Existence, Uniqueness for Stochastic forest Evolution

Gu yinlu Yinchan Energy College, Yinchuan750021 Zhang qimin Ningxia University, Yinchuan750021 Song zhenzhen Yinchan Energy College, Yinchuan 750021

Abstract—This paper introduces random perturbations into the established system of stochastic forest evolution, and studies the system of stochastic forest evolution in Hilbert space, at the same time, using Kolmogorov's inequality and Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality, analyzes the existence uniqueness of system of stochastic forest evolution.

Key words-Existence; uniqueness; stochastic forest evolution system; It $\stackrel{\circ}{o}$ equation

I. INTRODUCTION

There has been much recent interest in application of deterministic age-dependent mathematical models in population dynamics. Population system are often subject to environment noise[1,2].For example, Cushing[3], Henson and Cushing[4] investigate hierarchical age-dependent populations with intra-specific competition or predation. Allen and Thrasher[5] consider vaccination strategies in age-dependent populations. In addition, Pollard[8],Block and Allen[9]study the effects of adding stochastic terms to discrete-time age-dependent models that employ Leslie matrices.

Consider the following forest evolution system:

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -\mu(t,a)P + f(t,p), inQ = (0,A) \times (0,T),$$

$$P(0,a) = P_0(a), \quad in[0,A], \quad (1)$$

$$P(t,0) = \gamma(t)\beta(t)\int_0^A \beta(t,a)P(t,a)da, \quad in[0,T],$$

where P(t, a) is the age-area distribution density of forest. $\beta(t)$ is the ratio of reforested area to cut area $\gamma(t)$ is the reforestation percentage. By $\mu(t, a)$ is denoted the cut ratio. f(t, p) denotes affects external environment for system, it is a reduction of area because forest fires and denudation.

Suppose that $-\mu(t,a)P + f(t,p)$ is stochastically perturbed with

$$-\mu(t,a)P + f(t,p) \rightarrow -\mu(t,a)P + f(t,p) + g(t,P)\omega(t),$$

Here $\dot{\omega}(t)$ is white noise. Then this environmentally perturbed

system may be described by the *Ito* equation

$$\begin{cases} d_{t}P = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial a}dt - \mu(t,a)Pdt + f(t,p)dt + g(t,P)d\omega_{t}, & inQ = (0,A) \times (0,T) \\ P(0,a) = P_{0}(a), & in[0,A] & (2) \\ P(t,0) = \gamma(t)\beta(t)\int_{0}^{A}\beta(t,a)P(t,a)da, & in[0,T] \end{cases}$$

 $d_t P$ is the differential of P relative to t, i.e,

$d_t P = (\partial P / \partial t) dt$

A new stochastic differential equation model (2) a forest evolution dynamic system. is derived. It is an extension of Eq(1).

In this paper, we shall discussion the existence, uniqueness for a forest evolution dynamic system Eq.(2).

II. PRELIMINARIES

$$= H^{r}([0, A])$$

$$= \left\{ \varphi \mid \varphi \in L^{2}([0, A]), \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}} \in L^{2}([0, A]), \\ where \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}} \text{ is generalized partial derivatives} \right\}.$$

V is a Sobolev space. $H = L^2([0, A])$ such that $V \rightarrow H \equiv H \rightarrow V$.

V is the dual space of *V*. We denote by $\|.\|, \|.|$ and $\|.\|_*$ the norms in *V*, *H* and *V* respectively ;by $\langle ., . \rangle$ the duality product between *V*, *V*, and by (.,.) the scalar product in *H*, and *m* a constraint such that $m|x| \le m||x|| \quad \forall x \in V$.

