An environmentally friendly carbon material and its composite with MnO2 as an electrode material for a supercapacitor

Tianliang Li, Yanwei Chen, Yilin Liu & Sheng Han*

Shanghai institute of technology, Fengxian, Shanghai, China

Abstract. An environment-friendly carbon material with an atomic layer of graphene oxide (GO)–graphene and an atomic layer of GO, hereafter denoted as GO–G–GO, was fabricated by an easy one-step hydrothermal method. GO–G–GO was obtained by centrifugation to separate GO and graphite from the remainder of products of a modified Hummers method by which GO was produced. A composite of our new material with MnO2 was also prepared. The structure, composition, and electrochemical properties of MnO2/reduced GO–G–GO composites and GO–G–GO were investigated by XRD, CV, SEM, and galvanostatic charge–discharge curves. MnO2/RFLG composites (62.4wt% MnO2) exhibited a high specific capacitance of 140 F/g in 1 M Na2SO4 at a current density of 1 A/g after 1000 cycles.

1 INTRODUCTION

Graphene with a single-layer structure, high strength, and high electrical conductivity is an excellent material for energy storage and conversion.^[1-3] Many studies have been conducted on graphene or graphene oxide (GO)-based composites with metal and metal oxides. Remarkable success has been achieved, and these materials may be industrially produced in the near future. However, the high costs of producing graphene and GO hinder their commercial-scale manufacture and applications.

GO used in experiments is mostly produced by a modified Hummers method, CVD, and mechanical separation from natural graphite^[4]. GO produced by a modified Hummers method is widely used for its single-layer structure and easy dispersion in water or other organic solutions. A modified Hummers method has potential future for commercial-scale manufacture because it is easy to execute and low cost. However, the efficiency is low. Improving its efficiency is difficult, but few researchers have focused on using the remainder of products of a modified Hummers method.

This work aimed to study the remainder of products of a modified Hummers method and found that these products comprised GO, graphite, and materials mostly consisting of a few layers of graphene with an atomic layer of GO–graphene and an atomic layer of GO. This material is hereafter denoted as GO–G–GO and is shown in Fig. 1(a). GO–G–GO had higher conductivity than GO because of the existence of a middle layer of graphene.

 MnO_2 is a potential material for energy storage and conversion because of its high theoretical density, low cost, environment friendliness, and natural abundance^[5,6]. However, the poor conductivity of MnO_2 ($10^{-5} \sim 10^{-6}$ S/m) hinders its potential as an excellent material for supercapacitors.^[7-9]

To improve the electrical conductivity and broaden the application of MnO₂, it is widely studied for use in MnO₂-based electrical supercapacitors (ECs). Recently, thin MnO₂ layers have been loaded onto high-conductivity materials such as metal,^[10] conducting polymer,^[11, 12] carbon nanotube,^[13, 14] or graphene^[15] for high performance. In all abovementioned cases, the materials used are high cost or the method is complex.

To realize the commercial application of MnO_2 -based ECs, novel MnO_2 /reduced GO–G–GO (MnO_2/R –GO–G–GO) sandwich structured materials were designed and synthesized in this work. The middle layer of RFLG was made of GO–G–GO reduced by a hydrothermal method. In such a design, the middle multi-layer graphene provides an electron "superhighway" for charge storage and delivery because of its high conductivity. Thus, this material had higher conductivity than GO.

A one-step hydrothermal method was then used to prepare $MnO_2/R-GO-G-GO$ composites as novel electrode materials. GO-G-GO contained as many C=O, -COOH, and C-OH groups as GO.

Thus, our material had a large surface for the deposition of MnO_2 and provided perfect conductivity of the electrode because of the middle layer of graphene. Our experimental results showed a specific capacitance of 140 F/g at a current density of 1 A/g in 1 M Na₂SO₄ after 1000 cycles.

2 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1 Synthesis of GO–G–GO

GO–G–GO was collected from the remainder of products of a modified Hummers method.^[16] About 30 g of the remainder (10% dried materials) was added to 1000 ml of deionized water and supersonicated for 3 h. Then, the suspension was concentrated at 500 r/min for 10 min thrice to exclude the insoluble materials. Then, the solution was concentrated at 5000 r/min until the solution was clear to exclude the remaining GO. The material left was collected and dispersed in deionized water, and dialysed to exclude the remaining salt and other small molecules.

