International Conference on Applied Science and Engineering (ICASE 2018)

The Effect of X Bracing System Addition in Reinforced Concrete Structure as Earthquake Resistant Element

Hendramawat Aski Safarizki Universitas Veteran Bangun Nusantara Sukoharjo, Indonesia hendra.mawat@gmail.com

Abstract-The Indonesian government has tried to overcome the emergence of casualties by making regulations about earthquake resistant structures. Various ways are used to reduce building damage due to the earthquake. One of them is by adding bracing system as lateral stiffeners. In this study, an analysis was conducted between concrete buildings without X braces and after the addition of X braces by using static equivalent analysis based on SNI 1726-2012. Then a comparison is made between the displacements that occur to determine the effectiveness of using X braces on reinforced concrete structures. The aim of this study is to evaluating the effect of the earthquake on multi storied reinforced concrete structure before and after using X bracing system. The seismic effect like shear force, story drift, and displacement in reinforced concrete structure before and after using X bracing system was evaluated. The result shows that shear force on each floor was increase before and after the addition of braces on each floor between 8-13%. It appears that the displacement will decrease significantly with the addition of X bracing. The floor displacement before and after the addition of bracing X reduce evenly almost 50%.

Keywords—bracing, displacement, earthquake, shear force

I. INTRODUCTION

Indonesia is an earthquake-prone area because it is located at the confluence of the Earth's plate. Earthquake events in Indonesia often cause losses both in terms of material and casualties. The Indonesian government has tried to overcome the emergence of casualties by making regulations about earthquake resistant structures. Earthquake Resistance Planning Standards for Building Structure and Non-Building that applies today are SNI 1726-2012. SNI 1726-2012 refers to earthquake with a 2500 year return period in contrast to SNI 1726-2002 which is based on an earthquake with a 500-year return period. In SNI 1726-2012 the Indonesia territory was mapped based on differences in peak ground acceleration (PGA).

Various ways are used to reduce building damage due to the earthquake. One of them is by adding bracing system as lateral stiffeners. Steel braces can be used as lateral supports in static nonlinear earthquakes based on FEMA 356 and FEMA 440 [1]. Various braces can be used as lateral stiffeners such as the X type, V type, inverted V type and Knee type bracing. The X braces and Knee can reduce lateral forces more effective compared to other types of bracing system [2]. X-Bracing effectively also increases lateral stiffners and reduces displacement due to earthquake loads [3]. After the analysis of the structure with different types of structural systems, the displacement of the structure decreases after the application of bracing system [4].

In this study, an analysis was conducted between concrete buildings without X braces and after the addition of X braces by using static equivalent analysis based on SNI 1726-2012. Then a comparison is made between the displacements that occur to determine the effectiveness of using X braces on reinforced concrete structures.

1. Evaluating the effect of the earthquake on multi storied reinforced concrete structure before and after using X bracing system.

2. Evaluating the seismic effect like shear force, story drift, and displacement in reinforced concrete structure before and after using X bracing system.

3. Determine the effectiveness of using X bracing on reinforced concrete structures.

II. METHODS

This study uses the equivalent static analysis method based on SNI 1726-2012 using ETABS software. The analysis is performed by first calculating the weight of the structure as the basis of static equivalent analysis. Effective seismic structure weight must include all dead loads, live loads and other loads acting on the structure. The location and type of soil also need to be considered in the analysis process.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The structure model must be made in such a way as to determine the structural element force and the displacement of the structure from the applied load and all displacements imposed. The model must include the rigidity and strength of the elements that are significant to the distribution of forces and deformations in the structure and represent the distribution of mass and spatial rigidity in the entire structure [5].

The structure being reviewed is a five-story concrete structure as shown in Figure 1, located in Sukoharjo under moderate soil conditions. The structure is used as a school building. According to SNI 1726-2012 school building is included in the Risk Category IV.

Seismic variables are obtained from the Indonesian spectra design application by entering the location of the building and the appropriate soil conditions. Seismic variables are reviewed according to the location and type of soil as shown in Figure 2.





