

The Synergic Scheduling Optimization and Effectiveness Evaluation Model for Multi-types Generation Resources Considering Emission Constraints

Xue-Qin TIAN^{a*}, Tong XU, Xin-Lei WANG, Jia-Song LUO,
Chong-Ming SONG, Jing YAN

State Power Economic Research Institute of State Grid, Beijing, China

^atianxueqin@chinasperi.sgcc.com.cn

*Corresponding author

Keywords: Emission constraints, generation resources, jointly scheduling, optimization Model, energy saving, emission reduction.

Abstract. Optimizing generation resource joint scheduling is of great significance for the economic operation of power system and achieving emission reduction targets. To compare the economic and environment benefits between generation resource scheduling models, which are under different scheduling objectives and constraints, considering unit contribution, generation resources, and pollution constraints, this paper established three generation scheduling optimization models that under contract power mode, ideal energy - saving generation mode and the cooperation mode of the former two. On this basis, this paper put forward the power generation resource scheduling effectiveness evaluation model, and made a simulation, using the data of wind power, hydropower, and thermal power units. The results showed generation resources under the cooperation model joint scheduling optimization model can significantly reduce the discharge of pollutants, produce the least abandoned wind and abandoned water, and the overall power generation profits are of 5.5% and 1.5%, respectively, higher than the other two models. In summary, it is able to obtain more comprehensive benefits.

Introductions

Recently, Sustained and rapid development of China's economy led to a sharp increase in energy consumption and pollutant emissions, which makes our country face a huge crisis in energy sustainable supply and pressure of energy saving and emission reduction[1-2]. The Twelfth Five-year Plan requests unit of GDP and carbon dioxide emissions in 2015 to decrease 17% and 16% respectively compared to 2010. Generation resources joint optimization scheduling is able to promote the rational use of power resources, reduce electricity costs and pollutant emissions, meanwhile, it also advances energy saving and emission reduction for our society.

Research on generation resources optimization scheduling still has some changes with the conversion of scheduling model [3-5]. In the period of planning dispatching, contract electricity is the main performance characteristics, the literature [6-7] put forward preparation and decomposition algorithm of annual contract volume for thermal power electricity under the three modes which includes the traditional scheduling, full market, Limit pricing. In terms of energy-efficient scheduling, the literature [8-9] studied distribution network (DG) distributed power optimized configuration problems based on the multi-load level and multi-target load distribution question, which should reach to the target has smallest net loss and generating unit coal consumption. The literature [10-11] studied the economic compensation problem in energy-saving generation scheduling. Above documents made in-depth discussions for the establishment of generation resource scheduling model and its costs and benefits under certain scenarios. However, those documents lacked of comparison and analysis of all types of power generation resource scheduling under different targets and scenarios.

Considering the unit output, terms of generation resources, pollution and other constraints, this paper based on the above background regards the smallest generation costs and pollutant emissions cost as our target to construct the contractual power, the ideal energy generation scheduling and generating resource scheduling optimization model under the mutual cooperation scenarios of above two dispatching ways. On this basis, it also builds a power resource scheduling effectiveness evaluation model.

Many Types of Power Generation Resource Scheduling Optimization Model Based on Contract Electricity.

Currently, Most of the research of generation resources scheduling almost prepare for a target has minimum total amount of coal consumption, and rarely comes to the cost of pollutant emissions. For this reason, many types of power generation resource scheduling optimization model is constructed that sets a goal has minimum coal consumption and pollutant emissions costs.

The Objective Function

We suppose that a region has I generating units, and the output of unit i is g_{cit} at time t, the relationships between coal consumption F_{cit} and output g_{cit} are as following:

$$F_{cit} = a_i + b_i g_{cit} + c_i g_{cit}^2 \quad (1)$$

Wherein a_i, b_i, c_i are the parameters determined by power generators historical data.

We suppose that a region has J generating units, and the output of unit j is g_{hjt} at time t, the relationships between water consumption F_{hjt} and output g_{hjt} are as following:

$$F_{hjt} = a_j + b_j g_{hjt} + c_j g_{hjt}^2 \quad (2)$$

Wherein a_j, b_j, c_j are the parameters determined through simulation.