2.2 Synthesis of MnO2/R–GO–G–GO

 $MnO_2/R-GO-G-GO$ composites were prepared by $KMnO_4$ and GO-G-GO under a hydrothermal condition. In a typical procedure, the prepared GO-G-GO (60 mg) was dispersed in 200 ml of deionized water by supersonication to obtain a homogeneous dispersion. $KMnO_4$ (180 mg) was then added to the above solution. After stirring for 4 h at 50 °C, the mixture was transferred to a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave with a capacity of 250 ml for hydrothermal treatment at 150 °C for 10 h. After autoclaving, the sample was naturally cooled down to room temperature. The precipitate was separated by concentration, washed with distilled water, and dried in a vacuum at 60 °C for 24 h.

2.3 Electrode preparation and characterization

The working electrodes were fabricated by mixing the prepared powders with acetylene black (10wt%) and binding with polyvinylidene fluoride (10wt%), followed by adding a small amount of ethanol. About 2.5 mg of the prepared mixture was pressed onto nickel foam (1 cm \times 1 cm) to make the electrode. Electrochemical studies were carried out in a three-electrode system. The prepared MnO₂/R–GO–G–GO composites were used as the working electrode, a platinum electrode (1.5 cm \times 1.5 cm) was used as the counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl electrode was used as the reference electrode. The electrolyte was 1 M Na₂SO₄ solution. CV and galvanostatic charge–discharge tests within different potential ranges were performed using CHI900C electrochemical working station system (Shanghai, China) at room temperature. Galvanostatic charge–discharge tests were performed using a land system (CT2001A Wuhan Xinuo Electronics and Technology Co., Ltd.).

3 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

3.1 External performances prediction

The zeta potential spectrum of the as-synthesized GO–G–GO is shown in Fig. 1(e). The zeta potential of GO–G–GO solution was about -50 mV, which meant that our material was homogeneously dispersed in deionized water, negatively charged, and highly stable.



Fig. 1. Schematic of the structure of GO–G–GO material (a). SEM image of GO/G/GO dispersed in deionizer water (b). XRD patterns of prepared GO/G/GO (c). SEM image of as-synthesized GO (d). Zeta potential spectrum of GO–G–GO dispersed in deionizer water (e).

3.2 XRD analysis

The XRD spectrum of the as-synthesized GO/R–GO–G–GO/GO is shown in Fig. 1(c). The diffraction peak at $2\theta = 12.2^{\circ}$ differed from the GO peak at $2\theta = 10.0^{\circ}$ of the material synthesized by a modified Hummers method, and the peak at $2\theta = 22.4^{\circ}$ differed from the peak of graphite at $2\theta = 26.0^{\circ}$. No other clear sharp peaks were observed in the spectrum. Thus, this new kind of carbon material differed from GO and graphite.

The XRD spectrum of the as-prepared MnO2/R–GO–G–GO is shown in Fig. 2(b). The diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 26^{\circ}$ was the graphite peak. The XRD pattern can be indexed to monoclinic MnO2 (JCPDS no. 02-0909), whereas the XRD peaks of the MnO2/R–GO–G–GO composite overlapped with the diffraction peaks of MnO2 nanoparticles at $2\theta = 33.92^{\circ}$, 25.53°, 377.24°, 39.61°, 41.27°, 51.23°, 53.74°, 54.87°, 56.01°, 61.82°, and 64.95°. Apart from some differences in intensity, no characteristic peaks from other impurities were detected.



Fig. 2. (a) SEM image of MnO_2/R –GO–G–GO dried in air at 60 °C. (b) XRD patterns of as-prepared MnO_2/R –GO–G–GO.

3.3 Morphology of the composites

Fig. 1(b) is the SEM image of GO–G–GO dispersed in deionized water. The GO–G–GO nanoparticles were about 10 μ m long, whereas GO nanoparticles were only 1 μ m long (Fig. 1(d)), which obviously differed from GO. The GO–G–GO surface was very clear, which meant that no GO remained on the surface.

Fig. 2(a) is the SEM image of MnO2/R–GO–G–GO powder dried in air at 60 °C. This sample had a large microstructure and fixed with many nanoparticles, which obviously differed from GO. Thus, MnO2/R–GO–G–GO was successfully produced.

Electrochemical performance

The supercapacitor properties of the prepared MnO2/R–GO–G–GO composites were investigated by CV curves at different scan rates, as shown in Fig. 3(a). All scan rates had an almost rectangular shape. The mirror-like charge–discharge curves of MnO2/R–GO–G–GO showed its excellent electrical properties.



Fig. 3. (a) CV curves of MnO2/R–GO–G–GO composite at different scan rates (50, 100, 200, and 500 mV/s). (b) Galvanostatic charge–discharge curves of MnO2/RFLG composite at a current density of 1 A/g in 1 M Na2SO4 solution.