Figure 1. Structure Model without bracing

Seismic data for Sukoharjo locations under moderate soil conditions based on SNI 1726-2012 are as follows:

| PGA (g) | = | 0,378 |
|------------------------|---|-------|
| $S_{S}(g)$ | = | 0,784 |
| $S_{1}(g)$ | = | 0,327 |
| C _{RS} | = | 1,018 |
| C _R 1 | = | 0,868 |
| F _{PGA} | = | 1,122 |
| F_A | = | 1,186 |
| F_{V} | = | 1,746 |
| $P_{SA}(g)$ | = | 0,424 |
| $S_{MS}(g)$ | = | 0,930 |
| S _{M1} (g) | = | 0,571 |
| $S_{DS}(g)$ | = | 0,620 |
| $S_{D1}(g)$ | = | 0,381 |
| T ₀ (detik) | = | 0,123 |
| T _s (detik) | = | 0,614 |
| R | = | 8,0 |
| C _d | = | 3,0 |
| Ω_0 | = | 5,5 |
| Ie | = | 1,5 |



Figure 2. Indonesian Spectra Design Application (Puskim)

Calculation of the total horizontal base shears force due to the earthquake and its distribution throughout the height of the building. Fundamental period (Ta), in seconds, it must be determined from the following equation:

$$T_a = C_t x h_n^x (1)$$

Description:

- h_n : is the height of the structure, in (m), above the base to the highest level of the structure,
- C_t and x was determined from SNI 1726-2012 in Table 15

Seismic response coefficient (Cs) must be determined from the following equation:

Error! Reference source not found. (2)

Description :

- S_{DS}: acceleration parameters of the design response spectrum in the short period range
- R : response modification factor
- I_e: the priority factor of the earthquake

 C_s those calculated according to (2) may not exceed the following:

Error! Reference source not found. (3)

Description :

 S_{D1} : the acceleration parameter of the design response spectrum in a period of 1.0 seconds

By following (1) to (3), we will get a value of lateral earthquake force (Fx) and Story Shear (Vx) as shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1. LATERAL EARTHQUAKE FORCE (FX) AND STORY SHEAR (VX)

| Level | Weight Wi (kN) | Height hi (m) | Wi hik (kN.m) | Cvx | Fx (kN) | Vx (kN) |
|-------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------|------------|----------|
| LT 5 | 2,947.36 | 20 | 78,436.19 | 0.26 | 556.28 | 556.28 |
| LT 4 | 4,453.96 | 16 | 92,827.98 | 0.31 | 658.35 | 1,214.64 |
| LT 3 | 4,453.96 | 12 | 67,737.21 | 0.22 | 480.40 | 1,695.04 |
| LT 2 | 4,453.96 | 8 | 43,445.59 | 0.14 | 308.12 | 2,003.16 |
| LT 1 | 4,453.96 | 4 | 20,333.52 | 0.07 | 144.21 | 2,147.37 |
| | 20,763.19 | | 302,780.48 | | | 2,147.37 |

Placement of X bracing is made in such a way as to function as a lateral stiffener. X bracing is placed on the edge of the building in either X direction or Y direction as shown on Figure 3.

The condition of the structure with the addition of X bracing system also calculated as shown on Figure 4 and Table 2. We can see the difference between Fx and Vx values between structures without bracing and structures with X bracing.



Figure 3. Placement of Bresing on X Direction

TABLE 2. LATERAL EARTHQUAKE FORCE (FX) AND STORY SHEAR (VX) STRUCTURE WITH X BRACING

| Level | Weight Wi (kN) | Height hi (m) | Wi hik (kN.m) | Cvx | Fx (kN) | Vx (kN) |
|-------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------|------------|----------|
| LT 5 | 2,968.92 | 20 | 79,010.01 | 0.26 | 838.41 | 838.41 |
| LT 4 | 4,475.52 | 16 | 93,277.38 | 0.31 | 989.81 | 1,828.22 |
| LT 3 | 4,475.52 | 12 | 68,065.13 | 0.22 | 722.27 | 2,550.49 |
| LT 2 | 4,475.52 | 8 | 43,655.92 | 0.14 | 463.25 | 3,013.74 |
| LT 1 | 4,475.52 | 4 | 20,431.96 | 0.07 | 216.81 | 3,230.56 |
| | 20,871.00 | | 304,440.40 | | | 3,230.56 |

The analysis is continued by performing load combination to get the seismic effect such as shear force, story drift, and displacements in reinforced concrete structure before and after using X bracing system.

