A CALPHAD Helmholtz Energy Approach to Assess the Thermodynamic and Thermophysical Properties of Fcc Ag

Xin GUO^{1,a,*},Zhi-Feng XU^{1,b} and Xiao-Gang LU^{1,c}

¹School of Materials Science and Engineering, Shanghai University, Shanghai

^aguoxin_1994@163.com,^b455099188@qq.com,^cxglu@shu.edu.cn

*Corresponding author

Keywords: Fcc Ag; CALPHAD; Helmholtz energy; Debye-Grüneisen model; Thermodynamic; Thermophysical properties

Abstract. In this paper, the CALPHAD Helmholtz energy approach, based on the Debye-Grüneisen model, has been used to calculate the thermodynamic and thermophysical properties of fcc Ag (Silver). Through this approach, thermodynamic properties, e.g. heat capacity and Gibbs energy, and thermophysical properties, e.g. volume, thermal expansion, bulk modulus are connected each other. The values of these properties can be simultaneously reproduced by several model parameters of the approach. Besides, the parameters have more physical significance, which ensures a high accuracy of the thermodynamic and thermophysical properties not only at normal pressure and temperature but also at extremely high pressures and low temperatures.

Introduction

CALPHAD[1-3]has nowadays become a mature and powerful technique for the study of thermodynamic properties of complex systems. The traditionalCALPHADGibbs energy approach requires many fitting parameters with polynomials. Therefore, the obtained parameters may have no physical and the internal relations among these properties are completely lost. As a consequence, abnormal behavior such as negative entropy may appear in temperature or pressure extrapolation.

In this work, we use the CALPHAD Helmholtz energy approach, which is mainly composed of Morse function, Debye-Grüneisen model and free electron Fermi gas model. And its applicability for fcc Ag is based on a previous work of Lu[4].By using this approach, both thermodynamic properties and thermophysical propertiescan be accurately reproduced in a wide range of temperature (from 0 K to melting point) and pressure (from ambient pressure to hundreds of GPa).

Method

For a system, with a constant temperature and volume, the Helmholtz energy F can be approximated comprises the total energy from ab initio electronic total energy calculations at T=0K,E_{tot}, the free energy of the vibrating lattice F_D and the contribution due to the thermal excitations of electrons, F_{el} :

$$F(T, V) = E_{tot}(V) + F_D(T, V) + F_{el}(T, V)$$

= $E_{tot}(V) + E_D(T, V) - TS_D(T, V) + E_{el}(T, V) - TS_{el}(T, V)$ (1)

Where T is temperature and V is volume. $E_D and S_D$ are the vibrational energy and entropy, respectively. $E_{el} and S_{el}$ are the counterparts due to electronic excitations.

The total energy can be calculated from ab initio. In this work, it is represented by a Morse function (for example, [5]):

$$E(x) = -E_{c} \left(2e^{-\phi(x-x_{0})} - e^{-2\phi(x-x_{0})} \right) + E_{ref}(2)$$

In the present work, we use the Debye-Grüneisen model[6] to describe the contribution of

vibrating lattices F_D.

$$E_{D}(T, V) = \frac{9}{8} N_{a} k_{B} \theta_{D} + 3 N_{a} k_{B} TD\left(\frac{\theta_{D}}{T}\right)$$

$$S_{D}(T, V) = 3 N_{a} k_{B} \left[\frac{4}{3} D\left(\frac{\theta_{D}}{T}\right) - \ln\left(1 - e^{-\theta_{D}/T}\right)\right]$$
(3)

There are three well-known approximate ways to account for the Grüneisenparameterγ, and they can be combined using the following expression[7-9]:

$$\gamma(\mathbf{V}) = \frac{1}{3}(\lambda - 1) - \frac{\mathbf{V}}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \left[\mathbf{P} \mathbf{V}^{(2/3)(\lambda+1)} \right] / \partial \mathbf{V}^2}{\partial \left[\mathbf{P} \mathbf{V}^{(2/3)(\lambda+1)} \right] / \partial \mathbf{V}} (5)$$

The Debye temperature θ_D can be described as follow:

$$\theta_{\rm D}(\mathbf{V}) = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}^{2/3} \left[-\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{V})}{\partial \mathbf{V}} - \frac{2(\lambda+1)}{3} \frac{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{V})}{\mathbf{V}} \right]^{1/2}$$
(6)

The thermal excitations of electrons are not negligible for many materials, especially at cryogenic temperatures and at high temperatures near melting points. The simplest way to model the electronic excitations is the free electron Fermi gas model, which treats the conduction electrons as a freely moving electron gas and applies Pauli exclusion principle and Fermi–Dirac distribution.