Let ω_t be a Wiener process defined on complete probability space (Ω, F, P) , and taking its values in the separable Hilbert space K, with increment covariance operator W.Let $(F_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the σ - algebra generated by

 $\{\omega_s, 0 \le s \le t\}$, then ω_t is a martingale relative to $(F_t)_{t\ge 0}$ and we have the following representation of ω_t :

 $\omega_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i(t) e_i, \{e_i\}_{i \ge 1}$ is an orthonormal set of eigenvectors of *W*, $\beta_i(t)$ are mutually independent real Wiener processes with

incremental covariance $\lambda_i > 0$, $We_i = \lambda_i e_i$ and $\operatorname{tr} W = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i < \infty$

('tr' denotes the trace of an operator [13]). For an operator $B \in \Gamma(K, H)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators from

K into *H*, we denote by $||B||_2$ its Hilbert-Schmidt norm, i.e.

$$\left\|B\right\|_{2}^{2} = tr\left(BWB^{T}\right)$$

In this paper, ω_t is a real standard Wiener process. Let C = C([0,T], H) be the space of all continuous function from [0,T] into H with sup-norm $\|\psi\|_C = \sup_{0 \le s \le T} |\psi(s)|, L_V^P = L^P([0,T];V)$ and $L_H^P = L^P([0,T];H)$.

Consider the following nonlinear stochastic equation:

$$P_{t} = P_{0} - \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial P_{s}}{\partial \alpha} ds - \int_{0}^{t} \mu(s, a) P_{s} ds$$

+ $\int_{0}^{t} f(s, P_{s}) ds + \int_{0}^{t} g(s, P_{s}) d\omega_{s}, \qquad \forall t \in [0, T] \quad (*)$
$$P(t, 0) = \gamma(t) \beta(t) \int_{0}^{A} \beta(t, a) P_{t} da \qquad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

Where $P_t = P(t, a), P_0 = P(0, a).$

The objective in this paper is that, we hopefully find a unique process $P_i \in I^P(0,T;V) \cap L^2(\Omega; C(0,T;H))$, such that (*) hold.

For this objective, we assume the following conditions are satisfied: $\mu(t,a), \beta(t,a)$ are nonnegative measurable, and

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq \mu_0 \leq \mu(t,a) < \infty \quad in Q, \\ 0 \leq \beta(t) \leq \overline{\beta} < \infty \quad in Q, \\ 0 \leq \gamma(t) \leq \overline{\gamma} < \infty \quad in Q. \end{cases}$$

Let $f(t,\cdot): L^2_H \to H$ be a family operator's defined a.e.t. and satisfy:

(a.1) f(t,0) = 0;

(a.2) $\exists k_1 > 0$ such that

 $|f(t, y) - f(t, x)| \le k_1 ||y - x||_c, \forall x, y \in C, a.e.t.$

Let $g(t, :): L^2_H \to \Gamma(K, H)$, the family of nonlinear operator defined a.e.t., $g(t, x) \in \Gamma(K, H)$ and satisfy

(b.1) g(t,0) = 0;

(b.2) there exists $k_2 > 0$ such that

 $\|g(t, y) - g(t, x)\|_{2} \le k_{2} \|y - x\|_{c} \quad \forall x, y \in C, \quad a.e.t.$

f(t,v) and g(t,v) are Lebergue miserable $\forall v \in L^2_H$, satisfying following condition(H):

There exist constants $\alpha > 0, \xi > 0, \lambda \in R$, and a non-negative continuous function $\gamma(t), t \in R_+$, such that

$$2\langle f(t,v),v \rangle + \|g(t,v)\|_{2}^{2} \le -\alpha \|v\|^{2} + \lambda |v|^{2} + \gamma(t)e^{-\xi t}, \quad v \in V, \quad a.e.t.,$$

Where, for arbitrary $\delta > 0, \gamma(t)$ satisfies $\gamma(t) = o(e^{\xi t})$, as $t \to \infty$, *i.e.*, $\lim_{t \to \infty} \gamma(t) / e^{\xi t} = 0$

Remark:

Observe that, owing to continuity and sub exponential growth of the term, there exists a positive constant $\bar{\gamma}$ such that $\gamma(t)e^{\xi t} \leq \bar{\gamma}$ for all $t \in R^+$. As a consequence, (H) implies

$$2\langle f(t,v),v\rangle + \|g(t,v)\|_2^2 \leq -\alpha \|v\|^2 + \lambda |v|^2 + \gamma, \quad v \in V, \quad a.e.t.$$

III. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS

Uniqueness of solutions

A

Now we shall prove that there exists at most one solution

of (*). This result will be deduced mainly from *It o* formula.

