# Enhanced electrochemical performance of high voltage spinel cathode by using fluoroethylene carbonate as an electrolyte additive

Jiahui Chen<sup>1,a</sup>, Hui Zhang<sup>1,b</sup> and Cuihua Li<sup>1,c\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, China <sup>a</sup>alivecjh@163.com, <sup>b</sup>zhanghui2009love@126.com, <sup>c</sup>licuihuasz@163.com

**Keywords:** Lithium ion battery, electrolyte additive, fluoroethylene carbonate, spinel cathode. **Abstract.** Spinel LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cathode has attracted considerable attention because of its high energy density and operating potential. However, current carbonate electrolytes cannot meet the demand of this high voltage cathode. Here, we demonstrate that fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC) substantially improve the electrochemical performance of LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cathode. In presence of 2 wt % FEC, Li/LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cell exhibits an improved discharge capacity retention of 90.3% after 200 cycles at room temperature. When cycled at 55 °C, the cell with FEC achieves discharge capacity retention of 92.8% after 100 cycles comparing of 72.7% of the cell without FEC. Our investigation reveals that FEC alleviates the electrolyte decomposition under high voltage and temperature conditions and forms a less resistive film on the cathode surface.

## Introduction

The development of electric vehicles and energy storage systems requires the exploitation of advance lithium ion batteries with higher energy density [1, 2]. One effective way to enhance the energy density of the lithium battery is to increase its working potential. Spinel  $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$  has attracted extensive interests due to its high operating potential (~4.7 V vs.  $\text{Li/Li}^+$ ) and energy density (650 W h kg<sup>-1</sup>) [3]. A major challenge for the practical application of this high voltage cathode is the instability of currently used carbonated-based electrolyte beyond 4.5 V [4]. Moreover, the interfacial side reaction between cathode and electrolyte becomes more pronounced at elevated temperature. This side reaction readily causes rapid capacity fade and low coulombic efficiency.

To improve the electrolyte stability, many additives has been explored with focus on protecting the high voltage cathode surface. Among them, fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC) has been considered as an electrolyte additive to form a stable solid/electrolyte interphase (SEI) film for many anodes, such as, graphite [5] and silicon [6]. However, the effect of FEC on the cathode performance has been less investigated. Ryou et al [7] demonstrated that addition of FEC improve the capacity retention of LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphite cell at 60 °C. This positive effect is originated from the SEI film formed on the graphite surface. Park et al [8] reported that incorporation of FEC into Li/LiCoO<sub>2</sub> cell helps to increase the polycarbonate content in the SEI film, which enhances the ion conductivity of SEI film and improves electrochemical performance. Li et al [9] reported that FEC can improve the compatibility between lithium-rich cathode and electrolyte via forming a stabilized SEI film.

In the present study, we expected that FEC is able to improve the electrolyte stability and capacity retention of  $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$  cathode especially at high temperature. Electrochemical measurements, including cycling test, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and chronoamperometry, were carried out to investigate the effect of FEC on Li/LiNi\_{0.5}\text{Mn}\_{1.5}\text{O}\_4 cell.

## Experimental

The blank electrolyte was composed of 1 M LiPF<sub>6</sub> in a solvent mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC), ethyl methyl carbonate (EMC), and diethyl carbonate (DEC) in 3:5:2 volume ratio. The additive containing electrolyte was obtained by adding 2 wt % FEC (J&K Chemical) into the blank electrolyte. The cathode active material LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (Shenzhen Tianjiao) was mixed with acetylene carbon black and polyvinylidene fluoride binder at a weight ratio of 8:1:1 in N-methyl pyrrolidone to create

slurry. The resulting slurry was cast onto an aluminum foil and dried overnight at 70 °C. After drying, the coated foil was punched into round discs and dried again at 110 °C for 8 h. CR2032 type coin cell with LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cathode, lithium foil anode, and Celgard 2400 separator was assembled in an Mbraun Ar-filled glove box. Same amount of electrolyte of 50  $\mu$ L was added for each coin cell.

Galvanostatic charge/discharge cycles of Li/LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cells were carried out between 3.5 and 5.0 V on a CT2001A battery test system (Wuhan Land Electronics Co. Ltd, China). Thereafter, the cells were cycled with a constant rate of 0.1 C ( $1 \text{ C}=147 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}$ ) at room temperature (ca. 25 °C) for five formation cycles and then with 0.5 C at room and elevated temperature. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was conducted on the Solartron 1470E cell test system in frequency range of 10<sup>5</sup> Hz to 0.01 Hz with the ac perturbation amplitude of 10 mV. Chronoamperometry was performed on the Solartron 1470E cell test system as well. The Li/LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cells with and without FEC were charged to 5.2 and 5.5 V respectively at 0.1 C, followed by constant potential holding at 5.2 and 5.5 V for 24 h.

#### **Results and discussion**

The cycling performance of Li/LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cells with and without FEC is given in Fig. 1. The cell cycled in blank electrolyte delivers a discharge capacity of 132.1 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> at the first cycle and decrease to 109.3 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> after 200 cycles, so that relatively low capacity retention of 82.7% is achieved. For the cell cycled in FEC containing electrolyte, reversible discharge capacity of 121.8 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> can be obtained at the 200th cycle, corresponding to capacity retention of 90.3%. In addition, the coulombic efficiency of the cell with FEC quickly rises to 98.6% after five formation cycles and remains stable around 99% for the subsequent cycles. This higher coulombic efficiency implies that electrolyte decomposition is mitigated by the addition of FEC. It is interesting to find that the cell with FEC shows lower initial coulombic efficiency than that without FEC, which is probably due to the additive involved SEI film formation on the LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cathode surface.