Model objective function, chases the minimum total power generation coal consumption goal, is expressed as follows:

$$\min \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^I \eta_e^k P_e^k [u_{cit} (1 - u_{ci,t-1}) S_{ci} + u_{cit} F_{cit}]$$

Wherein S_{ci} represents the start consumption of coal-fired unit i , η_e^k represents the pollutant k emission rate in a unit of coal, P_{em}^k represents the pollutant k emissions charges prices.

Constraints

(1) Unit output balance constraints

$$\sum_{i=1}^I u_{cit} g_{cit} + \sum_{j=1}^J u_{hjt} g_{hjt} + \sum_{k=1}^K u_{wkt} g_{wkt} = L_t \quad (3)$$

Wherein g_{cit} represents the output of Coal-fired unit i at time t, g_{hjt} represents the output of hydroelectric generating unit j at time t, g_{wkt} and represents the output of the wind turbine k at time t.

(2) Unit output constraints

$$u_{cit} g_{ci}^{\min} \leq g_{cit} \leq u_{cit} g_{ci}^{\max} \quad (4)$$

$$0 \leq g_{hjt} \leq u_{hjt} g_{hj}^{\max} \quad (5)$$

$$0 \leq g_{wkt} \leq u_{wkt} g_{wk}^{\max} \quad (6)$$

(3) Coal-fired unit output climbing constraint

$$\Delta g_{ci}^- \leq g_{cit} - g_{ci,t-1} \leq \Delta g_{ci}^+ \quad (7)$$

(4) Coal-fired units shortest start time constraint

$$(T_{ci,t-1}^{on} - MT_{ci}^{on})(u_{ci,t-1} - u_{cit}) \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

Wherein MT_{ci}^{on} represents the minimum continuous running time of coal-fired unit i, $T_{ci,t-1}^{on}$ represents the time that coal-fired unit i has continuous run at time t-1.

(5) Coal-fired units shortest downtime constrained

$$(T_{ci,t-1}^{off} - MT_{ci}^{off})(u_{cit} - u_{ci,t-1}) \geq 0 \quad (9)$$

Wherein MT_{ci}^{off} represents the minimum continuous taking off time of coal-fired unit i, $T_{ci,t-1}^{off}$ represents the time that coal-fired unit i has continuous taken off at time t-1.

(6) Start-stop variable constraints

$$u_{cit} = 0 \text{ or } 1 \quad (10)$$

$$u_{hjt} = 0 \text{ or } 1 \quad (11)$$

$$u_{wkt} = 0 \text{ or } 1 \quad (12)$$

(7) Water balance constraints

$$V_{hj,t+1} = V_{hjt} + W_{hjt}^{in} - W_{hjt}^{out} - W_{hjt}^s \quad (13)$$

Wherein $V_{hj,t+1}$ represents the reservoir j storage at the end of time t, V_{hjt} represents the reservoir j storage at time early t, W_{hjt}^{in} represents the amount of storage water at time t, W_{hjt}^{out} represents the amount of power water of reservoir j at time t, W_{hjt}^s represents the abandoned water of reservoir j at time t.

(8) Reservoir storage capacity constraints

$$V_{hjt}^{\min} \leq V_{hjt} \leq V_{hjt}^{\max} \quad (14)$$

Wherein V_{hjt}^{\min} represents the minimum storage capacity of the reservoir J that should be guaranteed at time t, V_{hjt}^{\max} represents the maximum storage capacity of the reservoir J that should be guaranteed at time t.

(9) Vent flow constraints

$$W_{hjt,q}^{\min} \leq W_{hjt,q} \leq W_{hjt,q}^{\max} \quad (15)$$

Wherein $W_{hjt,q}^{\min}$ represents the minimum discharge of the reservoir J that should be guaranteed at time t, $W_{hjt,q}$ represents the maximum allowable discharge of the reservoir J that should be guaranteed at time t, $W_{hjt,q}^{\max}$ represents the discharge of the reservoir J at time t.