Theorem 3.1 Assume the preceding hypotheses hold. Then exists at most one solution of (*) in $I^2(0,T;V) \cap L^2(\Omega;C(0,T;H))$.**Proof:** Suppose $P_{I_t}, P_{2t} \in I^2(0,T;V) \cap L^2(\Omega;C(0,T;H))$ are two

solutions of (*). Then, applying I_{to} formula to $|P_{1t} - P_{2t}|^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| P_{1t} - P_{2t} \right|^2 &= 2 \int_0^t \left\langle -\frac{\partial P_{1s}}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial P_{2s}}{\partial a} - \mu(s,a)(P_{1s} - P_{2s}), P_{1s} - P_{2s} \right\rangle ds \\ &+ 2 \int_0^t (f(s,P_{1s}) - f(s,P_{2s}), P_{1s} - P_{2s}) ds \\ &+ 2 \int_0^t (P_{1s} - P_{2s}, (g(s,P_{1s}) - g(s,P_{2s})) d\omega_s) \\ &+ \int_0^t \left\| g(s,P_{1s}) - g(s,P_{2s}) \right\|_2^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get that

$$\begin{split} |P_{l_{1}} - P_{2_{1}}|^{2} &\leq A(\overline{\gamma}\overline{\beta}\overline{\mu})^{2} \int_{0}^{t} |P_{l_{5}} - P_{2_{5}}|^{2} ds \\ &+ 2\int_{0}^{t} |P_{l_{5}} - P_{2_{5}}| |f(s, P_{l_{5}}) - f(s, P_{2_{5}})| ds - 2\mu_{0} \int_{0}^{t} |P_{l_{5}} - P_{2_{5}}|^{2} ds \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} ||g(s, P_{l_{5}}) - g(s, P_{2_{5}})||_{c}^{2} ds + 2\int_{0}^{t} (P_{l_{5}} - P_{2_{5}})(g(s, P_{l_{5}}) - g(s, P_{2_{5}}))) d\omega_{s}. \end{split}$$

Now, it follows from (a.2) and (b.2) that for any $t \in [0, t]$

$$E \sup_{0 \le s \le t} |P_{1s} - P_{2s}|^{2} \le \left(\left| A(\overline{\gamma \beta \mu})^{2} - 2\mu_{0} \right| + 1 \right) \int_{0}^{t} E |P_{1s} - P_{2s}|^{2} ds + \left(k_{1}^{2} + k_{2}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{t} E ||P_{1s} - P_{2s}||_{C}^{2} ds \quad (3)$$

 $+2E\sup_{0\leq r}\int_{0}^{3}(P_{1r}-P_{2r},(g(r,P_{1r})-g(r,P_{2r}))d\omega_{r}).$

However, by Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality, K > 0 we have

$$E \sup_{0 \le x \le t} |P_{1x} - P_{2x}|^{2}$$

$$\le 2(|A(\overline{\gamma \beta \mu})^{2} - 2\mu_{0}| + 1 + k_{1}^{2} + k_{2}^{2} + 2Kk_{2}^{2})$$

$$\times \int_{0}^{t} E \sup_{0 \le x \le t} |P_{1r} - P_{2r}|^{2} ds, \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Now, Gronwall's lemma obviously implies uniqueness.

B Existence of strong solutions

In order to prove the existence of solution for Eq.(*), we shall first prove the following lemmas.