In the free electron Fermi gas model, the heat capacity of the electron gas can be described as follows in order to account for data both at low and high temperatures:

$$C_{\rm V}^{\rm el} = \gamma_{\rm el} \, \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{a} \cdot T^b \tag{7}$$

 $\gamma_{el} \text{is treated as a function of volume, but temperature-independent, i.e.}$

$$\gamma_{\rm el}(V) = \gamma_{\rm el}^0 \left(\frac{V}{V_0}\right)^{\alpha} \tag{8}$$

Where γ_{el}^0 is the Sommerfeld parameter at V₀, the equilibrium volume at 0 K and 1 bar. The parameter ' α ' is a constant. Both parameters can be evaluated from the measured heat capacity at cryogenic temperatures and 1 bar, and the parameter ' α ' is further adjustable to fit high temperature data.

Results and Discussions

With many experimental and theoretical data collected by literatures, we can undertake a comprehensive study. In the present work, the model parameters of fcc Ag are optimized and listed in Table 1. Comparisons between the present calculations and experimental data of all kinds of properties for fcc Ag are shown in Figs 1–7.

Heat Capacity at 1 Bar, Cp

Lots of experimental data for heat capacity of fcc Ag can be collected in the literature. Data from AIP[10], Chatenier and Nobel[11], Bronson et al.[12,13] and Meads et al.[14] are adopted for the present assessment. Fig.1 shows good agreement among various experimental data with the calculation result. In Figure 1, both heat capacity with electronic contribution and without electronic contribution of Ag have been calculated in the present work and plotted as solid and dotted lines respectively.

Parameter	Unit	For fcc Ag
x_0	m	4.064717×10^{-10}
E	J/mol	2.460677×10^{5}
φ	_	1.758539
E _{ref}	J/mol	3.33258×10^{5}
ν	_	0.37
λ	_	0
γ_{el}^0	$J/mol/K^2$	6.090×10^{-4}
α	—	0.2

Table 1: Model parameters that can be assessed or input and the values for fccAg.



Fig. 1Heat capacity at atmospheric pressure compared with the experimental data for fcc Ag.

Electronic Contribution to Heat Capacity

The total heat capacity can be approximately expressed as:

$$C_{\rm P} \cong C_{\rm v} = \gamma_{\rm el} \,\mathrm{T} + \mathrm{A}\mathrm{T}^3 \tag{9}$$

On both sides of Eq. (9) divided by T:

$$\frac{C_p}{T} \cong \frac{C_v}{T} = \gamma_{el} + AT^2.(10)$$

In order to identify the electronic contribution, we plot C_p/T as a function of T^2 and then fit a straight line on the graph, the intercept at $T^2 = 0$ provides the electronic coefficient of heat capacity γ_{el} at 0 K and constant A is given from the slope of the line.

The selected values for heat capacity at extremely low temperature between 0 K and 4 K come from Isaacs[15], Corak et al.[16], Green and Culbert[17]and Shinozakiet and Arrott[18] and the graph of C_p/T versus T^2 is plotted in Fig. 2.



Fig.2 Calculated heat capacity of fcc Ag at cryogenic temperatures.

Molar Volume and the Coefficient of Linear Thermal Expansion (CTE) at 1 Bar

Literature data of thermal expansion coefficients (CTE) used for the assessent in the present work come from two manuals which are TPRC[19]and AIP[10]. Besides, other experimental data from literature are only used for comparison. The temperature dependence of the present calculated CTE at 1 bar along with the experimental data is shown in Fig. 3. The calculated results are somewhat lower than the experimental data from 200 K to 500 K. However, the agreement is acceptable.

Fig. 4 demonstrated the molar volumeat different temperatures with a representative selection of experimental data for molar volume for comparison. Obviously the present calculation matches the experimental data perfectly.



Fig. 3. Calculated coefficient of linear thermal expansion (CTE) at atmospheric pressure compared with the experimental data for fcc Ag.