(10) Generating water consumption constraints of station

$$F_{hjt} \leq V_{hjt} \quad (16)$$

(11) Hydropower output constraints

$$g_{hjt} = \alpha_j * W_{hjt,q} * H_{jt} \quad (17)$$

$$g_{hjt}^{\min} \leq g_{hjt} \leq g_{hjt}^{\max} \quad (18)$$

Wherein H_{jt} represents the water head of the reservoir J at time t, α_j represents the output coefficient of the reservoir J, g_{hjt}^{\min} represents the minimum output allowed by power station, g_{hjt}^{\max} represents the maximum output allowed by power station.

(12) Wind turbine technology constraints

$$g_{wkt}^* = \begin{cases} 0 & v(t) \leq v_{I,j} \text{ or } v(t) > v_{O,j} \\ \phi_j(v(t)) & v_{I,j} \leq v(t) \leq v_{R,j} \\ g_{wk}^{\max} & v_{R,j} \leq v(t) \leq v_{O,j} \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Wherein $v(t)$ represents the wind speed at time t, $v_{I,i}$ represents the cut-in speed of the wind turbine j, $v_{O,i}$ represents the cut-out speed of the wind turbine j, $v_{R,j}$ represents the available generating capacity of wind turbines j, $\phi_j(v(t))$ represents the rated wind speed of the wind turbine j, g_{wk}^{\max} represents the wind power curve of the wind turbine j, g_{wkt}^* represents the power upper limit of the wind turbine j.

$$\phi_j(v(t)) = \frac{1}{8} \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 \rho \pi d^2 v^3(t) \quad (20)$$

Wherein η_1 represents the power coefficient of the wind turbine, its value usually between 0.2 to 0.5, and the maximum is 0.593. η_2 represents mechanical efficiency of wind turbine gear, η_3 represents the mechanical efficiency of the generator, ρ represents air density. D represents wind turbine rotor diameter.

$$0 \leq g_{wkt} \leq g_{wkt}^* \quad (21)$$

(13) Pollutant emission constraints

$$\eta_e^k \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^I [u_{cit} (1-u_{ci,t-1}) S_{ci} + u_{cit} F_{cit}] \leq Q_{ek}^{\max} \quad (22)$$

Wherein Q_{ek}^{\max} represents the maximum emission constraints of pollutants k during the period T.

(14) Generating contract constraints

$$\sum_{t=1}^T u_{cit} g_{cit} \geq Q_{ci}^c \quad (23)$$

Wherein H represents the allocated contract power of unit i during the period T.

$$\sum_{t=1}^T u_{hjt} g_{hjt} \geq Q_{hj}^c \quad (24)$$

Wherein H represents the allocated contract power of unit j during the period T.

$$\sum_{t=1}^T u_{wkt} g_{wkt} \geq Q_{wk}^c \quad (25)$$

Wherein H represents the allocated contract power of unit k during the period T.

Power Generation Resources Scheduling Optimization Based on the Ideal Energy-saving Generation Scheduling Model.

In the ideal energy-saving scheduling environment, Multi-resource scheduling optimization model sets a target that has the minimum total costs of coal-fired power generation and start-stop of thermal power, Specific objective function is as follows:

$$\min \sum_{m=1}^M \mu_{em} P_{em} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^I [u_{cit} (1-u_{ci,t-1}) S_{ci} + u_{cit} F_{cit}]$$

Constraint formula is shown in equation (3)-(22).

Taking into account the randomness and volatility of wind turbine output and the large-scale wind power installed easily lead to a large number of abandoned wind phenomenon, this model gives wind power a the maximum penetration constraint to ensure the stability of the power grid, the specific constraints are as follows:

$$P_t^w \leq \beta L_t \quad (26)$$

Wherein β on behalf of wind power limit penetration, %.

Power Generation Resources Scheduling Optimization Based on the Cooperation Model.

This paper integrates those characteristics of many types of power generation resource scheduling optimization model of contract power and ideal energy-saving generation scheduling, what's more, it constructs the multi-class power resource scheduling optimization model of cooperation model, and the objective function is similar to the objective function in the ideal energy-saving generation scheduling.