We consider the equations

$$\begin{split} P_{t}^{i} &= P_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} [-\frac{\partial P^{i}}{\partial a} - \frac{A(\overline{\gamma \beta \mu})^{2}}{2} P_{s}^{i}] ds, t \in [0, T], \\ 2E \int_{0}^{t} \Big| f(P_{s}^{n}) - f(P_{s}^{n-1}) \Big| \Big| P_{s}^{n+1} - P_{s}^{n} \Big| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4T} E \int_{0}^{t} \Big\| P_{s}^{n+1} - P_{s}^{n} \Big| ds \Big| + 4k_{1}^{2} T E \int_{0}^{t} \Big\| P_{s}^{n} - P_{s}^{n-1} \Big\|_{C}^{2} ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} E \Big[\sup_{0 \le r \le t} \Big| P_{r}^{n+1} - P_{r}^{n} \Big| \Big] + 4k_{1}^{2} T \int_{0}^{t} E \Big[\sup_{0 \le r \le t} \Big| P_{r}^{n} - P_{r}^{n-1} \Big|^{2} \Big] ds. \end{split}$$

$$P^{1}(t,0) = \gamma(t)\beta(t)\int_{0}^{A}\beta(t,a)P_{t}^{1}da, t \in [0,T],$$
(4)

$$P_{t}^{n+1} = P_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[-\frac{\partial P_{s}^{n+1}}{\partial a} - \frac{A(\gamma \beta \mu)^{2}}{2} P_{s}^{n+1} \right] ds + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{A(\gamma \beta \mu)^{2}}{2} P_{s}^{n} ds - \int_{0}^{t} \mu(s,a) P_{s}^{n} ds \quad (5)$$
$$+ \int_{0}^{t} f(s, P_{s}^{n}) ds + \int_{0}^{t} g(s, P_{s}^{n}) d\omega_{s}, t \in [0, T], \quad \forall n \ge 1,$$
$$P^{n+1}(t, 0) = \gamma(t) \beta(t) \int_{0}^{A} \mu(t, a) P_{t}^{n+1} da, t \in [0, T], \forall n \ge 1. \quad (6)$$

Lemma 3.1 $\{P_t^n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\Omega; C(0,T;H))$. **Proof:** For n > 1 and the process $P_t^{n+1} - P_t^n$, it following from

$$\begin{split} I_{tO} \stackrel{\wedge}{s} \quad \text{formula:} \\ \left| F_{t}^{p+1} - F_{t}^{p} \right|^{2} &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left\langle -\frac{\partial F_{s}^{p+1}}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial F_{s}^{p}}{\partial a}, F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p} \right\rangle ds - 2 \int_{0}^{t} (\mathcal{U}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p} - F_{s}^{p+1}), F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p}) ds \\ &- A(\overline{p}\overline{p}\overline{p})^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \left| F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p} \right|^{2} ds + A(\overline{p}\overline{p}\overline{p})^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \left(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p}, F_{s}^{p} - F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p} - F_{s}^{p+1}) - F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) - F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) - F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) - F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) - F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) - F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) - F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) - F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) - F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) - F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) - F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) - F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) - F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) - F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) - F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) + F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) + F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) + F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) + F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) + F_{s}^{p+1} \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} - F_{s}^{p+1}) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{L}(s,a)(F_{s}^{p+1} -$$

 $+2\int_{0}^{t}(f(P_{s}^{n})-f(P_{s}^{n-1}),P_{s}^{n-1}-P_{s}^{n})ds+2\int_{0}^{t}(P_{s}^{n-1}-P_{s}^{n},(g(P_{s}^{n})-g(P_{s}^{n-1}))d\omega_{s})+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|g(P_{s}^{n})-g(P_{s}^{n-1})\right\|_{2}^{t}ds,$ Where, by definition, $P_{t}^{n}:=P^{n}(t,a), f(P_{t}^{n}):=f(t,P_{t}^{n})$

and
$$g(P_t^n) := g(t, P_t^n)$$

 $|P_t^{n+1} - P_t^n|^2$
 $\leq \left| A(\overline{\gamma \beta \mu})^2 - 2\mu_0 \left| \int_0^t |P_s^{n+1} - P_s^n| \left| n_s - P_s^{n-1} \right| ds + 2 \left| \int_0^t (P_s^{n+1} - P^n, (g(P_s^n) - g(P_s^{n-1})) d\omega_s \right|$ (9)