Fig. 4. Calculated molar volume of fcc Ag at atmospheric pressure compared with the experimental data.

Bulk Modulus at 1 Bar

Most experiment data[32-35]of bulk modulus in Fig. 5 obtained by measuring the adiabatic elastic constant $c_{44}, \frac{1}{2}(c_{11} - c_{12})$, and $\frac{1}{2}(c_{11} + c_{12} + 2c_{44})$. On the other hand, calculated results of bulk modulus by interatomic potential energy function and the Boltzmann distribution function can be gained in Mohazzabi[36] paper. The calculated result of the present work is plotted in Figure 5 along with the data from the literature. Our calculated result agrees well with most of these literature data and lower than Mohazzabi[36] and Biswas[35] data.



Fig. 5. Calculated adiabatic (B_S) and isothermal (B_T) bulk modulus at atmospheric pressure compared with the experimental data for fcc Ag.

Properties at High Pressures

Fig.6 demonstrates the pressure dependence of the molar volume of fcc Ag. The consistency between the present calculation and experimental data of Akahamaet al.[37]is quite excellent. Akahama et al. measured the atomic volume of Ag up to 155GPa. This was performed by powder x-ray diffraction experiments.



Fig. 6. Calculated molar volume of fcc Ag at 298 K and high pressures.

Conclusions

In summary, we have successfully assessed and calculated the thermodynamic and thermophysical properties of fcc Ag simultaneously through the present CALPHAD approach, which is superior to the traditional CALPHAD modeling. A set of model parameters with physical significance has been obtained through assessments. The thermodynamic and thermophysical properties were calculated by these parameters with high accuracy. The present approach is adequate to describe the properties not only at normal pressure and temperature but also at extremely high pressure and low temperatures with high accuracy and without any abnormal behavior.

Acknowledgement

The study is funded by the Chinese National Natural Science Foundation (Grant No.51271106).

References

[1] L. Kaufman, H. Bernstein, Computer Calculations of Phase Diagrams, Academic Press, New York, 1970.

[2] N. Saunders, A.P. Miodownik, CALPHAD (Calculation of Phase Diagrams): A Comprehensive Guide, Pergamon/Elsevier Science, New York, 1998.

[3] H.L. Lukas, S.G. Fries and B. Sundman, Computational Thermodynamics: The Calphad Method, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[4] X.G. Lu, Q. Chen, A CALPHAD Helmholtz energy approach to calculate thermodynamic and thermophysical properties of fcc Cu, Philos. Mag. 89 (2009) 2167-2194.

[5] V.L. Moruzzi, J.F. Janak and K. Schwarz, Calculated thermal properties of metals, Phys. Rev. B, 37 (1988) 790.

-field pote

[6] G. Grimvall, Thermophysical Properties of Materials, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1999.

[7] Y. Wang, R. Ahuja and B. Johansson, Mean theory of solids, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 96 (2004) 501-506.

[8] V.N. Zharkov, V.A. Kalinin, Equations of State for Solids at High Pressures and Temperatures, Consultants Bureau, New York, 1971.

[9] E. Wasserman, L. Stixrude and R.E. Cohen, Thermal properties of iron at high pressures and temperatures, Phys. Rev. B 53 (1996) 8296.

[10] D.E. Gray, American Institute of Physics Handbook, thirded., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1972.

[11] F.J. Du Chatenier, J. De Nobel, Heat capacities of pure copper and silver and of dilute alloys of Cu, Ag, Zn, Mg and Al with transition metals of the first row at low temperatures, Physica, 32(1966) 1097-1109.

[12] H.L. Bronson, E.W. Hewson and A.J.C. Wilson. The heat capacity of silver and nickel between , Camd 500% 14 (1936) 194-199.

[13] H.L. Bronson, A.J.C. Wilson, The heat capacities of silver, zinc, cadmium and lead from -80 °C to 120 °O? Can. J Res., 14 (1936) 181

[14] P.F. Meads, W.R. Forsythe, W.F. Giauque. The heat capacities and entropies of silver and lead from 15K to 300K, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 63 (1941) 1902-1905.

[15]L.L. Isaacs, Low temperature specific heat of gold, silver, and copper, J. Chem. Phys., 43 (1965) 307-308.

