

The Evaluation Research of Guangxi Beibu Gulf Port Production Efficiency based on Super Efficiency DEA

Kerong Jian

Finance Department

Guangxi University of Science and Technology

Liuzhou, China

E-mail: jkr1027@126.com

Abstract-The production efficiency of the Beibu Gulf port of Guangxi is studied. The author selects the input output index system, including the input parameters of the terminal length, the number of berths, berth cargo capacity, the annual capacity of the berth container, and the output indicators include cargo throughput and container throughput. And then the CCR-DEA and DEA evaluation models are established. The study found that the technical efficiency and scale efficiency of the Beibu Gulf port in the 10 major ports in the coastal area were the lowest, and the scale efficiency decreased. In the past years, the comprehensive efficiency of the Beibu Gulf port increased year by year.

Keywords-Super Efficiency DEA; Input; Output; Production Efficiency; Port

I. INTRODUCTION

Beibu Gulf port area includes Beihai harbor area, Fangcheng harbor and Qinzhou harbor, with business scope including port and dock construction, international and domestic container, internal and external trade miscellaneous pieces of bulk cargo loading and unloading, and storage of goods transit, transit of dangerous goods storage, cargo agents, ocean shipping tally, commerce and trade. It has 241 berths, 241 kilometers long pier length, berth cargo capacity of 12611 kilometers and the annual cargo throughput reaches 186740000 tons.

Beibu Gulf port grasps the opportunity to play to the strengths, transfer and adjustment of structure, developing and expanding the port operation industry, further tapping the potential of south southwest of new sources, focusing on exploring new sources of the ASEAN countries, strengthening the administration of ports and corporate governance, and promoting the construction of port infrastructure, and in 2014 it completed port throughput of 123 million tons, an increase of 12%, of which the container finish throughput completed 112 million TEUs, an increase of 11.6%. It achieved operating income of 4237727700 yuan, an increase of 13.87%, total profit of 707954500 yuan, an increase of 3.06%.

The production efficiency of the port is the capacity of the port to allocate the input reasonably, and to obtain the best output.

In the 90s of the 20th century, people began to use DEA models on the port efficiency analysis. Roll and Hayuth (1993) [1] used the DEA models on the analysis of port, but the actual data are not collected to analysis, and the research only stayed in the theoretical level. Martinez-Budria (1999) [2] used the BBC-DEA model for the first time to analyze the cost and efficiency of 26 ports.

Tongzon (2001) [3] used the CCR-DEA model and the DEA model to analyze the data of the 4 ports in Australia and the other 12 international container ports in 1996.

Teng-Fei and Cullinane et al. (2003) and (2006) [4, 5] used the DEA model to evaluate the technical efficiency of the international container ports.

The DEA model is used to study the efficiency of the port and originated from the 90s of the 20th century in China. Chen Junfei et al. (2004) [6] selected tradable shares as input indicators, earnings per share as the output index, and applied the ccr-dea model the relative operational efficiency evaluation on 15 ports listed companies. Pang Ruizhi (2006) [7] studied the technical efficiency of China's 50 major ports with the data from 1999 to 2002. Based on the DEA model, Kuang Haibo et al. (2007)[8,9] used the principal component analysis method to analyze the main influencing factors of port efficiency, and calculated the technical efficiency of the 8 major ports in China. With above comprehensive analysis of domestic and foreign about DEA model in the application of port, this paper can obtain most of the literature are the port cargo throughput and container throughput of port technology research as output indicators.

In this paper, the production efficiency of the Beibu Gulf port is analyzed from two aspects: vertical and horizontal. First of all, the input and output of the main ports in China's coastal regions are compared and analyzed, and then the input and output efficiency of the Beibu Gulf port of 2007-2014 is studied. The countermeasures and suggestions for improving the production efficiency of the Beibu Gulf port are given.

II. INDEX SELECTION AND DATA PROCESSING

The input parameters include the length of the pier, the number of berths, the annual capacity of the berth cargo container, the annual throughput of the berth container, and

the output index include the cargo throughput and container throughput.

TABLE I. INPUT AND OUTPUT INDICATOR DATA OF MAJOR PORTS IN CHINA'S COASTAL AREAS

| Serial Number | Port Name | Pier Length | Capacity of Berths | Berth Cargo Capacity | Berth Container Annual Capacity | Cargo Throughput | Container Throughput |
|---------------|-----------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 1 | Beibu | 30872 | 241 | 12611 | 355 | 18674 | 100 |
| 2 | Zhanjiang | 15542 | 146 | 1619 | 91 | 18006.18 | 45.18 |
| 3 | Guangzhou | 55996 | 696 | 36460 | 1174 | 47200 | 1550.45 |
| 4 | Shenzhen | 29384 | 147 | 23599 | 2433 | 23397.96 | 2327.85 |
| 5 | Shantou | 9627 | 87 | 3445 | 90 | 5037.90 | 128.80 |
| 6 | Haikou | 2772 | 21 | 1549 | 101 | 5619.6 | 116.8 |
| 7 | Quanzhou | 14619.41 | 86 | 2541.4 | 123.63 | 10804.51 | 170.06 |
| 8 | Xiamen | 24431.5 | 143 | 14174 | 964 | 19087.8 | 800.8 |
| 9 | Ningbo | 48247 | 328 | 20469 | 1197 | 49600 | 1677.4 |
| 10 | Shanghai | 123988 | 1191 | 34286 | 2004 | 50000 | 2004 |