 $+2\int_{0}^{t} |f(P_{s}^{n})-f(P_{s}^{n-1})| |P_{s}^{n+1}-P_{s}^{n}| ds + \int_{0}^{t} ||g(P_{s}^{n})-g(P_{s}^{n-1})||_{2}^{2} ds$

It is easy to deduce. Consequently, (9) yields $E[\sup_{0 \le \theta \le t} | P_{\theta}^{n+1} - P_{\theta}^{n} |^{2}]$ $\leq |A(\overline{\gamma \beta \mu})^{2} - 2\mu_{0} | E \int_{0}^{t} | P_{s}^{n+1} - P_{s}^{n} | | P_{s}^{n} - P_{s}^{n-1} | ds$ $+ 2E[\sup_{0 \le \theta \le t} | \int_{0}^{\theta} (P_{s}^{n+1} - P_{s}^{n}, (g(P_{s}^{n}) - g(P_{s}^{n-1})) d\omega_{s}) |$

 $+2E \int_{0}^{t} |f(P_{s}^{n}) - f(P_{s}^{n-1})||P_{s}^{n+1} - P_{s}^{n}| ds + E \int_{0}^{t} ||g(P_{s}^{n}) - g(P_{s}^{n-1})||_{2}^{2} ds].$ On the other hand, we can get from (b.2)

$$E\int_{0}^{t} \|g(P_{s}^{n}) - g(P_{s}^{n-1})\|_{2}^{2} ds \le k_{2}^{2} E\int_{0}^{t} \sup_{0 \le r \le s} |P_{r}^{n} - P_{r}^{n-1}|^{2} ds.$$
(12)

In a similar manner, from (a.2) we can obtain

$$2E\int_{0}^{t} |f(P_{s}^{n}) - f(P_{s}^{n-1})| |P_{s}^{n+1} - P_{s}^{n}| ds$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4T} E\int_{0}^{t} ||P_{s}^{n+1} - P_{s}^{n}| ds| + 4k_{1}^{2}TE\int_{0}^{t} ||P_{s}^{n} - P_{s}^{n-1}||_{C}^{2} ds$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} E\left[\sup_{0 \le r \le t} |P_{r}^{n+1} - P_{r}^{n}|\right] + 4k_{1}^{2}T\int_{0}^{t} E\left[\sup_{0 \le r \le s} |P_{r}^{n} - P_{r}^{n-1}|^{2}\right] ds.$$

Now, Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality implies

$$2E\left[\sup_{0 \le r \le t} \left| \int_{0}^{r} (P_{s}^{n+1} - P_{s}^{n}, (g(P_{s}^{n}) - g(P_{s}^{n-1}))) d\omega_{s} \right| \right]$$

$$\leq 6E\left[(\sup_{0 \le r \le t} \left| P_{r}^{n+1} - P_{r}^{n} \right|^{2}) \int_{0}^{t} \left\| g(P_{s}^{n}) - g(P_{s}^{n-1}) \right\|_{2}^{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} E\left[\sup_{0 \le r \le t} \left| P_{r}^{n+1} - P_{r}^{n} \right|^{2} \right] + 72k_{2}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} E\left[\sup_{0 \le r \le t} \left| P_{r}^{n} - P_{r}^{n-1} \right|^{2} \right] ds. \quad (13)$$

If we set

 $\varphi^{n}(t) = E\left[\sup_{0 \le \theta \le t} \left| P_{\theta}^{n+1} - P_{\theta}^{n} \right|^{2} \right], \qquad (14)$

Then from (10)-(13), it could be deduced that there exists a positive constant c > 0 such that

$$\varphi^{n}(t) \leq \frac{3}{4}\varphi^{n}(t) + c \int_{0}^{t} \varphi^{n-1}(s) ds$$
(15)

consequently there exists k > 0 such that

$$\varphi^{n}(t) \leq k \int_{0}^{t} \varphi^{n-1}(s) ds.$$
(16)

By iteration from (16), we get

$$\varphi^{n}(t) \leq \frac{K^{n-1}T^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\varphi^{1}(T) \quad \forall n > 1 \quad \forall t \in [0,T].$$
(17)

Therefore

$$E\left[\sup_{0\le\theta\le T} \left|P_{\theta}^{n+1} - P_{\theta}^{n}\right|^{2}\right] \le \frac{K^{n-1}T^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\varphi^{1}(T) \quad \forall n > 1.$$
(18)

Obviously, (18) implies that $\{P_t^n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence $L^2(\Omega; C(0,T;H)).$

Lemma 3.2 The sequence $\{P_t^n\}$ is bounded in $I^2(0,T;V)$.

