

The Construction Of Carbon Emissions Control Theory Research

Wang Wan-ying¹ Wang Xue-li² Sun Jia-Jie³

¹Xi'an University Of Architecture And Technology Huaqing College, shaanxi,China

²Xi'an University Of Architecture And Technology Huaqing College, shaanxi,China

³Xi'an City Shanty Towns (Villages) Reform Office, shaanxi,China

KEYWORD: Energy conservation and emissions reduction; Rough set; Decision rules

ABSTRACT: Although construction stage possesses short time in building life cycle, comparing to operations period, energy of consumption and carbon emissions concentrated. How to control carbon emissions at the construction stage. After investigating and researching, it summarized 24 main influencing factors. Then it was reduced by the representative of the state for the 80 and 2 decision strategies adopted in these conditions. This article summarizes a series carbon emission control rules, thus screening of potential bidders whether responses to energy saving and emission reduction in bidding stage.

THE CONSTRUCTION OF CARBON EMISSIONS CONDITION AND DECISION ATTRIBUTE DECISION

In rough set, condition attributes was going to collect and determine the factors affecting carbon emissions on construction phase. Judgment decision attribute was the determination of the construction of carbon emission control standards.

The principle of rough set was to reduce redundancy of the system. Then we deleted the redundant factors and those did not affect the decision-making ability of the system.^[1]

To determine the condition attribute

Condition attribute refers to the characteristics of things. The value refers to describe the feature of the characteristics. There were qualitative and quantitative description. The influencing factors were men, material, machine, environment and management, which could be named condition attributes.

We have collected 24 factors, which affected the construction of carbon emissions, the condition attributes were expressed in C_i ($i = 1 \sim n$). As shown in table 1.

To determine the decision attribute

Decision attributes were expressed in D_j ($j = 1 \sim n$). Decision attributes is carbon emissions per unit area of the building. The decision attribute as two states, namely, 0 and 1, representing the (*, 21.55], and [21.55 *).

(1) Carbon emissions per unit area of the building

To simplify the research, we used the carbon emissions per unit area of the building to describe the different projects for the construction of carbon emissions. As in formula 1. The unit is kg/m^2 .

Carbon emissions per unit area of the building =

$$\frac{\text{Total carbon emissions in construction process}}{\text{Total building area}}$$

(2) Carbon emissions standards for building construction

The standard referred to carbon dioxide emissions per unit space on construction site^[2]. The standard was an important prerequisite and guarantee for the work of energy-saving emission reduction.

^[3]Taking Xi'an city as an example, By the early investigation and study, we had calculated The current construction carbon emission standard is $21.55 \text{ kg}/\text{m}^2$ in Xi'an.

In rough set, we use 0 and 1 instead of (*, 21.55] and [21.55, *). That is to verify individual carbon emissions qualified or not.

TO HANDLE THE ATTRIBUTE VALUE OF ENERGY SAVING AND EMISSION REDUCTION

Data collection

Data collection should avoid human errors, omissions or missing data. If there exist the null data, it should be controlled in the range of 3% ~ 6%.

We seted condition attribute "the choice of mode of transport" as an example to illustrate how to collecte and determine the attribute data. Generally,we transported with highway, railway, air and sea, by using the four numbers 1, 2, 3,4 to express thoes transport modes.

Tab.1The construction energy conservation and emissions reduction condition attributes

number	condition attributes	number	condition attributes
C ₁	Transport mode	C ₁₃	Level of qualification
C ₂	Steel transportation distance	C ₁₄	Structure type
C ₃	Cement transportation distance	C ₁₅	Building area
C ₄	transportation efficiency	C ₁₆	Soil type
C ₅	Operation skill	C ₁₇	Temperature
C ₆	Mechanical wear	C ₁₈	Altitude
C ₇	Energy saving rate	C ₁₉	Earthwork
C ₈	The insulation performance	C ₂₀	The amount of cement
C ₉	Living and office lighting	C ₂₁	The amount of reinforcement
C ₁₀	Management ability	C ₂₂	Electricity consumption
C ₁₁	Energy efficiency	C ₂₃	Diesel consumption
C ₁₂	floors	C ₂₄	Gasoline usage

Discrete

There are two kinds of datas continuous and discrete in the system, respectively,we using quantitative and qualitative this two ways to describe the characteristics of data.In rough set,they always used discrete data.so the quantitative data in accordance with the laws of some segmentation.^[4]

In this paper we used Boolean reasoning algorithm to discrete data, The discrete result of cement are shown in Table 2.

Attribute reduction

Deleting redundant information in the system is attribute reduction. However, the reduction results is not the only, without special requirements, we choosed the most simple one.

In this paper we used the method of Johnson and genetic.The method of Genetic was studied by Davis and Lingra^[5].

In this paper, the energy consumption data of 50 have been built from the already built and under construction projects.