TABLE II. INPUT AND OUTPUT INDICATOR 2007-2013 DATE IN THE BEIBU GULF PORT

| Serial Number | Port Name | Pier Length | Capacity of Berths | Berth Cargo Capacity | Berth Container Annual Capacity | Cargo Throughput | Container Throughput |
|---------------|-----------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 1 | 2013 | 30872 | 241 | 12611 | 355 | 18674 | 100 |
| 2 | 2012 | 30624 | 227 | 12311 | 350 | 17438 | 82.43 |
| 3 | 2011 | 27136 | 217 | 11181 | 220 | 15330.6 | 73.82 |
| 4 | 2010 | 24694 | 211 | 10341 | 130 | 11923.04 | 130 |
| 5 | 2009 | | 201 | 9676 | 130 | 9407.9 | 34.87 |
| 6 | 2008 | | 165 | 8089 | 55 | 7785.9 | 33.6 |
| 7 | 2007 | | 166 | 6668.5 | 55 | 7190.2 | 27.42 |

port, Shenzhen port, Shantou port, Haikou port, Quanzhou port, and Ningbo port. The technical efficiency and the scale of efficiency of Beibu Gulf port are at the lowest in all 10 ports, and Returns to scale declined in revenue.

III. EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS

A. Horizontal Contrast Analysis

As can be seen from the above table, the overall efficiency of the ports is relatively effective: Zhanjiang

TABLE III. EFFICIENCY TABLES OF THE PORTS

| Firm | crste | vrste | scale | lb |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| Beibu | 0.508 | 0.731 | 0.695 | drs |
| Zhanjiang | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | - |
| Guangzhou | 0.940 | 0.964 | 0.975 | drs |
| Shenzhen | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | - |
| Shantou | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | - |
| Haikou | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | - |
| Quanzhou | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | - |
| Xiamen | 0.741 | 0.828 | 0.895 | drs |
| Ningbo | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | - |
| Shanghai | 0.714 | 1.000 | 0.714 | drs |

As can be seen from Table 4, in the fixed input the same premise, to further increase container throughput 2,979,790 tons, it can be seen from Table 5, in keeping output unchanged, redundancy into each input indicators

respectively quay length 7523.382 km, berth number 51.557, berth annual capacity of 64,925,420 tons of goods.

TABLE IV. OUTPUT INDACATORS SLACK VARIABLE VALUE (LESS OUTPUT VALUE)

| Firm | Cargo Throughput | Container Throughput |
|-----------|------------------|----------------------|
| Beibu | 0.000 | 297.979 |
| Zhanjiang | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Guangzhou | 0.000 | 35.752 |
| Shenzhen | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Shantou | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Haikou | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Quanzhou | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Xiamen | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Ningbo | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Shanghai | 0.000 | 0.000 |

TABLE V. INPUT INDACATORS SLACK VARIABLE VALUE (INPUT REDUNDANCY VALUE)

| Firm | Port Name | Pier Length | Capacity of Berths | Berth Cargo Capacity |
|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Beibu | 7523.382 | 51.557 | 6492.542 | 0.000 |
| Zhanjiang | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Guangzhou | 8429.122 | 371.785 | 16382.998 | 0.000 |
| Shenzhen | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Shantou | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Haikou | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Quanzhou | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Xiamen | 2422.237 | 0.000 | 3011.095 | 151.390 |
| Ningbo | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Shanghai | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

TABLE VI. BEIBU GULF PORT INPUT AND OUTPUT OF EACH INDICAOR TARGET

| Variable | Original Value | Radial Movement | Slack Movement | Projected Value |
|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Cargo Throughput | 18674.000 | 6873.562 | 0.000 | 25547.562 |
| Container Throughput | 100.000 | 36.808 | 297.979 | 434.788 |
| Port Name | 30872.000 | 0.000 | -7523.382 | 23348.618 |
| Pier Length | 241.000 | 0.000 | -51.557 | 189.443 |
| Capacity of Berths | 12611.000 | 0.000 | -6492.542 | 6118.458 |
| Berth Cargo Capacity | 355.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 355.000 |