Proof: Indeed, applying $I_{to s}$ formula to $|P_{t}^{n}|^{2}$ with $n \ge 2$ immediately yields

$$E | P^{n}(T) |^{2} = 2E \int_{0}^{T} \langle -\frac{\partial P_{s}^{n}}{\partial a}, P_{s}^{n} \rangle ds - 2 \int_{0}^{T} (\mu(s, a) P_{s}^{n-1}, P_{s}^{n}) ds - A(\overline{\gamma}\overline{\beta}\overline{\mu})^{2} E \int_{0}^{T} | P_{s}^{n} |^{2} ds + E | P_{0} |^{2} + 2E \int_{0}^{T} (f(P_{s}^{n-1}), P_{s}^{n}) ds$$
(19)
$$+ A(\overline{\gamma}\overline{\beta}\overline{\mu})^{2} E \int_{0}^{T} (P_{s}^{n}, P_{s}^{n-1}) ds - 2E \int_{0}^{T} (f(P_{s}^{n-1}), P_{s}^{n-1}) ds + 2E \int_{0}^{T} (f(P_{s}^{n-1}), P_{s}^{n-1}) ds + E \int_{0}^{T} || g(P_{s}^{n-1}) ||_{2}^{2} ds.$$

Since $\{P^n\}$ is convergent in $L^2(\Omega; C(0,T;H))$, it will be bounded in this space. Now, it is not difficult to check that there exists positive constant k' > 0. We will estimate one of those terms. First, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &2E\int_{0}^{T} \left| f\left(P_{s}^{n-1}\right) \left| \left(P_{s}^{n} \right| + \left| P_{s}^{n-1} \right| \right) ds \\ &\leq 2k_{1}E\int_{0}^{T} \left\| P_{s}^{n-1} \right\|_{C} \left(\left| P_{s}^{n} \right| + \left| P_{s}^{n-1} \right| \right) ds \\ &\leq k_{1}E\int_{0}^{T} \left[\left\| P_{s}^{n-1} \right\|_{C}^{2} + \left(\left| P_{s}^{n} \right| + \left| P_{s}^{n-1} \right| \right)^{2} \right] ds \\ &\leq Tk_{1}E\left(\sup_{0 \leq \theta \leq T} \left| P_{\theta}^{n-1} \right|^{2} \right) + 2k_{1}T \left[E\left(\sup_{0 \leq \theta \leq T} \left| P_{\theta}^{n} \right|^{2} \right) + E\left(\sup_{0 \leq \theta \leq T} \left| P_{\theta}^{n-1} \right|^{2} \right) \right] \\ &= Tk_{1} \left\| P_{t}^{n-1} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega; C(0,T;H))} + 2k_{1}T \left[\left\| P_{t}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega; C(0,T;H))} + \left\| P_{t}^{n-1} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega; C(0,T;H))} \right], \end{aligned}$$
 Which, in addition to (H), lead to the following inequality $\alpha \int_{0}^{T} E \left\| P_{s}^{n-1} \right\|^{2} ds \leq -2E \int_{0}^{T} (f\left(P_{s}^{n-1}\right), P_{s}^{n-1}) ds - E \int_{0}^{T} \left\| g\left(P_{s}^{n-1}\right) \right\|_{2}^{2} ds \end{aligned}$

$$+ |\lambda| T ||P_t^{n-1}||_{L^2(\Omega; C(0,T;H))}^2 + \int_0^T \gamma(s) e^{-\xi s} ds.$$

Since $\{P^n\}$ is convergent in $L^2(\Omega; C(0,T;H))$. Therefore, there

exist a constant k' such that $\int_0^T E \|P_s^{n-1}\|^2 ds \le k'$

Lemma 3.2 is proved.

