

Research on the key technologies of corpus preprocessing in Mongolian-Chinese SMT

Li Jin-ting^{1,a}, Hou Hong-xu^{1,a}, Wu Jing^{1,a}, Wang Hong-bin^{1,a},

Fan Wen-ting^{1,a}

Department of computer, Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot, 010021

^a E-mail: cshhx@imu.edu.cn

Keywords: Mongolian-Chinese SMT; corpus preprocessing; Mongolian morphological analysis; Chinese word segmentation.

Abstract. The traditional preprocessing method in morphology analysis uses Mongolian suffix segmentation and stemming. But there exists many cases in Mongolian. If the case is not processed, the corpus will suffer from data sparse problem and lead to poor translation performance. Therefore, we summarize and research the existing corpus preprocessing method, and focus on the effect of case processing, in order to improving the performance of Mongolian-Chinese SMT by analyzing Mongolian morphological. Experiments show improvements of about 3.22 relative in the BLEU score of SMT over baseline system 1 by optimizing the preprocessing method.

Introduction

Corpus preprocessing is one of the key technologies of Mongolian-Chinese SMT. Mongolian is an adhesive language, whose formation and configuration is connected by root and stem of different endings to complete [1].

Mongolian word segmentation methods are mainly based on dictionary, rules and statistics. A language model based on statistical methods includes the Mongolian word segmentation method is based on the Skip-N language model [2] and hierarchical Mongolian statistical language model [3]. The method of stemming mainly includes automatic segmentation of root, stem and suffix of Mongolian [1], the Mongolian word segmentation based on statistical language model [4], the Mongolian word segmentation based on conditional random fields [5], Mongolian word segmentation based on dictionary, rules and statistics [6]. At present, we use the Mongolian word segmentation based on dictionary, rules and statistics. For many cases existed in Mongolian, which are separated by space in Moses. Therefore the case forms a single word, which causes data sparse problem. We mainly study the key technology of case processing in the Mongolian to alleviate data sparse problem of corpus effectively, which improves the performance of SMT.

At present, Chinese corpus preprocessing uses the ICTCLAS Chinese word segmentation system [7]. But word-based segmentation exists the following problems: the recognition performance of word-based segmentation is poor [8]; the method of word-based segmentation is easy to be ambiguous and interferes with SMT [9]; word-based segmentation can also result in word segmentation errors, which causes alignment errors in word alignment [10]; coarser-grained word alignment suffers from more serious data sparse problem than finer-grained word alignment in the small scale parallel corpus [11]. We consider that fine-grained character segmentation should be adopted in the Chinese corpus preprocessing.

The phenomenon of different shapes with the same pronunciation exists in Mongolian, which is hard to avoid spelling errors in manual collection and collation of corpus. We use Latinization to reduce the error. Besides, it can be easy storage and processing Mongolian for computer [12].

Stemming

Mongolian is an adhesive language. Its formation and configuration is connected by root and stem of different endings. The composition of words and the representation of grammatical meaning depends on different suffixes, therefore the correct segmentation of root, stem and suffix can reveal the relationship between grammatical attribute and lexical category [1]. Figure 1 describes the Chinese "oil" by connecting different suffixes to express different semantic in Mongolian.

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| ᠶᠤᠮᠠᠨᠢ | ᠶᠤᠮᠠᠨᠢ + ᠨᠢ | ᠶᠤᠮᠠᠨᠢ + ᠴᠠᠨ |
| Oil, stem form (The original form) | Oil, verb form (Rub oil) | Oil, Adjective form (oily) |

Fig. 1. Mongolian word stem and suffix

At present, we use the Mongolian word segmentation based on dictionary, rules and statistics. Figure 2 shows the extraction processing of Mongolian sentence stem.