[16] W.S. Corak, M.P. Garfunkel, B.C. Satterthwaite, et al, Atomic heats of copper, silver, and gold from 1K to 5K, Phys. Rev. Lett.98 (1955) 1699.

[17] B.A. Green Jr, H.V. Culbert, Low-Temperature specific heats of Ag Sn alloys, Phys. Rev. A., 137 (1965) 1168.

[18] S.S. Shinozaki, A. Arrott, Electronic specific heat of dilute alloys: Fe (Ti), Fe (V), Fe (Cr), Fe (Mn), Fe (Co), Fe (Ni), Fe (Al), Fe (Si), Fe (Mo), Fe (W), and Ag (Au), Phys. Rev. A., 152 (1966) 611.

[19] Y.S. Touloukian, R.K. Kirby, R.E. Taylor R E, et al. Thermophysical properties of Matter-the TPRC data series. Volume 12. Thermal expansion metallic elements and alloys[R]. Thermophysical and Electronic Properites Information Alysis Center Lafayette In, 1975.

[20] N. Waterhouse, B. Yates, The interferometric measurement of the thermal expansion of silver and palladium at low temperatures, Cryogenics, 8(1968) 267-271.

[21] K.O. McLean, C.A. Swenson and C.R. Case, Thermal expansion of copper, silver, and gold below 30K, J. Low Temp. Phys. 7 (1972) 77-98.

[22] G.K. White, J.G. Collins, Thermal expansion of copper, silver, and gold at low temperatures, J. Low Temp. Phys., 7 (1972) 43-75.

[23] A.C. Bailey, N. Waterhouse and B. Yates, The thermal expansion of palladium-silver alloys at low temperatures, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys., 2 (1969) 769.

[24] TCFE version 7, Thermo-Calc Fe-Alloys Database, Thermo-Calc Software AB, Stockholm, 2014.

[25] E.A. Owen, E.L. Yates, IX. The thermal expansion of the crystal lattices of silver, platinum, and zinc, The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science, 17 (1934) 113-131.

[26] E.A. Owen, E.W. Roberts. XXIX. Factors affecting the limit of solubility of elements in copper and silver, The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science, 27 (1939) 294-327.

[27] E.A. Owen, G.I. Williams, A low-temperature X-ray camera, J. Sci. Instrum., 31 (1954) 49.

[28] W. Hume-Rothery, P.W. Reynolds. A high-temperature Debye-Scherrercamera, and its application to the study of the lattice spacing of silver, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences, 1938 25-34.

[29] J. Spreadborough, J.W. Christian. High-temperature X-ray diffractometer, J. Sci. Instrum., 36 (1959) 116.

[30]M.E. Straumanis, C.L. Woodward. Lattice parameters and thermal expansion coefficients of Al, Ag and Mo at low temperatures. Comparison with dilatometricdata, ActaCrystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. 27 (1971) 549-551.

[31] I.K. Suh, H. Ohta, Y. Waseda, High-temperature thermal expansion of six metallic elements measured by dilatation method and X-ray diffraction, J. Mater. Sci. Lett., 23 (1988) 757-760.

[32] W.B. Holzapfel, M. Hartwig and W. Sievers, Equations of state for Cu, Ag, and Au for wide ranges in temperature and pressure up to 500 GPa and above, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 30 (2001) 515-529.

[33] Y.A. Chang, L. Himmel. Temperature dependence of the elastic constants of Cu, Ag, and Au above room temperature, J. Appl. Phys., 37 (1966) 3567-3572.

[34] J.R. Neighbours, G.A. Alers. Elastic constants of silver and gold, Phys. Rev. Lett., 111 (1958) 707.

[35] S.N. Biswas, P. Van'tKlooster and N.J. Trappeniers, Effect of pressure on the elastic constants of noble metals from– 196 to+ 25°C and up to 2500 bar: II. Silver and gold, Physica B+ C, 103 (1981) 235-246.

[36] P. Mohazzabi, Temperature dependence of the elastic constants of copper, gold and silver, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 46 (1985) 147-150.

[37] Y. Akahama, H. Kawamura and A.K. Singh, A comparison of volume compressions of silver and gold up to 150 GPa, J. Appl. Phys., 95 (2004) 4767-4771.