stabilization for Uncertain Singular Systems with State Delay[J].Acta Automatica Sinica,Vol.33, No.7 July,2007
- [2] FANG Mei. Delay-dependent Robust H^∞ Control for Uncertain Singular Systems with State Delay[J].Acta Automatica Sinica,Vol.35, No.1 January,2009
- [3] YANG Guang-hong. WANG Jian-liang and Yeng Chai Soch. Guaranteed Cost Control for Discrete-time Linear System under Controller Gain Perturbations . Linear Algebra and Application,2000,3(2): 161-180
- [4] ZHANG Jin-hui;SHI Peng;QIU Ji-qing.Non-fragile Guaranteed Cost Control for Uncertain Stochastic Nonlinear Time-delay Systems[J].Science Direct.Journal of the Frank Institute346(2009)676-690.
- [5] YANG Fan;ZHANG Qing-ling.Guaranteed Cost Observer-based Control of Singular Time-delay Systems with Uncertainties[J].Control and Decision, 1001-09 20(2005)10-1177-04
- [6] LI Hua; ZHOU Yu. Observer-based Non-fragile Guaranteed Cost Control of Singular Time-delay Systems[J].Control Engineering of China. 1671-7848 (20 10)06-0719-04