IV. DYNAMIC ANALYSIS OF THE PRODUCTION EFFICIENCY OF BEIBU GULF PORT

TABLE VII. 2007-2013 EFFICIENCY VALUE OF BEIBU GULF PORT

| DMU | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|-------|---------|---------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| Score | 100.00% | 100.00% | 93.40% | 100.00% | 65.66% | 65.00% | 72.82% |
| DMU | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Score | 108.16% | 104.67% | 93.40% | 162.52% | 65.66% | 65.00% | 72.82% |

TABLE VIII. THE INPUT AND OUTPUT OF EACH INDICATOR TARGET NUMBER OF BEIBU GULF PORT IN 2007-2013

| Serial Number | Year | Pier Length | Capacity of Berths | Berth Cargo Capacity | Berth Container Annual Capacity | Cargo Throughput | Container Throughput |
|---------------|------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 1 | 2013 | 30872.000 | 241.000 | 12611.000 | 355.000 | 18674.000 | 100.000 |
| 2 | 2012 | 30624.000 | 227.000 | 12311.000 | 350.000 | 17438.000 | 82.430 |
| 3 | 2011 | 27136.000 | 217.000 | 11181.000 | 220.000 | 15330.600 | 73.820 |
| 4 | 2010 | 24694.000 | 211.000 | 10341.000 | 130.000 | 11923.040 | 130.000 |
| 5 | 2009 | 24694.000 | 201.000 | 9676.000 | 113.501 | 10941.805 | 108.055 |
| 6 | 2008 | 24694.000 | 165.000 | 8089.000 | 55.000 | 7785.900 | 33.600 |
| 7 | 2007 | 24694.000 | 166.000 | 6668.500 | 55.000 | 7190.200 | 27.420 |

V. COUNTERMEASURES AND SUGGESTIONS

On the basis of the actual situation of the overall listing of major asset restructuring, the Beibu Gulf port needs to further improve the strategic positioning and deployment, get Function adjustment and orientation of Beihai Port, Qinzhou Port, Fangchenggang zone, create specialized port services platform to enhance the efficiency of port services and business competitiveness to create a professional port service platform, improve the port service efficiency and business competitiveness.

Strengthen the port infrastructure construction and technological transformation, improve the port service refinement management, and constantly improve the efficiency and service level. Promote the management of port operations information. Strengthen the management of the scene. Actively expand the sea rail transport business, encryption liner routes, sea and rail transport continued to develop steadily, and the port sector to achieve new progress in communication and cooperation.

Formulate and revise a series of internal control management system, strengthen internal management to adapt to the new situation, new work requirements, the production of business management to achieve the basic system, standardization, process oriented. The company's overall management level has been significantly improved, the development strategy to promote the plan. After the text edit has been completed, the paper is ready for the template. Duplicate the template file by using the Save As command, and use the naming convention prescribed by your conference for the name of your paper. In this newly created file, highlight all of the contents and import your prepared text file. You are now ready to style your paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This paper was supported by 1)the outstanding young backbone teachers' training program in higher education institutions of Guangxi, China; 2)Guangxi Philosophy and Social Science Fund (The Grant No. 13BGL009) and 3) The teaching reform project in higher education of Guangxi (No. 2012JGA178).

REFERENCE

- [1] Roll Y, Hayuth Y. Port performance comparison applying data envelopment analysis (DEA) [J]. Maritime Policy and Management, 1993, 20(2): 153-161.
- [2] Martinez-Budria, E., Diaz-Armas, R., Navarro-Ibanez, M. and Ravelo-Mesa, T., A Study of the Efficiency of Spanish Port Authorities Using Data Envelopment Analysis [J]. International Journal of Transport Economics, 1999, XXVI: 37-253.
- [3] Tongzon, J. L. Efficiency Measurement of Selected Australian and Other International Ports Using Data Envelopment Analysis [J]. Transportation Research A, 2001, 35: 113-128.
- [4] Teng-Fei W, Song D, Cullinane K. Container Port Production Efficiency: A Comparative Study of DEA and FDH Approaches [J]. Journal of the Eastern Asia Society For Transportation Studies, 2003, (5): 698-713.
- [5] Kevin Cullinane, Teng-Fei Wang, Dong-Wook Song, et al. The Technical Efficiency of Container Ports: Comparing Data Envelopment Analysis and Stochastic Frontier Analysis [J]. Transportation Research Part A, 2006, 40: 354-374.
- [6] Chen Junfei, Xu Changxin, Yan with a new method of data envelopment analysis to evaluate the operating efficiency of port and waterway listing Corporation [J]. Shanghai Maritime College Journal, 2004, 01: 51-55.
- [7] Pang Ruizhi. Evaluation of the dynamic efficiency of China's major coastal ports [J]. Economic research, 2006, 06: 92-100.
- [8] Kuang Haibo. Based on sup-ccr-dea port listed company cost efficiency evaluation research [J]. Chinese Journal of management science, 2007, 03: 142-148.
- [9] Kuang Haibo, Chen Shuwen. Research and empirical research on the efficiency of port production in China [J]. Research management, 2007, 05: 170-177.