# Identity-based Ring Signature Scheme Based on Cubic Residues 

Xuedong Dong ${ }^{1, a^{*}}$, Xinxin Liu ${ }^{2, b}$<br>${ }^{1}$ College of Information Engineering, Dalian University, Dalian 116622, P.R.China<br>${ }^{\text {a }}$ email: dongxuedong@sina.com, bemail:2368082329@qq.com

Keywords: Identity-based Signature(IBS), ring signature, cubic residues, integer factorization, random oracle model.


#### Abstract

In order to improve the efficiency of ring-based signature scheme, a new ring-based signature scheme based on cubic residues is proposed. The scheme does not need any bilinear pairing computation which is known to be difficult to computation. The proposed scheme is proved to meet the signer unconditional anonymity. The scheme is also secure against existing forgery on the adaptively chosen message and identity attack under assumption of the hardness of integer factorization.


## Introduction

A ring signature can be regarded as a simplified group signature with no manager, no group setup procedure, and no revocation mechanism against signer's anonymity. In a ring signature scheme, the information of all possible signers serves as a part of the ring signature for the signed message. A valid ring signature will convince the verifier that the signature is generated from one of the ring members, without revealing any information about which ring member is the actual signer. Rivest et al. [1] firstly proposed the concept of ring signature. Then many identity-based ring signature schemes from bilinear pairings were proposed [2,3]. For all these schemes, the performance heavily depends on the cost of computing pairing operations. In [4], it was proposed that an identity-based ring signature scheme based on quadratic residues. The proposed is more efficient than those which are constructed from bilinear pairings. Recently, Guo et al. [5] proposed a identity-based signature scheme based on cubic residues from Eisenstein integer ring. In this paper we propose a new identity-based signature scheme based on cubic residue from integer ring $Z$. If one selects proper parameters, the computational efficiency of constructing a cubic residue is better than constructing a quadratic residue. The scheme is secure against existing forgery on the adaptively chosen message and identity attack under assumption of the hardness of integer factorization. The proposed scheme is proved to meet the signer unconditional anonymity. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a brief review of Xiong et al.'s scheme. In Section 3, a identity-based signature scheme based on cubic residues is proposed. Section 4 gives the security analysis for the proposed scheme.

## Brief Review of Xiong et al.'s Scheme

Xiong et al.'s scheme is composed of 5 algorithms, called Setup, PubKeyGen, SeckeyExt, Sign and Verify.
Setup: The algorithm takes in security parameters ( $k, l$ ). Private key generator(PKG) generates two primes $p$ and $q$ and compute $N=p q$, satisfying $p q<2^{k}$. Choose a random integer $a$ such that Jacobi symbol $\left(\frac{a}{N}\right)=-1$. Compute $d=(N-p-q+5) / 8$ and choose two secure hash functions $H_{1}:\{0,1\}^{\mathfrak{a}} \rightarrow Z_{N}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $H_{2}:\{0,1\}^{\mathfrak{a}} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{l}$. The system public parameters are params $\left\{N, a, H_{1}, H_{2}, l\right\}$ and master secret key is $\{p, q, d\}$.
PubKeyGen: Given $I D_{U}$, PKG computes public key $P K_{I D_{U}}$ as well as two tags $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ as follows.