Constraints:

(1) Constraint formulas are (3)-(22) and (26)

(2) Contract power constraints:

$$\sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{t=1}^T u_{cit} g_{cit} \geq \sum_{i=1}^I Q_{ci}^c \quad (27)$$

Effectiveness Evaluation Model of Generation Resource Scheduling

Effective evaluation model aims at the three scheduling model is established based on the previous text, decision variable values are $u_{cit}^{(i)}$ 、 $F_{cit}^{(i)}$ 、 $g_{cit}^{(i)}$ 、 $g_{hjt}^{(i)}$ 、 $g_{wkt}^{(i)}$ ($i=1,2,3$) , The costs and benefits is calculated as follows:

Coal Consumption:

$$C_c^{(k)} = \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^I \left[u_{cit}^{(k)} (1 - u_{ci,t-1}^{(k)}) S_{ci} + u_{cit}^{(k)} F_{cit}^{(k)} \right] \quad (28)$$

Wherein k represents the scheduling kind NO. v ($k=1,2,3$) , $C_c^{(k)}$ represents the coal consumption under the k th scheduling ways.

Pollution Emissions:

$$C_e^{(k)} = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^I \eta_e^k \left[u_{cit}^{(k)} (1 - u_{ci,t-1}^{(k)}) S_{ci} + u_{cit}^{(k)} F_{cit}^{(k)} \right] \quad (29)$$

Wherein $C_e^{(k)}$ represents the total amount of generation pollution emissions under the k th scheduling ways.

Units Generating Profits:

$$R_c^{(k)} = \sum_{t=1}^T u_{cit}^{(k)} g_{cit}^{(k)} (P_{cit} - C_{cit}) \quad (30)$$

Wherein $R_c^{(k)}$ represents the thermal power generating profits under the k th scheduling ways. P_{cit} represents the tariff of thermal power unit i under the k th scheduling ways at time t . C_{cit} represents the unit cost of electricity of thermal power unit i under the k th scheduling ways at time t .

$$R_h^{(k)} = \sum_{t=1}^T u_{hjt}^{(k)} g_{hjt}^{(k)} (P_{hjt} - C_{hjt}) \quad (31)$$

Wherein $R_h^{(k)}$ represents the hydroelectric units generating profits under the k th scheduling ways. P_{hjt} represents the tariff of thermal power unit j under the k th scheduling ways at time t . C_{hjt} represents the unit cost of electricity of thermal power unit j under the k th scheduling ways at time t .

$$R_w^{(k)} = \sum_{t=1}^T u_{wkt}^{(k)} g_{wkt}^{(k)} (P_{wkt} - C_{wkt}) \quad (32)$$

Wherein $R_w^{(k)}$ represents the hydroelectric units generating profits under the k th scheduling ways. P_{wkt} represents the tariff of thermal power unit j under the k th scheduling ways at time t . C_{wkt}

represents the unit cost of electricity of thermal power unit j under the k th scheduling ways at time t .

Numerical Examples

Initial Data

This paper collect the available output of three thermal power units, one hydropower unit and wind turbines of a region as an example initial data. Thermal power units and hydropower units generating set parameters show in Table 1 and Table 2. The power load demand of this region on one day and the available output of wind turbines are shown in Table 3. we assume the evaluation of the cost of power generation is 0.1 yuan/ kW h in this region, meanwhile, the tariff of wind power, thermal power and hydropower are 0.61,0.45,0.32 yuan/kW h respectively in this region. In the energy-efficient scheduling environment, the total amount of wind power is still increasing. In this paper, wind power limit penetration of resource scheduling model under an ideal energy-generating scheduling is assumed to be 50% due to the large amount of wind energy resources in the region.

Tab.1 Coal-fired turbine power generation parameters

units	a_j	b_j	c_j	T_j^{on} (h)	T_j^{off} (h)	SC_j (t)	$P_{j,t}^{min}$ (MW)	$P_{j,t}^{max}$ (MW)	ΔP_j^+ (MW/h)	ΔP_j^- (MW/h)
1#	8.8	0.268	9.44E-06	7	7	22.3	200	450	210	-210
2#	4.6	0.304	4.13E-05	4	4	8.1	100	250	100	-100
3#	1.4	0.314	8.35E-05	2	2	2.1	30	100	50	-50

Tab.2 Hydropower generating unit parameters

units	$W_{max} / (m^3/s)$	$W_{min} / (m^3/s)$	$V_{max} / (10^8 m^3)$	$V_{min} / (10^8 m^3)$	$\alpha / 10^{-4}$	H / m
1	57	23	500	55	29	50