Tab.2 Discrete process of condition attributes "cement"

number	C ₂₀ (cement)		
	The original data	Discrete	The replacement data
1	369	[360.71, 482.69)	3
3	200	[* , 242.50)	1
5	485	[482.69, *)	4
10	254	[242.50, 360.71)	2
35	196	[* , 242.50)	1

(1) Introduce Rosetta tools

The rough set data analysis software was developed jointly by University of Warsaw in Poland and Norwegian University Science & Technology. It realized the data mining and knowledge discovery, A variety of functions, including data import and export data, completion, the discrete data, knowledge reduction, classification, rule generation and verification analysis. ^[6]

(2) Genetic reduction and Johnson reduction

Reduction results of the two methods are shown in Table 3 and table 4.

Tab.3 Reduction algorithm of Genetic

Reduct	Support	Lenght
{C ₉ , C ₁₉ , C ₂₀ , C ₂₁ , C ₂₂ , C ₂₃ , C ₂₄ }	100	7
{C ₂ , C ₁₂ , C ₁₃ , C ₁₄ , C ₁₉ , C ₂₀ , C ₂₁ , C ₂₃ , C ₂₄ }	100	8
{C ₂ , C ₃ , C ₆ , C ₁₄ , C ₁₉ , C ₂₀ , C ₂₁ , C ₂₃ }	100	8
{C ₉ , C ₁₂ , C ₁₄ , C ₁₇ , C ₁₉ , C ₂₀ , C ₂₁ , C ₂₂ , C ₂₃ }	100	9
{C ₃ , C ₇ , C ₈ , C ₁₁ , C ₁₅ , C ₂₀ , C ₂₁ , C ₂₂ , C ₂₃ }	100	9
{C ₂ , C ₇ , C ₈ , C ₁₁ , C ₁₇ , C ₂₀ , C ₂₁ , C ₂₂ , C ₂₃ }	100	9
{C ₉ , C ₁₁ , C ₁₄ , C ₁₇ , C ₁₉ , C ₂₀ , C ₂₁ , C ₂₂ , C ₂₃ , C ₂₄ }	100	10
{C ₂ , C ₁₁ , C ₁₂ , C ₁₄ , C ₁₅ , C ₁₉ , C ₂₀ , C ₂₁ , C ₂₂ , C ₂₄ }	100	10

Tab.4 Reduction algorithm of Johnson

Reduct	Support	Lenght
{C ₉ , C ₁₉ , C ₂₀ , C ₂₁ , C ₂₂ , C ₂₃ , C ₂₄ }	100	7

We take the intersection of Genetic reduction and Johnson reduction algorithm results, which was { C₁₉, C₂₀, C₂₁, C₂₂, C₂₃, C₂₄ }

That was { Earthwork quantity, cement, steel works, power consumption, use of diesel, gasoline consumption }.

In the earthwork, construction machinery was used frequently in the construction stage, which had high energy consumption and large carbon emissions. Main project consumed a large amount of steel and the main material, which is high in carbon emissions. From this analysis, the results were basically consistent with the actual situation after reduction. So in actual operation, those important factors should be the focus of monitoring.

CONSTRUCTION ENERGY CONSERVATION DECISION RULES

Decision rules introduced

In the decision-making system, after reduction, it generated the most streamlined decision-making table, which is a collection of different decision rules.

Definition of decision rules: $r_{ij} : des(X_i) \rightarrow des(Y_j), X_i \text{ I } Y_j \neq f^{[7]}$.

Among them, $\text{des}()$ was description of equivalence classes. Deterministic factor is defined

$$m(X_i, Y_j) = \frac{|X_i \cap Y_j|}{|X_i|}, \text{ Obviously, } 0 < m(X_i, Y_j) \leq 1 \text{ [8].}$$

when $m(X_i, Y_j) = 1$, r_{ij} was identified.

when $0 < m(X_i, Y_j) < 1$, r_{ij} was uncertain.

Seeking decision rule

When seeking decision rules, firstly we added "Carbon emissions per unit area of the building" in that system. Secondly, adding the two decision-making attribute in the system. Then we used rough set theory, taking the 50 data into rosetta software to compute. So it formed a series of decision rules.

We selected decision rules of the accuracy is higher than 0.8, the coverage is greater than 0.05, and we got 165 optimal rules. A part of the rules as shown in Table 5. We extracted the fourth rule are explained in detail. The details are shown in table 6. The project which was represented by rule 4 conformed to the carbon emissions standards.

Tab.5 The optimal rule table

number	Rule
1	$C_2(2) \text{ AND } C_8(4) \text{ AND } C_9(2) \text{ AND } C_{15}(1) \text{ AND } C_{21}(2) \text{ AND } C_{23}(0) \Rightarrow D(0)$
2	$C_2(1) \text{ AND } C_8(5) \text{ AND } C_9(2) \text{ AND } C_{15}(1) \text{ AND } C_{21}(2) \text{ AND } C_{23}(0) \Rightarrow D(0)$
3	$C_2(2) \text{ AND } C_8(4) \text{ AND } C_9(1) \text{ AND } C_{15}(1) \text{ AND } C_{21}(2) \text{ AND } C_{23}(0) \Rightarrow D(0)$
4	$C_2(2) \text{ AND } C_3(0) \text{ AND } C_4(2) \text{ AND } C_9(2) \text{ AND } C_{20}(2) \text{ AND } C_{21}(1) \Rightarrow D(0)$
5	$C_2(2) \text{ AND } C_8(3) \text{ AND } C_9(2) \text{ AND } C_{15}(3) \text{ AND } C_{21}(2) \text{ AND } C_{23}(0) \Rightarrow D(0)$
6	$C_2(2) \text{ AND } C_7(1) \text{ AND } C_{15}(1) \text{ AND } C_{20}(1) \text{ AND } C_{21}(2) \text{ AND } C_{22}(0) \Rightarrow D(0)$