Compute $c_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0, & \text { if }\left(\frac{H_{1}\left(I D_{U}\right)}{N}\right)=1 \\ 1, & \text { if }\left(\frac{H_{1}\left(I D_{U}\right)}{N}\right)=-1\end{array}, h=a^{c_{1}} H_{1}\left(I D_{U}\right), c_{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0, & \left.\text { if }\left(\frac{h}{p}\right)=\frac{h}{q}\right)=1 \\ 1, & \left.\text { if }\left(\frac{h}{p}\right)=\frac{h}{q}\right)=-1\end{array}\right.\right.$.
A user $U$ with identity $I D_{U}$ has the public key $P K_{I D_{U}}=(-1)^{c_{2}} a^{c_{1}} H_{1}\left(I D_{U}\right)(\bmod N)$.
SeckeyExt: Given $P K_{I D_{U}}$, , PKG computes the corresponding private key $S K_{I D_{U}} \equiv\left(P K_{I D_{U}}\right)^{d^{l}}(\bmod N)$. Sign:Let $L=\left\{I D_{1}, \mathrm{~L}, I D_{n}\right\}$ be the set of identities of all users and $m \in\{0,1\}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ the message to be signed. The user with identity $I D_{s}$ carries out the following steps to give an identity-based ring signature on behalf of the group $L$. For $I D_{i}$, execute PubKeyGen to get $\left\{P K_{I D_{i}}, c_{i 1}, c_{i 2}\right\}$. Choose random numbers $0<r_{i}<N$ and compute $R_{i} \equiv r_{i}^{2^{l}} \bmod N, h_{i}=H_{2}\left(L\|m\| R_{i}\right)$ for $i \in\{1, \mathrm{~L}, n\}-\{s\}$. Choose random numbers $0<r_{s}<N$ and compute $R_{s}^{\mathfrak{a}} \equiv r_{s}^{2^{2}} \bmod N, h_{s}^{\mathfrak{a}}=H_{2}\left(L\|m\| R_{s}^{\mathfrak{a}}\right)$ and $R_{s} \equiv P K_{I D_{s}}^{h_{s}^{i}} \prod_{i \neq s}\left(R_{i} P K_{I D_{i}}^{h_{i}}\right)^{-1} \bmod N$. Compute $h_{s}=H_{2}\left(L\|m\| R_{s}\right) \quad$ and $V=\left(S K_{I D_{s}}\right)^{h_{s}+h_{s}^{h}} \bmod N$. The returned ring signature is $\sigma=\left\{L, m, V, \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}\right\}$.
Verify: A verifier can check the validity of the signature pair $\sigma=\left\{L, m, V, \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}\right\}$ as follows.
For $I D_{i}$, execute PubKeyGen to get $\left\{P K_{I D_{i}}, c_{i 1}, c_{i 2}\right\}$. Compute $h_{i}=H_{2}\left(L\|m\| R_{i}\right)$ for $i \in\{1, \mathrm{~L}, n\}$.
Finally, checks the equation $V^{2^{l}} \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(R_{i} P K_{I D_{i}}^{h_{i}}\right) \bmod N$. If the equality holds, output accept; otherwise, reject.

## Identity-based Ring Signature Scheme Based on Cubic Residues

Definition 1.If there exists an integer $x$ such that $x^{3} \equiv a(\bmod p)$, where $a \in Z$ and $(a, p)=1$, then $a$ is called a 3th residue modulo $p$.
Lemma 1. [6] Suppose that $3 \mid(p-1)$. Then $a$ is a 3th residue modulo $p$ if and only if $a^{(p-1) / 3} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
Lemma 2. [6] Let $p \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ and $q \equiv 4(\bmod 9)$ or $q \equiv 7(\bmod 9)$ be primes, $N=p q$. Then $a$ is a cubic residue modulo $N=p q$ if and only if $a$ is a cubic residue modulo $q$.

When we construct a quadratic residue $y$ modulo $N=p q, y$ should be a quadratic residue both modulo $p$ and modulo $q$. However, if we choose proper $p$ and $q$, it is easier to construct a cubic residue modulo $N$ than construct a quadratic residue modulo $N$ by Lemma 2.The following theorem gives a novel method to compute a cubic root of a cubic residue. Without knowing the factorization of modulus $N$ one can not get the cubic root of a cubic residue.
Theorem 1.[7] Let $p \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ and $q \equiv 4(\bmod 9)$ or $q \equiv 7(\bmod 9)$ be primes, $N=p q$ and $\delta$ a cubic residue modulo $N$. Then $\delta^{3 d} \equiv \delta(\bmod N)$, where $d=[2(p-1)(q-1)+3] / 9 \quad$ if $q \equiv 4(\bmod 9)$ and $d=[(p-1)(q-1)+3] / 9$ if $q \equiv 7(\bmod 9)$. A $3^{l}$ th root of $\delta$ could be efficiently computed as $\tau=\delta^{d^{l}}(\bmod N)$.
We now propose a certificate-based signature scheme based on cubic residues. The scheme is composed of 5 algorithms, called Setup, PubKeyGen, SeckeyExt,Sign and Verify.