Tab 3 Wind turbine power output and load demand data

period	available output of wind power /MW	Load demand	period	available output of wind power /MW	Load demand
1	95.33	333	13	56.33	833
2	171.17	334	14	47.67	767
3	177.67	367	15	71.50	700
4	186.33	400	16	86.67	600
5	173.33	500	17	80.17	567
6	145.17	567	18	62.83	633
7	127.83	633	19	45.50	700
8	101.83	700	20	43.33	833
9	60.67	767	21	71.50	767
10	62.83	833	22	97.50	633
11	56.33	867	23	141.27	433
12	65.00	900	24	123.50	333

Numerical Example Results

Units Output Comparison

In the contract electricity model, the contract electricity is assigned to the three thermal power units are 7539, 1836, 143MW • h respectively. Furthermore, the contract electricity amount of wind power and hydropower is still 2000MW • h. however, the contract generating electricity doesn't

need to be assigned in an ideal energy-saving generation scheduling model, Unit output scheduling is sorted in full accordance with the unit coal consumption. The total contract electricity, in cooperation model, is assigned to the thermal power units reach to 9300MW • h, but it doesn't assign to specific units, the power scheduling of thermal power and other generator sets is according to energy-saving scheduling model. Output condition of above three thermal power units are shown in Table 4, wind power and hydropower output situation shown in Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3 respectively.

Tab.4 The output of thermal power units in three scenes

time	1#			2#			3#		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
1	200					30			30
2	200					30			30
3	200					57			37
4	200					61			39
5	200			200		30		100	30
6	228			228			200	100	
7	334			334			239	100	
8	352	100		352	100		352	100	
9	450	151		450	151		450	151	
10	450	224		450	224		450	224	
11	450	250	44	450	250	44	450	250	44
12	450	250	48	450	250	48	450	250	48
13	450	227	30	450	227	30	450	227	30
14	450	202		450	202		450	202	
15	436	102		436	102		436	102	
16	308	100		308	100		308	100	
17	272	100		272	100		272	100	
18	364	100		364	100		364	100	
19	450	110		450	110		450	110	
20	450	210	47	450	210	47	450	210	47
21	450	110	30	450	110	30	420	141	30
22	294	100		294	100		210	158	30
23	200			200				100	30
24	200			200					30

Analysis results are shown below according to Table 4:

Thermal power output is higher than the contract power in the contract power model, which is obtained by comparing above three models. Among them unit 1# has been invoked in the scheduling period due to lower coal consumption, however, unit 2# and unit 3# are invoked during the peak of load demand, output comes from wind power and hydropower units in the rest of time, in an ideal energy-saving generation scheduling model, at 1-4 hours, the wind turbine output almost meets the basic load needs, however, due to the randomness of wind power output is strong, a small unit has a fast start-stop speed is necessary for us to ensure the stability of the power supply, so at 1-4 hours, unit 3# is invoked. the output of thermal power has a great change than the other circumstances in the cooperation model, unit 2# has been called at 5-23 hours because the contract power of thermal power units are not assigned to specific units under this scenario, the contract power need to be assigned to large capacity generators priory according to the minimum coal consumption target, therefore, unit 3# uses less.

The result that the output of the wind turbine accounts for a large proportion at 1-5 hours can be received by comparing those units at the different time, what's more, start-stop speed of thermal unit need to reach a high degree, so, unit 2#, if we considerate the coal consumption and start-stop speed constraints, is superior to unit 1#, as for the 23-24 hours, the output of wind power and hydropower units can be limited because the contract capacity is no longer restricting thermal power, moreover, thermal power units can be invoked as alternate and complementary of wind turbines, furthermore, unit 1# has not been called at 23-24 hours, and 1# and 1# unit have not been called at the 24 hour.