Tab.6 Rule 4 specification table

number	condition attributes	Attribute state	The attribute number	Decision	Decision results
C_2	Steel transportation distance	2	[28.13, 81.13)	0	(*, 21.55]
C_3	Cement transportation distance	0	[*, 38.61)		
C_4	transportation efficiency	2	90% 以上		
C_9	Living and office lighting	2	[1574.06, 7232.76)		
C_{15}	Building area	1	[*, 19857.50)		
C_{20}	The amount of cement	2	[242.50, 360.71)		
C_{21}	The amount of reinforcement	1	[*, 174.04)		
C_{22}	Electricity consumption	0	[*, 65933.70)		

Application of decision rules

Decision rules of energy saving and emission reduction can be applied to bidding decision. In the evaluation phase, when we inputted the project information, it could quickly determine whether the bidding documents in response to the mandatory of the The tender.

For example, there existing A, B two bidding document, we draw the basic information of A and B in the evaluation stage, then used language of rough set to describe factors.

When listing facts use either the style tag List signs or the style tag List numbers.

The bidding documents A:

$C_1(1) \text{AND} C_2(1) \text{AND} C_3(1) \text{AND} C_4(1) \text{AND} C_5(3) \text{AND} C_6(1) \text{AND} C_7(0) \text{AND} C_8(3) \text{AND} C_9(2) \text{AND} C_{10}(3) \text{AND} C_{11}(1) \text{AND} C_{12}(4) \text{AND} C_{13}(2) \text{AND} C_{14}(3) \text{AND} C_{15}(3) \text{AND} C_{16}(2) \text{AND} C_{17}(0) \text{AND} C_{18}(1) \text{AND} C_{19}(1) \text{AND} C_{20}(1) \text{AND} C_{21}(4) \text{AND} C_{22}(0) \text{AND} C_{23}(0) \text{AND} C_{24}(3)$

The bidding documents B:

$C_1(1) \text{AND} C_2(2) \text{AND} C_3(1) \text{AND} C_4(2) \text{AND} C_5(2) \text{AND} C_6(0) \text{AND} C_7(0) \text{AND} C_8(4) \text{AND} C_9(4) \text{AND} C_{10}(5) \text{AND} C_{11}(2) \text{AND} C_{12}(4) \text{AND} C_{13}(2) \text{AND} C_{14}(3) \text{AND} C_{15}(1) \text{AND} C_{16}(2) \text{AND} C_{17}(1) \text{AND} C_{18}(1) \text{AND} C_{19}(3) \text{AND} C_{20}(3) \text{AND} C_{21}(2) \text{AND} C_{22}(0) \text{AND} C_{23}(0) \text{AND} C_{24}(2)$

Then we inputted the main impacts to the rule base, such as the average distance of reinforced and cement, construction area, the transportation efficiency, energy consumption, Living and office lighting. So we could get the result:

Bidding document $A \rightarrow D(1)$

Bidding document $B \rightarrow D(0)$

Construction carbon emissions of $A \in (21.55, *]$

Construction carbon emissions of $B \in (*, 21.55]$

Therefore, We should accept company B and reject company A.

Conclusion

In this article, we extracted 50 samples, these samples included the building have been built and the being built one. By using the rough set method we got a series of rules about construction energy saving. In the bidding process, energy conservation has become an important assessment criteria. By the rule base, the tender can quickly determine whether the bidding documents comply with the mandatory of tender documents about energy conservation. From the organizational point of view, this paper replenished some theory about the pre-construction phase of building energy saving.

References

- [1] Miao Duoqian. Rough set theory, algorithms and applications[M]. Beijing: Tsinghua University Press, 2008.
- [2] Liu Xiongwei. Research Methodology fixed public building energy consumption subtropical region[D]. Chongqing: Chongqing University, 2010.
- [3] China Construction Association standards. Building carbon emissions measurement standards [M]. Beijing: China Planning Press 2014. 125-131
- [4] Liu Chunyan, Identification of genetic BP oil network - based on rough set[D], Dalian: Dalian Maritime University, 2008.
- [5] Wu Liming. Attribute Reduction Algorithm Based on Rough Set Theory [D], Wuhan: Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 2006.
- [6] Liu Qingzhi, Rough set data mining method and its application in the relevant decision-making issues [D], Beijing: China Civil Aviation University, 2008
- [7] Wang Biao, Duan Chanlun, Wu Hao Research and Application of rough sets and fuzzy sets [M] Beijing: Electronic Industry Press, 2009.
- [8] Sun Xiaoling, Wang Ning, Knowledge of the application of fuzzy Petri net representation with logo [J], Computer Engineering and Applications, 2010, 27 (6) : 48-51