Theorem 3.2 Assume the preceding hypotheses and $A(\overline{\gamma}\overline{\beta}\overline{\mu})^2 = 0$ hold. Then, there exist a unique process $P_i \in I^2(0,T;V) \cap L^2(\Omega;C(0,T;H))$ such that

$$P_{t} = P_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[\frac{\partial P_{s}}{\partial a} + f_{1}(s) \right] ds + M(t), P \quad a.s. \quad \forall t \in [0,T],$$

Where $f_1 \in I^2(0,T;V)$, $P_0 \in L^2(\Omega, F_0, P;H)$ and M_i is an H-valued continuous, square integriable F_i – martingale. In addition, the following energy equality also holds:

$$|P_t|^2 = |P_0|^2 + 2\int_0^t \left\langle \frac{\partial P_s}{\partial a}, P_s \right\rangle ds + 2\int_0^t (f_1(s), P_s) ds + 2\int_0^t (P_s, dM_s) + tr \left\langle \langle M \rangle \right\rangle_t, \quad P \text{ as. } \forall t \in [0,T],$$

$$\left\langle \langle M \rangle \right\rangle_t \text{ denotes the quadratic variation of } M_t.$$

Proof: See Metiver and Pellaumail [14].

(10)

Now we are in a position to prove the existence of solution to the problem (*).

Theorem 3.3 Assume (a.1)-(a.2), (b.1)-(b.2), and (H) hold, $P_0 \in I^2(0,T;V) \cap L^2(\Omega C(0,T;H))$, there exists a unique solution of the problem (*) in $g(P_t^n) \to g(P_t)(in L^2(\Omega; L^{\infty}(0,T;\Gamma(K,H))))$.

Proof: Uniqueness hold from Theorem 3.1.

By virtue of (a.1), the family $A_1(t,g): V \to V'$ defined

as $A_1(t, P_t) = -\frac{\partial P_t}{\partial a} - \frac{A(\overline{\gamma}\overline{\beta}\overline{\mu})^2}{2}P_t$, satisfies the assumptions in Theorem 2.2. Concentration (5) (7) has a unique solution

Theorem 3.2. Consequently, (5)-(7) has a unique solution $P_t^1 \in I^2(0,T;V) \cap L^2(\Omega;C(0,T;H)).$

We note that from (a.2) and (b.2), it follows:

(i) The mapping $(t, \omega) \in (0,T) \times \Omega$ a $f(t, P_t^1) \in H$ belongs to $I^2(0,T;H)$;

(ii) The mapping $(t, \omega) \in (0, T) \times \Omega$ a $g(t, P_t^1) \in \Gamma(K, H)$ belongs to the space $I^2(0, T; \Gamma(K, H))$ and therefore

 $\int_{0}^{T} g(t, P_{s}^{1}) d\omega_{s}$ is a continuous and square integrals

 F_1 – martingale.

Consequently, we can use Theorem 3.2 and get that there exist a unique process

 $P_t^1 \in I^2(0,T;V) \cap L^2(\Omega;C(0,T;H))$, which is the solution of (5)-(7) for n=1. By recurrence, we obtain a sequence of solutions for (5)-(7), $\{P_t^n\}_{n\geq 1} \subset I^2(0,T;V) \cap L^2(\Omega;C(0,T;H))$.

Now we want to prove that the sequence $\{P_t^n\}$ is

convergent to a process P_t in $I^2(0,T;V) \cap L^2(\Omega;C(0,T;H))$.

which will be the solution of (*).