Fig.1 The output of wind power and hydropower units of Model 1 (unit: MW)




Fig.2 The output of wind power and hydropower units of Model 2(unit: MW)




Fig.3 The output of wind power and hydropower units of Model 3 (unit: MW)

Can be seen from Figure 1, in the contract electricity model, there are abandoned wind phenomenon at 1-5 hours and 23-24 hours, and the total amount reach $1015.8 \text{ MW} \cdot \text{h}$, the wind power output is fully consumptive available at other times. there are also existing abandoned water power phenomenon at 1-3 hours and 23 hours because thermal power have the output priority to ensure thermal power contract electricity to be met under the contract power constraints, therefore, wind and hydroelectric generating output will have a significant reduction so that the excess water need to be abandoned, and the abandoned amount reaches $239.2 \text{ MW} \cdot \text{h}$. As for 24 hour, we can make

most use of the full capacity of the hydroelectric generating output due to the thermal power contract capacity constraints are met.

As we can seen from Figure 2, wind turbine output is higher than the contract power model in an ideal energy-saving generation scheduling model, in this model abandoned wind phenomenon occurs at 1-5 hours and 23-24 hours, and abandoned air volume is 534.5MW•h, which is significantly lower than the amount of the contract electricity model. At 24 hour, we cannot take full advantage of hydropower units so that abandoned water will exist due to thermal unit start-stop constraints and wind turbine output constraints.

As we can seen from Figure 3, abandoned wind occurs at 1-6 hours and 23-24 hours in model 3, and the abandoned wind was 322.15MW • h, 41 MW • h respectively, total disposable air volume reaches 363.15 MW • h, Seen from the foregoing, this model has the smallest disposable air volume. the power load demand increased significantly at 1-6 hours combined power load demand data, due to the unit 1# has not been activated, the power load demand cannot be met by those operation units, in the one hand, thermal power increase the power output to ensure the load demand, unit 1# meet its start needs, are met rather than being an alternate unit, which results in abandoning the wind. On the other hand, this model did not produce disposable water, that is, we can make most use of the hydropower units for the electricity grid.

Benefit Comparison

Table 5 and Table 6 compare the pollutant emission and generation resources profit for the three scheduling mode to analyze the economic and environmental benefits of them under three different scenarios, In order to facilitate analysis the economic and environmental benefits of various types generation resource under different scheduling modes, in this section, thermal power will be a whole so that we can use it to compare with wind power and hydropower.

Tab.5 Pollutant emissions comparison of the three models

	Coal-fired power generation cost / tce			Emissions / tonne			
	Coal-fired power generation costs	Start-stop cost	Total cost	SO ₂ emissions	CO ₂ emissions	NO _x emissions	Total emissions
Model 1	3123	23	3146	10	3051	12	3073
Model 2	3022	55	3077	10	2984	12	3006
Model 3	3068	69	3137	10	3043	12	3065

Table 5 shows that coal-fired generation costs of thermal power units reduces 101 tons standard coal in an ideal energy-saving generation scheduling model compared to contract electricity model, however, start-stop costs increased 32 tons standard coal. The reasons for this phenomenon conclude the following. in this model, Wind power and hydroelectric generating have the priority of power output so that grid-connected electricity increases, which leads to increase the replacement amount of the thermal power generation capacity, consequently, the total cost of coal-fired electricity generation reduce, moreover, unit 3# has been used for backup services to do the backup of wind turbine output, which increases the overall cost of start-stop. Similarly, in the cooperation mode, coal-fired power generation costs will decrease due to the growing output of wind and hydro units compared to contract electricity model, however, coal-fired power generation costs are higher than it in the ideal energy-saving scheduling model, that's because unit 2# power output increases in this model, unit coal consumption is higher than unit 1#'s, therefore, the total cost of coal-fired power generation increase, the start-stop cost of thermal power units also increased significantly due to the grid-connected recruitment of wind power. Overall, for the environmental benefits, ideal energy-saving scheduling model is superior to cooperation model, and cooperation model is superior to contract electricity models.

Tab.6 Generation resources profits of the three scenarios

	Generating capacity /MW h			Generating profits / Ten thousand yuan		
	Thermal power	Wind Power	Hydro	Thermal power	Wind Power	Hydro
Model 1	10573	2513	2408	202	128	53
Model 2	9982	2995	2507	190	153	55
Model 3	9681	3166	2647	185	161	58

Compared generation resources profit of these three models in Table 6, cooperation model owns the highest wind power, thermal power and hydropower generating capacity, and has the highest overall generating profits, that is, it has the best economic benefits. Every coin has two sides. its thermal power should lower its profits significantly, wind and hydro power ought to increase its profits significantly.