Setup: The algorithm takes in security parameters $(k, l)$. Private key generator(PKG) generates two primes $p$ and $q$ such that $p \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ and $q \equiv 4(\bmod 9) \quad$ or $q \equiv 7(\bmod 9)$, satisfying $p q<2^{k}$, then compute $N=p q$. Choose secure hash functions $H_{1}:\{0,1\}^{\mathfrak{a}} \rightarrow Z_{C A}^{\hat{a}}, H_{2}:\{0,1\}^{\mathfrak{a}} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{t}$, and a random integer $a$ such that $a^{(q-1) / 3} \equiv 1(\bmod q)$. Let $\beta=(q-1) / 3$, and $\xi=a^{\beta}(\bmod q)$. The system public parameters are params $\left\{N, a, H_{1}\right\}$ and master secret key is $\{p, q\}$.
PubKeyGen: Given public parameters $\left\{N, a, H_{1}\right\}$ and $I D_{U}$ PKG computes the public key and atag $c$ as follows:
Compute $h_{1}=H_{1}\left(I D_{U}\right), \omega=h_{1}^{\beta}(\bmod q)$ and $c=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0, & \omega=1 \\ 2, & \omega=\xi \\ 1, & \omega=\xi^{2}\end{array}\right.$. A user $U$ with identity $I D_{U}$ has the public key $P K_{I D_{U}}=a^{c} h_{1}(\bmod N)$. Then compute Cert $_{I D} \equiv V^{d^{l}} \bmod N$, where $d$ as in Theorem 1.Send $\left\{\operatorname{Cert}_{I D}, c\right\}$ to the user with the identity $I D$.
Remark 1. $P K_{I D_{U}}$ is a cubic residue modulo $N$ [7].
SeckeyExt: Given $P K_{I D_{U}}$ PKG computes the corresponding private key $S K_{I D_{U}} \equiv P K_{I D_{U}}^{d^{l}} \bmod N$.PKG secretly send $\left\{S K_{I D_{U}}, c\right\}$ to the user with the identity $I D_{U}$.
Sign: Let $L=\left\{I D_{1}, \mathrm{~L}, I D_{n}\right\}$ be the set of identities of all users and $m \in\{0,1\}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ the message to be signed. The user with identity $I D_{s}$ gives an identity-based ring signature on behalf of the group $L$. For $I D_{i}$, execute PubKeyGen to get $\left\{P K_{I D_{i}}, c_{i}\right\}$. Choose random numbers $0<r_{i}<N$ and compute $R_{i} \equiv r_{i}^{3^{\prime}} \bmod N, h_{i}=H_{2}\left(L\|m\| R_{i}\right)$ for $i \in\{1, \mathrm{~L}, n\}-\{s\}$. Choose random numbers $0<r_{s}<N$ and compute $\quad R_{s}^{\mathfrak{a}} \equiv r_{s}^{3^{l}} \bmod N, h_{s}^{\mathfrak{a}}=H_{2}\left(L\|m\| R_{s}^{\mathfrak{a}}\right) \quad$ and $\quad R_{s} \equiv P K_{I D_{s}}^{h_{i \neq s}^{\mathfrak{h}}} \prod_{i \neq s}\left(R_{i} P K_{I D_{i}}^{h_{i}}\right)^{-1} \bmod N$. Compute $h_{s}=H_{2}\left(L\|m\| R_{s}\right)$ and $V=\left(S K_{I_{s}}\right)^{h_{s}+h_{s}^{s}} \bmod N$. The returned ring signature is $\sigma=\left\{L, m, V, \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}\right\}$. Verify:A verifier can check the validity of the signature pair $\sigma=\left\{L, m, V, \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}\right\}$ as follows.
For $I D_{i}$, execute PubKeyGen to get $\left\{P K_{I D_{i}}, c_{i}\right\}$. Compute $h_{i}=H_{2}\left(L\|m\| R_{i}\right)$ for $i \in\{1, \mathrm{~L}, n\}$. Finally, checks the equation $V^{3^{\prime}} \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(R_{i} P K_{I D_{i}}^{h_{i}}\right) \bmod N$. If the equality holds, output accept; otherwise, reject.
Remark 2. Since $P K_{I D_{s}}^{\beta}=\left(a^{c} h_{1}\right)^{\beta} \equiv a^{c \beta} \omega \equiv \xi^{c} \omega \equiv 1(\bmod q)$, it is a cubic residue modulo $N$. By Theorem $1, P K_{I D_{s}}^{3^{3} d^{l}} \equiv P K_{I D_{s}} \bmod N$. So, $V^{3^{l}} \equiv\left(S K_{I D_{s}}\right)^{3^{t} h_{s}+3^{l} h_{s}^{4}} \equiv P K_{I D_{s}}^{d^{l} 3^{t}\left(h_{s}+h_{s}^{h_{s}}\right)} \equiv P K_{I D_{s}}^{h_{s}+h_{s}^{h_{s}}} \equiv P K_{I D_{s}}^{h_{s}} P K_{I D_{s}}^{h_{s}^{4}} \equiv$ $P K_{I D_{s}}^{h_{s}} R_{s} \prod_{i \neq s}\left(R_{i} P K_{I D_{i}}^{h_{i}}\right) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(R_{i} P K_{I D_{i}}^{h_{i}}\right) \bmod N$. Thus, $V^{3^{i}} \equiv \bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left(R_{i} P K_{I D_{i}}^{h_{i}}\right) \bmod N \quad$ if and only if the signature is valid.