| |
|--|
| Mongolian : ᠰᠠᠨᠢ ᠪᠡᠶᠡᠨᠠᠨᠠᠨᠠᠨᠢᠨᠢ ᠴᠠᠨᠢ ᠮᠠᠨᠠᠨᠢ ᠶᠤᠮᠠᠨᠢ ᠪᠡᠶᠡᠨᠢ ! |
| Segmentation : ᠰᠠᠨᠢ : ᠰᠠᠨᠢ + ᠢ ᠴᠠᠨᠢᠨᠢ ᠮᠠᠨᠠᠨᠢ : ᠮᠠᠨᠠᠨᠢ ᠶᠤᠮᠠᠨᠢ : ᠶᠤᠮᠠᠨᠢ + ᠰᠢ ᠪᠡᠶᠡᠨᠢ : ᠪᠡᠶᠡᠨᠢ + ᠢᠨᠢ ! |
| Stem : ᠰᠠᠨᠢᠨᠢ ᠪᠡᠶᠡᠨᠠᠨᠠᠨᠠᠨᠢᠨᠢ ᠴᠠᠨᠢᠨᠢ ᠮᠠᠨᠠᠨᠢ ᠶᠤᠮᠠᠨᠢ ᠪᠡᠶᠡᠨᠢ ! |

Fig. 2. Stemming

For many cases existed in Mongolian, which are separated by space in Moses. Therefore the case forms a single word, which causes data sparse problem. We carry out frequency analysis in the corpus. Latinization can correct the spelling mistakes of corpus, Therefore in this paper, the Mongolian corpus frequency analysis method is based on Latinization.

Table 1. Corpus frequency distribution

| | Word | Stem |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Total Tokens | 42781 | 34335 |
| Unique Tokens | 23734 | 20818 |
| Secondary Tokens | 6216 | 4812 |
| thrice Tokens | 2840 | 1988 |
| Repeatedly Tokens | 9991 | 6715 |
| Percentage of unique tokens (%) | 55.5 | 66.6 |

Table 1 shows the token distribution of Mongolian words and Mongolian stems on corpus. We can see that the unique tokens in stem-based corpus increase almost 11.1% than those in word based corpus. Data shows that due to the case processing approach is not perfect, data sparse problem ex-

Table 3. Two kinds of case processing corpus word frequency distribution

| | Stem | The first method | The second method |
|---------------------------------|-------|------------------|-------------------|
| Total Tokens | 34335 | 37065 | 27517 |
| 1 | 20818 | 23717 | 15906 |
| 2 | 4812 | 4882 | 3917 |
| 3 | 1988 | 1984 | 1662 |
| 4+ | 6715 | 6481 | 6031 |
| Percentage of unique tokens (%) | 66.6 | 63.98 (-2.62) | 57.8 (-8.8) |

Figure 4 shows the Mongolian corpus of stemming; Figure 5 shows the Mongolian corpus of stemming and processing cases. Case processing can alleviate data sparse problem.

Өгдөг (дого) ацаагүй ацаагүй **
 Өгдөгдөгдөг (дого) ацаагүй ацаагүй (дого) ацаагүй **
 Ацаагүй (дого) ацаагүй ацаагүй
 Өгдөг (дого) ацаагүй ацаагүй ацаагүй **
 Өгдөг ацаагүй ацаагүй

Fig. 4. Stemming the Mongolian corpus

Өгдөг (дого) ацаагүй ацаагүй
 Өгдөгдөгдөг (дого) ацаагүй ацаагүй (дого) ацаагүй **
 Ацаагүй (дого) ацаагүй ацаагүй
 Өгдөг (дого) ацаагүй ацаагүй ацаагүй **
 Өгдөг ацаагүй ацаагүй

Fig. 5. Stemming and case processing

Table 4 shows that in the frequency of unique tokens, the corpus removes the case and its additional components. We see that the unique tokens reduce 2% than those in the original Mongolian corpus. Data shows that case processing can alleviate data sparse problem. We assume that case processing can improve the performance of SMT.

Table 4. The frequency distribution of corpus

| | Mongolian corpus | Case Processing corpus |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Total Tokens | 42781 | 30502 |
| 1 | 23734 | 16301 |
| 2 | 6216 | 4368 |
| 3 | 2840 | 2089 |
| 4+ | 9991 | 7742 |
| Percentage of unique tokens (%) | 55.5 | 53.4(-2) |

Experiments

Introduction of the experimental environment and data

We implemented Moses as our basic SMT system and built it as follows: alignment was performed by GIZA++ [13]. A phrase-based MT decoder similar to the work of Koehn et al [14] was used with the decoding weights optimized by MERT [15]. We used a 3-gram language model. Chinese language corpus was processed by the ICTCLAS Chinese word segmentation system [8].

