









## VIII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, EMO based optimizations have been investigated in order to increase the dynamic performance of Stewart platforms. Three indices, including the dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian matrix condition number, minimum natural frequency in the workspace and local dynamic isotropy index at typical configurations, are introduced as objective functions in multi-objective optimal design.

Two classical Pareto-dominance based EMO algorithms including NSGA-II and MOPSO-CD are adopted to search for the Pareto-optimal frontier of our MOP. Performances of both algorithms are compared by defined coverage and spacing metrics. Results show that NSGA-II and MOPSO-CD obtain two incomparable solution sets. However, MOPSO-CD gets a better spacing performance and, moreover, saves computational time by at least an hour in 2000 computational generations. By synthetically considering, MOPSO-CD algorithm may be more competitive in solving our problem and the results are valuable in designing a Stewart platform for well dynamic performance.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. J. Lou, G. F. Liu and Z. X. Li, "Optimal Design of Parallel Manipulators via LMI Approach," Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA' 03), IEEE Press, Sept. 2003, pp. 1869-1874, doi: 10.1109/ROBOT.2003.1241867.
- [2] J. P. Merlet and D. Daney, "Appropriate Design of Parallel Manipulators," Smart Devices and Machines for Advanced Manufacturing, Springer, London, 2008, pp. 1-25, doi: 10.1007/978-1-84800-147-3\_1.
- [3] S. Bhattacharya, H. Hatwal and A. Ghosh, "On the Optimum Design of Stewart Platform Type Parallel Manipulators," Robotica, vol. 13, Mar. 1995, pp. 133-140, doi: 10.1017/S026357470001763X.
- [4] F. Gao, F. Guy and W. A. Gruver, "Criteria Based Analysis and Design of Three-degree-of-freedom Planar Robotic Manipulators," Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA'97), IEEE Press, Apr. 1997, pp. 468-473, doi: 10.1109/ROBOT.1997.620081.
- [5] K. Miller, "Optimal Design and Modeling of Spatial Parallel Manipulators," The International Journal of Robotics Research, vol. 23, Feb. 2004, pp. 127-140, doi: 10.1177/0278364904041322.
- [6] X. J. Liu, "Optimal Kinematic Design of a Three Translational DoFs Parallel Manipulator," Robotica, vol. 24, Apr. 2006, pp. 239-250, doi:10.1017/S0263574705002079.
- [7] C. Gosselin, "Kinematic Analysis Optimization and Programming of Parallel Robotic Manipulators," PhD Thesis, McGill University, Montreal, Canada, 1988.
- [8] G. Nawratil, "New performance indices for 6-dof UPS and 3-dof RPR parallel manipulators," Mechanism and Machine Theory, vol. 44, Jan. 2009, pp. 208-221, doi: 10.1016/j.mechmachtheory.2008.02.004.
- [9] H. Z. Jiang, H. J. Feng and Z. Z. Tong, "Characteristics analysis of joint space inverse mass matrix for the optimal design of a 6-DOF parallel manipulator," Mechanism and Machine Theory, vol. 45, May. 2010, pp.722-739, doi: 10.1016/j.mechmachtheory.2009.12.003.
- [10] W. Wang, H. Y. Yang and J. Zou, "Optimal design of Stewart platforms based on expanding the control bandwidth while considering the hydraulic system design," Journal of Zhejiang University Science A, vol. 10, Jan. 2009, pp. 1862-1775, doi: 10.1631/jzus.A0820329.
- [11] F. Hao and J. P. Merlet, "Multi-criteria Optimal Design of Parallel Manipulators Based on Interval Analysis," Mechanism and Machine Theory, vol. 40, Feb. 2005, pp. 157-171, doi: 10.1016/j.mechmachtheory.2004.07.002.
- [12] Z. Gao, D. Zhang and Y. J. Ge, "Design Optimization of a Spatial Six Degree-of-freedom Parallel Manipulator Based on Artificial Intelligence Approaches," Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing, vol. 26, Apr. 2010, pp. 180-189, doi: 10.1016/j.rcim.2009.07.002.
- [13] P. Mukherjee, B. Dasgupta and A. K. Mallik, "Dynamic Stability Index and Vibration Analysis of a Flexible Stewart Platform," Journal of sound and vibration, vol. 307, Nov. 2007, pp. 495-512, doi: 10.1016/j.jsv.2007.05.036.
- [14] J. K. Slisbury and J. J. Craig, "Articulated hands: force control and kinematic issues," International Journal of Robotics Research, vol. 1, Mar. 1982, pp. 4-17, doi: 10.1177/027836498200100102.
- [15] G. Pond and J. A. Carretero, "Formulating Jacobian matrices for the dexterity analysis of parallel manipulators," Mechanism and Machine Theory, vol. 41, Dec. 2006, pp. 1505-1519, doi: 10.1016/j.mechmachtheory.2006.01.003.
- [16] S. G. Kim and J. Ryu, "New Dimensionally Homogeneous Jacobian Matrix Formulation by Three End-effector Points for Optimal Design of Parallel Manipulators," IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation, vol. 19, Aug. 2003, pp. 731-736, doi: 10.1109/TRA.2003.814496.
- [17] K. Deb, A. Pratap and S. Agarwal, "A Fast Elitist Multiobjective Genetic Algorithm: NSGA-II," IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, vol. 6, Apr. 2002, pp.182-197, doi: 10.1109/4235.996017.
- [18] C. R. Raquel and P. C. Naval Jr, "An Effective Use of Crowding Distance in Multiobjective Particle Swarm Optimization," Proceeding of Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference (GECCO'05), ACM press, June, 2005, pp. 257-264, doi: 10.1145/1068009.1068047.
- [19] E. Zitzler, M. Laumanns and L. Thiele, "SPEA2: Improving the strength pareto evolutionary algorithm," TIK-Report 103, May. 2001, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology.