# Evaluation Index System of Level of Low-Carbon City : A Case study in Guiyang

Jun Liu<sup>a\*</sup>, Yuanyuan Liu<sup>b</sup>, Yao Wang<sup>c</sup>

Guizhou University of Finance and Economics, Guiyang 550025

<sup>a</sup>email: liujunsucceed@163.com, <sup>b</sup>email: 5267865@qq.com, <sup>c</sup>email: 479095320@qq.com

Key words: Low-carbon City; Evaluation Index System; DPSIR Mode

**Abstract:** This article embarks from the challenges faced by construction of low-carbon city in Guiyang, using DPSIR model, from five aspects, including driving, pressure, status, impact and response, build a set of evaluation index system composed of 23 indicators. With this index system, the typical low-carbon pilot cities of Guiyang was estimated. Results show that the economic level of Guiyang city in 2010-2014 is on the rise. In the future, Guiyang will strengthen "carbon neutral", overall low-carbon urban planning, increase broadcast low-carbon life concept, promote low-carbon city economy.

#### 1 Introduction

The global climate is undergoing a significant change with global warming. The cause of this change is greenhouse gas emissions by carbon dioxide. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) studied found carbon dioxide emissions mainly come from urban areas which is about 80% of the total global carbon emissions<sup>[1]</sup>. Therefore, the construction of "low-carbon city" has important significance to curb global warming.

The emissions of city's greenhouse gas in our country is the first in the world <sup>[2]</sup>. City, as the main battlefield of energy saving and emission reduction, has attracted much attention. But how to scientifically and reasonably evaluate construction effect has not been solved. Therefore, developed regions and Guiyang adopt the same low-carbon urban economic evaluation criteria are not reasonable. Guiyang needs to build a set of low-carbon urban economic evaluation index system which is suitable for their own standards.

#### 2 Literature Review

There are two main ways to evaluate economic level of low-carbon cities. One is using a single indicator to clean energy accounted for primary energy or carbon emissions intensity. Shwayri s. t. (2013) believed that the carbon emissions per person should be used to assess the level of low-carbon cities, not total carbon emissions. Because human activities are the source of greenhouse effect intensifying. Carbon emissions is huge in some big cities of Korea, after divided by the number of urban population's, per capita carbon emissions become very small, which complies with the standard of low-carbon green city<sup>[3]</sup>. Edwin h. w. (2013), according to the data that British government announced in 66 cities (including 50 in England, 5 in Welsh, 6 in Scotland, 5 in Northern Ireland), use the proportion of clean energy accounted for primary energy to evaluate economic level of low-carbon cities in the 66 cities. The greater proportion shows the higher low-carbon city level<sup>[4]</sup>. Dai Yixin (2009), Tsinghua University, believed that the development level of low-carbon cities can be seen from the intensity of carbon emissions <sup>[5]</sup>.

With the challenges of low-carbon city economy in Guiyang, this paper set up a multi dimension evaluation index system of low-carbon economy level by DPSIR model, which is used to evaluate economic level of low-carbon cities in Guiyang.

## 3 Evaluation Index System of Low-carbon Urban Economy in Guiyang

Based on the idea of Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), this section describes the overall design idea of evaluation index system of low-carbon urban economy in Guiyang, and puts forward the

principle of index selection.

## 3.1 Overall Design Ideas of Index System

Low-carbon urban economy has encountered many challenges in Guiyang. During city high-speed growth, the "driving force" of social production and consumption caused a huge "pressure" to city low carbonization, change the "state" of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and energy consumption, impact urban air quality and climate temperature. So government agencies such as decision-making departments and the public have to take a series of measures to ensure low-carbon development. The DPSIR model can systematically describe the above process, provide a better idea for the design of low-carbon city economic evaluation index system in Guiyang.

# 3.2 Determination of Index System

According to design idea of index system and the principle of index selection, we determined the low-carbon city economic level evaluation index system in Guiyang as shown in Table 1, this index system contains 23 concrete indexes.

Table 1 Evaluation Index System of Economic Level in Guiyang Low-carbon City

Target Layer	Criterion Layer	Factor Layer	Index layer	Index Unit		
Low carbon Urban Econo mic Evalua tion index		Production	Economic growth rate (D <sub>1</sub> )	%		
		Driving	Per capita GDP (D <sub>2</sub> )	Yuan / person		
	Driving		Urbanization rate (D <sub>3</sub> )	%		
		Consumption driving	Residents per capita consumption expenditure (D <sub>4</sub> )	Yuan / person		
		unving	Residents Per capita electricity consumption (D <sub>5</sub> )	KWh / person		
		Energy	Energy intensity (P <sub>1</sub> )	Tons of standard coal / million		
	Pressure	consumption pressure	Unit energy consumption of industrial production $(P_2)$	Tons of standard coal / million		
			Per capita carbon emissions (P <sub>3</sub> )	Tons / person		
		Greenhouse gas	Per land carbon emissions P <sub>4</sub> )	Tons / km <sup>2</sup>		
		emissions	Per capita emissions of sulfur dioxide (P <sub>5</sub> )	Tons / person		
			Per land emissions of sulfur dioxide (P <sub>6</sub> )	Tons / km <sup>2</sup>		
	State	Ecological state	Per capita green area (S <sub>1</sub> )	Square meter / person		
			Forest coverage (S <sub>2</sub> )	%		
		Environmental	Industrial waste gas treatment rate (S <sub>3</sub> )	%		
		state	Industrial wastewater discharge compliance rate $(S_4)$	%		
		A ·	Days of air quality not up to standard (I <sub>1</sub> )	day		
	influence	Air	Annual average air pollution index $(I_2)$	Dimensionless		
	inituence	Climate	Annual average temperature change rate (I <sub>3</sub> )	%		
		temperature	Index of Climate Change (I <sub>4</sub> )	Dimensionless		
		C	Environmental protection investment accounted for fiscal expenditure $(R_1)$	%		
	Response	Government	monitoring coverage of Enterprise energy saving and emission reduction $(R_2)$	%		
		masses	share ratio of household using solar, geothermal or biogas energy $(R_3)$	%		
			use rate of household energy saving appliances (R <sub>4</sub> )	%		

## 4 Application of Low-carbon Urban Economic Evaluation Index System in Guiyang

## 4.1 Data Collection and Standardization

The original data come from "Guiyang Statistical Yearbook," "Guizhou Statistical Yearbook", "China urban statistical yearbook", "China Meteorological Statistical Yearbook," and "China

Environmental Statistics Yearbook".

Because different parameters of original data are different, in order to avoid error of calculation results is too large, it should be standardized processing of raw data. We consider all indicators in Table 1 are positive, use mean value method to standardize. Firstly, the average value of each index sequence was calculated. Original data in the sequence were divided by average value, obtained 115 standardized data which were also positive, see table 2.

Table 2 Standard Data of Guiyang City 2010-2014 Year

year	$\mathbf{D}_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	$D_5$	P <sub>1</sub>	$P_2$	P <sub>3</sub>	$P_4$	P <sub>5</sub>	P <sub>6</sub>	$S_1$	$S_2$	$S_3$	$S_4$	$I_1$	$I_2$	$I_3$	$I_4$	$R_1$	$R_2$	$R_3$	R <sub>4</sub>
2010	1.246	0.762	0.805	0.777	0.698	0.894	0.814	0.904	0.760	0.819	0.916	0.742	0.982	0.748	0.685	1.185	1.224	0.829	1.326	0.854	0.565	0.888	0.665
2011	0.911	0.981	0.882	0.818	0.729	1.123	0.995	0.941	0.868	0.841	0.944	0.767	0.990	0.794	0.798	1.094	1.011	0.959	1.145	0.907	0.784	0.931	0.726
2012	0.892	1.055	0.934	1.042	0.804	1.197	1.267	1.038	0.923	0.985	0.927	0.895	0.995	0.930	0.847	1.057	0.962	1.359	1.028	0.947	0.862	1.079	0.989
2013	1.017	1.231	1.007	1.122	1.175	0.944	0.941	1.078	0.977	1.062	1.083	1.274	1.003	1.066	1.016	0.929	0.913	0.863	0.840	1.053	1.264	1.093	1.159
2014	1.193	1.290	1.109	1.209	1.292	0.744	0.830	0.904	1.286	1.197	1.111	1.314	1.009	1.212	1.493	0.891	0.877	0.782	0.827	1.209	1.395	1.251	1.263

# 4.2 Calculation Formula of Index Weight

Entropy method is a method that objectively determine the weights based index value, especially for the Multiple Indicator Index system synthesized by multiple indicator<sup>[6]</sup>. In this paper, we calculate the index weight using entropy method.

 $f_j$ ,  $p_j$ ,  $u_j$  respectively is weight value, entropy, index value of j index. K is index number. M is different years (set 2010 for the first years, namely m=1, by analogy in the future), then,

$$f_{j} = \frac{1 - p_{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} (1 - p_{j})}$$
 (1)

$$p_{j} = -k \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[ \left( u_{ij} / \sum_{i=1}^{m} u_{ij} \right) \cdot \ln \left( u_{ij} / \sum_{i=1}^{m} u_{ij} \right) \right]$$
 (2)

Equation (1) and (2) are index weight calculation formula.

#### **4.3 Evaluation Results**

The data in table 2 are substituted into weighted average formula (equation (3)), when g = 1 and h=23,we can obtain evaluation index in 2010-2014 years, as shown in Table 3.

Assessment Results = 
$$\sum_{i=g}^{h} (f_{ij} \cdot u_{ij})$$
  $i=1,2,3,4,5$  (3)

When g=1 and h=5, g=6 and h=11, g=12 and h=15, g=16 and h=19, g=20 and h=23, the values of driving, pressure, state, influence and response are calculated respectively, See Table 3.