CWMT'2009 was used for the experiments. As a small language, public Mongolian and Chinese parallel corpus is limited. We select within 50 of the length of the 65752 sentences of the bilingual corpus as training set. In order to adjust the appropriate training parameters, the Mongolian and

Chinese SMT's development set was established by selecting 1000 sentences from the training corpus, and being removed from the training set. For testing the final translation results, we built a test set of 1000 sentences. The test set and train set can't be repeated but that should belong to the same field. Table 5 shows the data set in detail. Mo is the abbreviation of Mongolian and Ch is the abbreviation of Chinese.

Table 5. Data set

| | Train | Dev | Test |
|--------------------------|--------|-------|--------|
| Bilingual sentence pairs | 64752 | 1000 | 1000 |
| Ch Scale | 2.72MB | 40KB | 40.3KB |
| Mo Scale | 7.32MB | 107KB | 109KB |
| Total Mo words/stems | 571075 | 7371 | 7555 |
| Total Ch words | 591521 | 8670 | 8792 |
| Total Ch characters | 722099 | 10484 | 10556 |

SMT automatic evaluation standard is the necessary for SMT discriminant training, and it is also an important indicator to quickly measure the results of SMT [16]. This experiment uses the BLEU evaluation standard [17].

Comparison of experimental results and analysis

We have combined group experiment to SMT by using different preprocessing methods of Mongolian-Chinese SMT bilingual corpus. Two groups of baseline were selected: the baseline 1 uses Mongolian original corpus and Chinese corpus with word segmentation. The baseline 2 uses Mongolian original corpus and Chinese corpus with character segmentation.

Table 6. The experimental results

| | Experiment system | | BLEU |
|------------|--------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| | Mo | Ch | |
| baseline 1 | word | word | 29.48 |
| baseline 2 | word | character | 30.66 |
| system 1 | stem | word | 29.08 (-0.40) |
| system 2 | stem | character | 29.49 (-1.17) |
| system 3 | case processing | word | 30.26 (+0.78) |
| system 4 | case processing | character | 31.04 (+0.38) |
| system 5 | stem + case processing | word | 29.50 |
| system 6 | stem + case processing | character | 30.73 |
| system 7 | case processing + Latinization | word | 31.98 (+2.50) |
| system 8 | case processing + Latinization | character | 32.70 (+1.64) |

As the experimental results are shown in Table 6, we can see that the BLEU of baseline 2 is higher than baseline 1, which exemplifies that the method of character segmentation can effectively

alleviate the problem of word segmentation, and can improve the translation performance.

System 1 uses the stem of Mongolian corpus and Chinese corpus with word segmentation, and system 2 uses the stem of Mongolian corpus and Chinese corpus with character segmentation. The BLEU score of system 1 reduces 0.4, compared with baseline 1. The BLEU score of system 2 reduces 1.17, compared with baseline 2. The results exemplify that stemming cause data sparse problem. We reserve suffixes to the Mongolian corpus and count the frequency of words, in order to verify that stemming can cause data sparse problem. Table 7 shows the token distribution of Mongolian stems in corpus of retaining the suffix. The frequency of unique tokens in Mongolian corpus of retaining a suffix reduces 9.44% than the stem of Mongolian corpus. The frequency of unique tokens in Mongolian corpus of retaining two suffixes reduces 10.22% than the stem of Mongolian corpus. The results exemplify that stemming caused data sparse problem.

Table 7. The token distribution of Mongolian stems in corpus of retaining the suffix

| | stem | an suffix | two suffixes |
|------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Total Tokens | 34335 | 37248 | 38170 |
| 1 | 20818 | 21291 | 21521 |
| 2 | 4812 | 5264 | 5475 |
| 3 | 1988 | 2326 | 2459 |
| 4+ | 6715 | 8366 | 8714 |
| Percentage of unique tokens (%) | 66.6 | 57.16 (-9.44) | 56.38 (-10.22) |

While system 3 uses case processing of Mongolian corpus and Chinese corpus with word segmentation, system 4 uses case processing of Mongolian corpus and Chinese corpus with character segmentation. System 3 outperforms baseline 1, and system 2 outperforms baseline 2. While system 5 uses the stemming and case processing of Mongolian corpus as well as Chinese corpus with word segmentation, system 6 uses the stemming and case processing of Mongolian corpus as well as Chinese corpus with character segmentation. System 5 outperforms system 3, and system 6 outperforms system 4. The results of two different groups of corpus all prove the previous hypothesis: case processing can alleviate the data sparse problem effectively, as well as improve the performance of Mongolian-Chinese SMT.