As shown in Fig. 3(b), the symmetrical charge–discharge curve of MnO2/RFLG composite showed its excellent electrical properties as supercapacitor.



Fig. 4. Long-term cycle stability of MnO2/R–GO–G–GO composite electrode in 1 M Na2SO4 aqueous solutions at a current density of 1 A/g.

Fig. 4 shows that our MnO2/R–GO–G–GO composite had excellent electrical properties. At the beginning of our test, the capacity increased may be because the mechanical structures of our materials had a random structure space. After 100 cycles, the discharge capacity increased to 160 F/g and then decreased. After 200 cycles, the capacity was close to 145 F/g and then slowly decreased. After 1000 cycles, the capacity was close to 140 F/g.

4 CONCLUSION

Nanostructure GO–G–GO was successfully synthesized using a novel, easy, and environment-friendly method. A new kind of material consisting of one atomic layer of GO on the surface and a middle layer of graphene has never been reported. MnO2/R–GO–G–GO materials were also successfully synthesized by an easy, one-step method. CV and galvanostatic charge–discharge test results showed that the composite had good electrochemical reversibility in 1 M Na2SO4 solution. MnO2/R–GO–G–GO also showed good cycle stability (140 F/g observed after 1000 cycles). Therefore, this new kind of material with good electrochemical performance can be used as materials for supercapacitors.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Endo, C. Kim, K. Nishimura, T. Fujino, K. Miyashita, Recent development of carbon materials for Li ion batteries, Carbon, 38 (2000) 183-197.

[2] S. Arepalli, H. Fireman, C. Huffman, P. Moloney, P. Nikolaev, L. Yowell, K. Kim, P. Kohl, C. Higgins, S. Turano, Carbon-nanotube-based electrochemical double-layer capacitor technologies for spaceflight applications, Jom, 57 (2005) 26-31.

[3] H.W. Kroto, J.R. Heath, S.C. O'Brien, R.F. Curl, R.E. Smalley, C 60: buckminsterfullerene, Nature, 318 (1985) 162-163.

[4] J.W. Wilder, L.C. Venema, A.G. Rinzler, R.E. Smalley, C. Dekker, Electronic structure of atomically resolved carbon nanotubes, Nature, 391 (1998) 59-62.

[5] K.S. Novoselov, A.K. Geim, S. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. Dubonos, I. Grigorieva, A. Firsov, Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films, science, 306 (2004) 666-669.

[6] R. Nair, P. Blake, A. Grigorenko, K. Novoselov, T. Booth, T. Stauber, N. Peres, A. Geim, Fine structure constant defines visual transparency of graphene, Science, 320 (2008) 1308-1308.

[7] S. Stankovich, D.A. Dikin, G.H. Dommett, K.M. Kohlhaas, E.J. Zimney, E.A. Stach, R.D. Piner, S.T. Nguyen, R.S. Ruoff, Graphene-based composite materials, Nature, 442 (2006) 282-286.

[8] K. Novoselov, A.K. Geim, S. Morozov, D. Jiang, M. Katsnelson, I. Grigorieva, S. Dubonos, A. Firsov, Two-dimensional gas of massless Dirac fermions in graphene, Nature, 438 (2005) 197-200.

[9] F. Liu, P. Ming, J. Li, Ab initio calculation of ideal strength and phonon instability of graphene under tension, Physical Review B, 76 (2007) 064120.

[10] C.A. Marianetti, H.G. Yevick, Failure mechanisms of graphene under tension, Physical review letters, 105 (2010) 245502.

[11] A.A. Balandin, S. Ghosh, W. Bao, I. Calizo, D. Teweldebrhan, F. Miao, C.N. Lau, Superior thermal conductivity of single-layer graphene, Nano letters, 8 (2008) 902-907.

[12] A.A. Balandin, Thermal properties of graphene and nanostructured carbon materials, Nature materials, 10 (2011) 569-581.

[13] K.F. Mak, M.Y. Sfeir, Y. Wu, C.H. Lui, J.A. Misewich, T.F. Heinz, Measurement of the optical conductivity of graphene, Physical review letters, 101 (2008) 196405.

[14] M. Bruna, S. Borini, Optical constants of graphene layers in the visible range, Applied Physics Letters, 94 (2009) 031901.

[15] H. Chen, M.B. Müller, K.J. Gilmore, G.G. Wallace, D. Li, Mechanically strong, electrically conductive, and biocompatible graphene paper, Advanced Materials, 20 (2008) 3557-3561.