Shear force on each floor as shown in Figure 5. It can be seen that there is an increase in shear force before and after the addition of braces on each floor between 8-13%.



Figure 4. Structure Model with X bracing



Figure 5. Shear Force before and after addition of braces

Lateral shear force from the earthquake will cause floor displacement as shown in Figure 6. It appears that the displacement will decrease significantly with the addition of X bracing. The floor displacement before and after the addition of bracing X reduce evenly almost 50% as shown in the Table 3 and Figure 7.

TABLE 3. FLOOR DISPLACEMENET

| FLOOP | Displace | Derivation | |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| FLOOK | Without Bracing | Using X Bracing | % |
| STORY1 | 0.0214 | 0.0101 | 47.20 |
| STORY2 | 0.0466 | 0.0226 | 48.50 |
| STORY3 | 0.0680 | 0.0345 | 50.74 |
| STORY4 | 0.0832 | 0.0445 | 53.49 |
| STORY5 | 0.0905 | 0.0513 | 56.69 |

Story drift that occurs also decreases along with the reduction in diplacement after adding the X bracing. Story drift decrease on each floor between 46,94% - 92,59% as shown in the Table 4.



Figure 6. Displacement





. Figure 7. Floor Displacement

| FLOOR | Strory D | Derivation | |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| TLOOK | Without Bracing | Using X Bracing | % |
| STORY1 | 0.005364 | 0.002518 | 46.94 |
| STORY2 | 0.006297 | 0.003122 | 49.58 |
| STORY3 | 0.005337 | 0.002994 | 56.10 |
| STORY4 | 0.003804 | 0.002482 | 65.25 |
| STORY5 | 0.001848 | 0.001711 | 92.59 |

TABLE 4. STORY DRIFT

IV. CONCLUSION

After using X bracing the shear force on each floor was increase between 8-13%. Howe ever it appears that the displacement will decrease significantly with the addition of X bracing. The floor displacement before and after the addition of bracing X reduce evenly almost 50%. Similarly with the story drift in each floor. It decrease between 46,94% - 92,59% on each floor. Steel X bracing could be utilized for seismic lateral stiffeners on concrete reinforced structure. This study does clearly show the effect of steel X bracing to reduce the story drift in each floor.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank to the head of Veteran Bangun Nusantara University of Sukoharjo.

References

- Hendramawat A.Safarizki, S.A.Kristiawan, A.Basuki, "Evaluation of the Use of Steel Bracing to Improve Seismic Performance of Reinforced Concrete Building", The 2nd International Conference on Rehabilitation and Maintenance in Civil Engineering (ICRMCE), Procedia Engineering Volume 54, Pages 447-456 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2013.03.040, 2013.
- [2] Shinde Suyog Sudhakar, Galatage Abhijeet A, Kulkarni Sumant K, "Evaluating Seismic Efficiency of Combination of Bracing forSteel Building", International Journal of Advance Research, Ideas and Innovations in Technology, Volume3, Issue5, ISSN: 2454-132X, 2017.
- [3] S. Sabouri-Ghomi and P. Ebadi, "Concept improvement of behavior of X-Bracing systems by using Easy-Going Steel", The 14th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering October 12-17, Beijing, China, 2008
- [4] Sachin Dhiman, Mohammed Nauman Nazrul Islam, "Behaviour of Multistory Steel Structure with Different Types of Bracing Systems (A Software Approach)", International Refereed Journal of Engineering and Science (IRJES), Volume 4, Issue 1 (January), PP.70-82, 2015.
- [5] SNI 1726-2012, "Tata Cara Perencanaan Ketahanan Gempa Untuk Struktur Bangunan Gedung Dan Non Gedung", Badan Standardisasi Nasional, Jakarta, Indonesia, 2012.