Year Evaluation driving pressure influence state response index 2010 0.797 0.424 0.308 0.533 0.361 0.509 2011 0.746 0.479 0.379 0.494 0.431 0.583 2012 0.988 0.536 0.395 0.625 0.399 0.621 2013 1.210 0.551 0.421 0.762 0.326 0.644 2014 1.365 0.588 0.466 0.898 0.311 0.656

Table 3 Evaluation Results of 2010-2014

#### 5 Research Conclusion and Revelation

The values of driving, pressure, state, influence, response and evaluation index of 2010-2014 years show that low-carbon city economy in Guiyang has the following characteristics:

(1) Low-carbon urban economic level has a rising trend in general. Evaluation index respectively is 0.797, 0.746, 1.21, 0.988, 1.365 in 2010-2014 years. The value is basically in constant increase. The higher value, the greater low-carbon city economic level. In recent years, Guiyang has been in the forefront of social economic development, and industrial and energy structure optimization is also fruitful. Its urban low-carbon construction is obvious, which has become a success stories of low-carbon city economic.

- (2) Social and economic driving force has been increasing year by year. Driving force from 0.424 in 2010 year rised to 0.588 in 2014 year. The social production and consumption are driving the rapid development of city. The data of "China Statistical Yearbook in 2015 year " and "statistical yearbook of Guizhou 2015" show that, from 2010 to 2014, Guiyang annual GDP growth rate ranked second in the country, and "global urban competitiveness report (2014-2015)" released by the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences, also shows upgrade speed of regional comprehensive competitiveness is the fourth. "Guiyang speed" is conspicuous, effectively pulling the economy of low-carbon city.
- (3) The pressure of urban low-carbonization is increasing. Pressure increased from 0.308 in 2010 year to 0.466 in 2014 year, suggesting that the growing pressure caused by greenhouse gas emissions of economic growth, urban population increase, consumption of coal and electric are prominent. Per capita carbon emissions is 7.52 tons in 2010 year, that number in 2012 year and 2014 year has respectively increase to 10.27 and 14.56 tons, brought huge pressure on the path of low-carbon for Guiyang.
- (4) Urban ecology and environment tend to good, which laid a solid foundation to enhance the level of low-carbon urban economy. State numeric first decreased and then increased, although has been reduced from 2010 to 2012, but since then a substantial increase, rose to 0.898 from 0.494, which indicates that the urban ecological environment is getting better.
- (5)Negative impact of air quality and climate temperature tends to decrease. Although the negative impact in 2010-2011 years has increased, but, after 2011, the impact gradually reduced from 0.431 to 0.311, which shows the influence of human activities on "human and natural dynamic balance mechanism" is becoming smaller and smaller, which is conducive to promoting low-carbon city economy.
- (6) The response of government departments and the masses to low-carbon cities is becoming stronger and stronger. Response increased from 0.509 in 2010 year to 0.656 in 2014 year. Government, through "long-term development planning in the Guiyang low-carbon city", "implementation of low-carbon city pilot program", "Guiyang energy saving and emission reduction fiscal policy to promote comprehensive demonstration program" and other policies, increase financial support. The enterprise energy saving and emission monitoring is widely implemented; on the other hand, people positive response and consciously practice low-carbon life.

At present, Guiyang has the characteristics of high growth (high driving force). The pressures of urban population, resources and environmental will become more and more. Therefore we must consider that in the form of "carbon neutral" to ensure low-carbon city economy. Government can focus on supporting a number of carbon emissions trading market in Guiyang, in these markets, vigorously promote green finance, green credit and policy tilt, encourage enterprises to actively participate in carbon emissions offset mechanism. Government should also develop measures to protect forests, grasslands, wetlands and other carbon sinks which can fully play the role of carbon absorption.

## Acknowledgements

Research on evaluation of tobacco farmers classification and differential management in southwestern Guizhou (2011-15); Study on the mechanism of foreign assets of tobacco farmers cooperatives inclusive (2015-9); Guizhou Province Science and Technology Fund project (Qiankehe J [2015] No. 2028); Science and technology project of China National Tobacco Corp Guizhou Branch (201224); National social science fund project (14CJL032)

### Reference

[1] Pachauri R. K., Reisinger A. Climate change 2007: synthesis report[R]. Geneva, Switzerland: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2007:1-5.

- [2] World Resources Institute. Climate analysis indicators tool[R]. New York , U. S. A. : WRI,2010:3-4.
- [3] Shwayri S. T. A model Korean ubiquitous eco-city? the politics of making songdo[J]. Journal of Urban Technology, 2013,20(1): 39-55.
- [4] Edwin H. W., Lennon H. T., Esther H. K. Y. Current research on low carbon cities and institutional reponses[J]. Habitat International, 2013, 37(1): 1-3.
- [5] Dai Yixin. Study on the concept evolution and measurement of low-carbon city development [J]. Modern urban research, 2009, (11): 7-12.
- [6] Rory S., Andy G., Webber P. Funding low carbon cities: local perspectives on opportunities and risks[J]. Climate Policy, 2013,13(4): 514-529.