## Security analysis

We now consider three security requirements for the proposed scheme: key secrecy, unforgeability and signer anonymity. Given all public information, deducing signer $U^{\prime} s$ private key $S K_{I D_{U}} \equiv P K_{I D_{U}}^{d^{t}} \bmod N$ is computationally infeasible under the intractability of the hardness of integer factorization. Given a message $m$, a set $L=\left\{I D_{1}, L, I D_{n}\right\}$ of identities of ring members, and all public
information, any $U_{k} \notin L \quad$ cannot compute a valid ring signature. An adversary $U_{k} \notin L$ may try to compute a valid ring signature for message $m$ via two ways. Firstly, he/she tries to compute $V$ such that $V^{3^{i}} \equiv \bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left(R_{i} P K_{I D_{i}}^{h_{i}}\right) \bmod N$. This is a discrete logarithm problem which is considered to be difficult. Secondly, he/she tries to compute $h_{s}$ such that $V^{3^{l}} R_{s}^{-1} \bigcup_{i \neq s}\left(R_{i} P K_{I D_{i}}^{h_{i}}\right)^{-1} \equiv P K_{I D_{s}}^{h_{s}} \bmod N$, which is again a discrete logarithm problem. Given a message $m$ and the ring signature is $\sigma=\left\{L, m, V, \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}\right\}$, no one finds out who is the actual signer. In fact, $\bigcup_{i \neq s} R_{i}$ and $h_{s}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ are chosen uniformly at random, so $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}$ are uniformly distributed.It remains to consider whether $V=\left(S K_{I D_{s}}\right)^{h_{s}+h_{s}^{b}} \bmod N$ leaks the information about the actual signer. $I D_{s}$ is related to $\left(P K_{I D_{s}}\right)^{h_{s}^{\text {i }}} \bmod N=\left(S K_{I D_{s}}\right)^{3^{3} h_{s}^{\frac{h}{s}}} \bmod N$. Any one can compute the $R_{s} \prod_{i \neq s}\left(R_{i} P K_{I D_{i}}^{h_{i}}\right) \equiv P K_{I D_{s}}^{h_{s}^{s_{s}}} \bmod N$. One may compute $\quad R_{j} \prod_{i \neq j}\left(R_{i} P K_{I D_{i}}^{h_{j}}\right) \equiv V^{3^{\prime}}\left(P K_{I D_{j}}^{h_{j}}\right)^{-1} \bmod N$ to guess that $I D_{j}$ is the actual signer. However,
$R_{j} \prod_{i \neq j}\left(R_{i} P K_{I D_{i}}^{h_{i}}\right) \equiv R_{s} \prod_{i \neq s} R_{i} \prod_{i \neq j}\left(P K_{I D_{i}}^{h_{i}}\right) \equiv P K_{I D_{s}}^{h_{s}^{s_{s}}} \prod_{i \neq s}\left(R_{i} P K_{I D_{i}}^{h_{i}}\right)^{-1} \prod_{i \neq s} R_{i} \prod_{i \neq j}\left(P K_{I D_{i}}^{h_{i}}\right) \equiv P K_{I D_{s}}^{h_{s}^{i}} P K_{I D_{s}}^{h_{s}} P K_{I D_{j}}^{h_{j}^{-1}}$ $\equiv\left(S K_{I D_{s}}^{3^{l}}\right)^{h_{s}^{\beta_{s}}+h_{s}} P K_{I D_{j}}^{h_{i}^{-1}} \equiv V^{3^{l}}\left(P K_{I D_{j}}^{h_{j}}\right)^{-1} \bmod N$ for all $j \in\{1,2, \mathrm{~L}, n\}$. So, for a message $m$ and the ring signature $\sigma=\left\{L, m, V, \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}\right\}, \quad V$ is independent and uniformly distributed. Thus, no adversary can guess the actual signer with the probability greater than $1 / n$. Similarly as in [4,5], we can prove that the proposed scheme is also secure against existing forgery on the adaptively chosen message and identity attack under assumption of the hardness of integer factorization.
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