First, we observe that Lemma 3.1implies that there exists in $P_t \in L^2(\Omega; C(0,T;H))$ such that $P_t^n \to P_t$ in $L^2(\Omega; C(0,T;H))$. Since (a.2) and (b.2), Have $f(P_t^n) \to f(P_t)$ (*int* $L^2(\Omega; L^\infty(0,T;H)))$, and $g(P_t^n) \to g(P_t)$ (*int* $L^2(\Omega; L^\infty(0,T;\Gamma(K,H)))).$

Let
$$_{DP_{t}} = \frac{\partial P_{t}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial P_{t}}{\partial a}$$
.
So $DP_{t}^{n} = -\frac{A(\overline{\gamma}\overline{\beta}\overline{\mu})^{2}}{2}P_{t}^{n}dt - \mu(t,a)P_{t}^{n-1}dt + \frac{A(\overline{\gamma}\overline{\beta}\overline{\mu})^{2}}{2}P_{t}^{n-1}dt$

+ $f(t, P_t^{n-1})dt + g(t, P_t^{n-1})d\omega_t$. By preceding analysis, we easily obtain that $\|DP_t^n\|_{u^*} \le M \le \infty$.

On the other hand, by virtue of Lemma 3.2 $\{P_i^n\}$ has a

subsequence which is weakly convergent in $I^2(0,T;V)$. But, since $P_t^n \to P_t$ in $L^2(\Omega; C(0,T;H))$, we can assure that $P_t^n \to P_t$ weakly in $I^2(0,T;V)$ (in the sequel, we will denote $P_t^n \to P_t$ in $I^2(0,T;V)$). In conclusion, we have proved $P^{n} \to P \quad in L^{2}(\Omega; C(0,T;H)), \tag{21}$

 $f(P_t^n) \to f(P_t) \quad in \, L^2(\Omega; L^\infty(0, T; H)), \tag{22}$

 $g(P_t^n) \to g(P_t) \quad in L^2(\Omega; L^{\infty}(0, T; \Gamma(K, H))), \tag{23}$

 $P_t^n \to P_t \quad in \ I^2(0,T;V), \tag{24}$

 $DP_{t}^{n} \rightarrow h \quad in L^{2}(\Omega \times (0,T);V')$

Since the differential operator is continuous, so DP = h.

Theorem 3.3 is completed.

REFERENCES

- Y.Kifer, Principal eigenvalues, topological pressure, and stochastic stability of equilibrium states, Israelian Journal of Mathematics 70(1990)1-47.
- [2] K.Ramanan, O.Zeitouni, The quasi-stationary distribution for small randon perturbations of certain one-dimensional maps, Stochastic Processing Applications86 (1999)25-51.
- [3] J.M.Cushing, The dynamics of hierarchical age-structures populations, Journal of Mathematical Biology 32(1994)705-729.
- [4] S.M. Henson, J.M. Cushing, Hierarchical models of intra-specific competition: scramble versus contest, Journal of Mathematical Biology 34(1996) 755-772.
- [5] L.J.S. Allen, D.B. Thrasher, The effects of vaccination in an agedependent model for vermicelli and herpes zoster, IEEE Transations ,on Automatic Control 43(1998) 779-789.
- [6] J.M. Cushin, An Introduction to Structured Population Dynamics, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1998.
- [7] G.F.Webb, Theory of Nonlinear Age-dependent Population Dynamics, Marcell Dekker, New York, 1985.
- [8] J.H. Pollard, On the use of the direct matrix product in analyzing certain stochastic population model, Biometrika 53(1966) 397-415.
- [9] G.L. Block, L.J.S. Allen, Population extinction and quasi-stationary behavior in stochastic density –dependent structured models, Bulletin of Mathematical Biology 62(2000)199-228.
- [10] S. Anita, Analysis and control of age-dependent population dynamics, Kluwer Academic p-ublishers, Netherlands, 2000.
- [11] T. Caraballo, Existence and uniqueness of solution for non-linear stochastic partial differenti-al equations, Collection of Mathematics 42(1)(1991)51-74.
- [12] X.R. Mao, Exponential Stability of Stochastic Differential Equations, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1994.
- [13] E. Pardoux, Equations aux Derivees Partiells Stochastiques Nonlineaires Monotones, Thesis, Universite Paris Sud,1975.
- [14] M. Metiver, J. Pellaumail, Stochastic Integration, Academic Press, New York, 1980.