In order to demonstrate the influence of Mongolian Latinization, system 7 uses case processing and Latinization of Mongolian corpus as well as Chinese corpus with word segmentation, and system 8 uses case processing and Latinization of Mongolian corpus as well as Chinese corpus with character segmentation. System 7 outperforms baseline 1, and system 8 outperforms baseline 2. The results exemplify that Latinization could avoid spelling errors in manual collection and collation of corpus, as well as improve the performance of Mongolian-Chinese SMT.

Summary

This paper summarizes the main methods of the corpus preprocessing of Mongolian-Chinese SMT, and puts forward the method of case processing. Group experiment is combined with corpus obtained from different processing methods. We analyze the influence of different corpus preprocessing methods on SMT and the result exemplifies that case processing in this paper can significantly improve the performance of the Mongolian-Chinese SMT. Corpus preprocessing method is proposed, and the BLEU score increase 3.22, compared with the baseline 1. Besides, we also analyze and summarize a series of problems, and prove the effectiveness of our methods.

We will continue to research on the key technologies of Mongolian Chinese bilingual corpus

preprocessing. Especially, in the aspect of stemming, we will focus on how to reduce the data sparse problem. As for processing the case on stemming corpus, we will strive to get better Mongolian-Chinese SMT corpus preprocessing method to improve the performance of SMT.

Acknowledgments

We thank ICITIT 2016 reviewers. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 61362028) and the Postgraduate Scientific Research Innovation Foundation of Inner Mongolia (No. 11200-12110201).

References

- [1] Nanwushuritu: Automatic segmentation system of Mongolian root, stem and suffix. In: Journal of Inner Mongolia University, pp.02:53-57 (1993)
- [2] H.X. Hou, Q. Liu, Z. Wang, G. Zhang: Skip-n Mongolian statistical language model. In: Journal of Inner Mongolia University, pp. 02:220-224 (2008)
- [3] H.X. Hou, G. Zhang, Z. Wang: Hierarchical Mongolian statistical language model. In: Journal of Inner Mongolia University, pp. 03:336-340 (2009)
- [4] H.X. Hou, Q. Liu, Nasanurtu: Mongolian word segmentation based on statistical language model. In: Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence, 22(1): 108-112 (2009)
- [5] W. Zhao, H.X. Hou, W. Cong, M. Song: Based on conditional random field of Mongolian word segmentation. In: Journal of Chinese information, pp. 05:31-35+84 (2010)
- [6] Y. Ming, H.X. Hou: Researching of Mongolian word segmentation system based on dictionary, rules and language model. In: Inner Mongolian University
- [7] P. Zhang, K. Yasuda, and Eiichiro Sumita.2008. Improved statistical machine translation by multiple Chinese word segmentation. In Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation, pp. 216-223
- [8] C. Huang, H. Zhao: A review of Chinese word segmentation in ten years. In: Chinese Journal of information and information, pp. 03:8-19 (2007)
- [9] X. Chen, G. Jin, C. Huang: Experimental research on word segmentation based on character. In: The Ninth National Conference on Computational Linguistics (2007)
- [10] G. Feng, W. Zheng: A summary of the research on Chinese automatic word segmentation in China. In: Library and information service, pp. 02:41-45 (2011)
- [11] J. Wu, H.X. Hou, C.J. Xie: Realignment from Finer-grained Alignment to Coarser-grained Alignment to Enhance Mongolian-Chinese SMT (2015)
- [12] X. Shen: Minority languages Latinization of the significance and method. In: Chinese Information Institute of national language committee information (2007)
- [13] F.J. Och, H. Ney: A systematic comparison of various statistical alignment models. Computational Linguistics 29(1), 19–51 (2003)
- [14] P. Koehn, H. Hoang, A. Birch, et al.: Moses: Open source toolkit for statistical machine translation. ACL (2007)

- [15] F.J. Och: Minimum error rate training in statistical machine translation. In: Proceedings of ACL, pp. 160–167 (2003)
- [16] N. Yang: Machine Translation research based on neural network learning. In: University of Science and Technology of China (2014)
- [17] P. Koehn, S. Roukos, T. Ward, et al.: BLEU: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In: Proceedings of the 40th annual meeting on association for computational linguistics. Association for Computational Linguistics, pp. 311-318 (2002)