In summary, Compared to the other two scheduling model, in the cooperation model, multiple types generation resources can prevent the thermal power resources from participating in power generation, and increase the generating capacity of wind power and hydropower resources so that reduce emissions significantly. We, if using the resource scheduling optimization model based on cooperation, can take advantage of the environmental benefits of wind power, hydropower and other renewable energy sources when making optimal use of power resources.

Conclusions

This paper aims at the contract power, the ideal energy generation scheduling and generating resource scheduling optimization model under the mutual cooperation scenarios to establish model for the comparison and analysis of all types of power generation resource scheduling model with different objectives and constraints. The following is the conclusion which is obtained by example simulation and comparative analysis.

Unit output distribution of contract power model has certain plans and stability, thermal power units have smallest start-stop time. But, it will lead to the highest cost of coal consumption and pollutant emissions, what's more, it has the smallest utilization of renewable generation resources.

The ideal energy generation scheduling has the smallest cost of coal consumption and pollutant emissions, however, the use of renewable generation resources will be limited due to its stability constraints, while bringing greater start-stop costs and equipment loss costs.

Coal consumption and pollution emissions of the cooperative model have a significant reduce, renewable generation resources are fully utilized, and meanwhile, it achieves the highest overall profit value.

According to study results, the thermal power resources generating profits will be reduced under the cooperative model, which will affect the enthusiasm of thermal power generation resources to participate in resource scheduling. In order to overcome this difficulty, it is necessary for us to study the rational allocation of the profits of multi-type power generation resource scheduling.

References

- [1] Shang Jin-cheng, Zhang Li-qing. Research and Application of Technologies in Energy-Saving, Emission-Reducing and Optimal Resource Allocation of Electric Power System[J]. Power System Technology, 2007, 31(22):59-63.
- [2] Bao Bing. A Reserch on the Policy Implementation Performance of China's Energy Saving and Emission Reduction in Power [D]. Harbin Institute of Technology, 2010.

- [3] Wu Jie-kang, Li Ying, The Multi-objective Optimized Scheduling of Hydro-thermal Power System [J]. Modern Electricity Power, 2011, 28(1):52-57.
- [4] LIU Xiao, AI Xin, PENG Qian, Optimal Dispatch Coordinating Power Generation with Carbon Emission Permit for Wind Farms Integrated Power Grid Considering Demand Response[J]. Power System Technology, 2012, 36(1):213-128.
- [5] Wu Hong-yu, Guan Xiao-hong, Zhai Qiao-zhu, Gao Feng. Short-term Hydrothermal Scheduling Using Mixed-integer Linear Programming [J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2009, 29(28):82-88.
- [6] Chen Guo-xuan, Xu Yin-shan. Research on the Operation of Cascade Power Stations Based on Contract Power Quantity [J]. China Rural Water and Hydropower, 2011(05):159-164.
- [7] Zhang Li, Liu Jun-yong, Liu Ji-chun, Liu Jia-jia, Wu Zhi-yun, Wen Li-li. Study on scheduling and resolution algorithm of annual contract volume for thermal power units[J]. RELAY, 2007, 35(4):64-69.
- [8] Tang Yong-jun, Liu Dong, Ruan Qian-tou. Optimal Allocation of Distributed Generation and Its Parallel Computation Considering Energy-saving Dispatching [J]. Automation of Electric Power Systems, 2008, 32(7):92-97.
- [9] Su Peng, Liu Tian-qi, Zhao Guo-bo, Zhang Jiong. An Improved Particle Swarm Optimization Based Multi-Objective Load Dispatch Under Energy Conservation Dispatching [J]. Power System Technology, 2009, 33(05):48-53.
- [10]Shang Jin-cheng. Research on Economic Compensation Mechanism for Energy-saving Generation Dispatch Part One Design and Analysis of Economic Compensation Mechanism Based on Administrative Means [J]. Automation of Electric Power Systems, 2009, 33 (2):44-48.
- [11]Shang Jin-cheng. Research on Economic Compensation Mechanism for Energy-saving Generation Dispatch Part Two Design and Analysis of Economic Compensation Mechanism Based on Market Mechanism [J]. Automation of Electric Power Systems, 2009, 33(3):46-50.