Fig. 1 Cycling performance of Li/LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cells with and without FEC at room temperature.

More clear evidence for this improved cycling performance bought by FEC is presented in Fig. 2. Electrochemical impedance spectra of Li/LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cells with and without FEC were collected before cycle and after 200 cycles, respectively. Generally, the high frequency semicircle is corresponded to the surface film resistance ( $R_f$ ), and the middle frequency semicircle is related to the charge transfer resistance ( $R_{ct}$ ) between electrode and electrolyte. The low frequency straight line is associated with the Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion process in the electrode. Before cycling, the impedance of the cell with FEC is much smaller. This is most likely due to the fact that FEC is more easily enrich the LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cathode and consequently resulting in low impedance [9]. After 200 cycles, the cell cycled in blank electrolyte shows larger  $R_f$  than the one cycled in FEC containing electrolyte. This can be explained by the continuous accumulation of the undesired decomposition products of electrolyte onto the cathode surface. In contrary, FEC is able to alleviate the electrolyte decomposition by forming protective SEI film, and resulting in the decrease of  $R_f$ . Moreover, the cell with FEC exhibits smaller

 $R_{ct}$ . indicating that FEC derived SEI film is beneficial for facilitating charge transfer. Therefore, the improved cycling performance with FEC is believed to be due to the high quality SEI film formation.



Fig. 2 Electrochemical impedance spectra of Li/LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cells (a) without and (b) with FEC.

To verify the anodic stability of FEC containing electrolyte, the leakage current of Li/LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cells was monitored at constant charging voltages of 5.2 and 5.5 V for 24 h. As shown in Fig. 3, the cell with blank electrolyte shows larger leakage current at both 5.2 V and 5.5 V, suggesting that severe decomposition of the blank electrolyte. However, incorporation of FEC reduces the leakage current, especially at 5.5 V. It is thought that the SEI film formed on LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cathode surface in the FEC containing electrolyte is much more stable and thus helps the electrolyte tolerate high voltages.



Fig. 3 Potentiostatic profiles of Li/LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cells with and without FEC maintained at charging voltage of 5.2 and 5.5 V respectively.

Fig. 4a presents the cycling performance of Li/LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cells at 55 °C. The cell with FEC exhibits dramatically improved discharge capacity. It delivers a discharge capacity of 118.4 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> after 100 cycles and shows capacity retention of 92.8%. While for the cell without FEC suffers from severe capacity fading, resulting a low discharge capacity of 91.6 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> and with capacity retention of 72.7% after 100 cycles. The substantially improved cycling performance demonstrates that the harmful interfacial side reaction between cathode and electrolyte is alleviated due to the effective protection of SEI film formed by FEC. Unfortunately, without the addition of FEC, unfavorable SEI film generated by the decomposition products of electrolyte covers the cathode incessantly, which not only lead to inferior discharge capacity but also to a low and unstable coulombic efficiency, as shown in Fig. 4b.

The charge/discharge profiles of Li/LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cells with and without FEC are given in Fig. 4c and Fig. 4d. It can be found that the potential difference between charge and discharge plateaus for the cell without FEC is much larger than that with FEC, suggesting severe polarization of LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> electrode caused by the excessive SEI film growth. In the presence of FEC, the electrode polarization is greatly decreased due to the stable FEC derived SEI film.



Fig. 4 Cycling performance of Li/LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cells at 55 °C: (a) discharge capacity retention, (b) coulombic efficiency, charge/discharge profiles of the cell (c) without FEC and (d) with FEC.

## Conclusion

In this work, FEC was introduced to the electrolyte for improving the electrochemical performance of the high voltage  $LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O_4$  cathode. Incorporation of 2% FEC improved the discharge capacity retention of  $Li/LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O_4$  cell form 82.7% to 92.3% after 200 cycles at room temperature, and from 72.7% to 92.8% after 100 cycles at 55 °C. The EIS and chronoamperometry results revealed that FEC alleviates the electrolyte decomposition under high voltage and temperature conditions and forms a less resistive film on the cathode surface.

#### Acknowledgement

This work was financially supported by Scientific and Technological Research and Development Foundation of Shenzhen City (JCYJ20140418193546111), 973 National Defense Project (613142020201) and Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Functional Polymer Foundation (FP20140008).

### References

[1] J.B. Goodenough, Acc. Chem. Res. 46 (2013) 1053-1061.

[2] A.W. Bhutto, A.A. Bazmi, G. Zahedi, J.J. Klemeš, Journal of Cleaner Production 71 (2014) 168-180.

[3] S. Tan, Y.J. Ji, Z.R. Zhang, Y. Yang, ChemPhysChem 15 (2014) 1956-1969.

[4] N.-S. Choi, J.-G. Han, S.-Y. Ha, I. Park, C.-K. Back, RSC Adv. 5 (2015) 2732-2748.

[5] L. Liao, P. Zuo, Y. Ma, Y. An, G. Yin, Y. Gao, Electrochim. Acta 74 (2012) 260-266.

[6] I.A. Profatilova, C. Stock, A. Schmitz, S. Passerini, M. Winter, J. Power Sources 222 (2013) 140-149.

[7] M.-H. Ryou, G.-B. Han, Y.M. Lee, J.-N. Lee, D.J. Lee, Y.O. Yoon, J.-K. Park, Electrochim. Acta 55 (2010) 2073-2077.

[8] Y. Park, S.H. Shin, H. Hwang, S.M. Lee, S.P. Kim, H.C. Choi, Y.M. Jung, J. Mol. Struct. 1069 (2014) 157-163.

[9] Y. Li, F. Lian, L. Ma, C. Liu, L. Yang, X. Sun, K. Chou, Electrochim. Acta 168 (2015) 261-270.