

# Political Kinship Networking in Bantenese Local Election

Suranto, Tunjung Sulaksono, Awang Darumurti, Titin Purwaningsih

Department of Government Science  
Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta University  
Yogyakarta, Indonesia  
suranto@umy.ac.id

**Abstract**—The phenomenon of the proliferation of political practices of kinship networking in Indonesia in the reform era has attracted the authors to conduct the research. It, theoretically, has the potential to endanger democracy due to the different treatment for competing political actors' opportunities, as well as undermine the party's internal mechanisms in recruiting candidates for public officials. The location of this research is Banten Province, where the phenomenon of kinship is very massive happened. The aims of the research are to explore: (1) the history of political dynastic involvement in Local Elections, (2) the factors drive the political dynasty actors to enter the political sphere; (3) the background of the political dynasties involved in the Local Election; (4) the function of political party recruitment in the Local Election, and (5) the instruments are utilized by political dynasty's candidates in the Local Election. The type of research is qualitative, using FGD, interview, and documentation study for collecting data, which will be analyzed using the triangulation method. The results show that democratization and decentralization policy have been the key point for the actors of political kinship network to involve. In addition, The mastery of the economic base became the main driver of the dynastic political actors, so that most of the actors were entrepreneurs. Meanwhile the functions of the party in recruitment are ineffective. Finally, the instruments frequently used by the political kinship network actors are money politics, kinship networks, and the use of mass organizations as a means of voters mobilization

**Keywords**—political kinship network; local election; Banten

## I. INTRODUCTION

Elections are often positioned as the main precondition of democracy due to its close relationship. Obviously, there is no democratic country that does not run elections on a regular basis. Elections become links that help to realize the idea of Government of the people, by the people and for the people as the normative meaning of democracy. Not just as an arena to express the freedom of the people in electing their leaders, elections are also a mechanism to appreciate the performance of leaders. Through elections, voters are able to assess whether the elected government and or elected representative institutions will be deserved to be re-elected or even need to be replaced due to their lack of capacity to execute the mandate of the people. No wonder if elections occupy a central position in a democratic order.

Unfortunately, in many consolidating democracies elections are often hijacked and used as instruments of personal and/or group interests. One of the phenomena that is often considered to plow democracy is the existence of dynastic politics or kinship network. If the former pure political dynasty depended particularly on the status of nobility and politics, then the recent political dynasty plays over the arena of democracy. It proved that the dynasty was able to fight in any political weather. Political dynasty actors will continue to play in any political system because their glue is access to state resources. Dynasties can live in both authoritarian and democratic systems (Muhtadi, 2013).

Many political dynasties and kinship networks in Indonesia use the election to rule, as is the case in Banten and South Sulawesi. The facts above show that the political network of kinship will not be destroyed only because of legal regulation. Sociological and historical factors become important, especially since there will be other networks ready to replace the dynastic outcast. One thing that can guarantee a fair competition in politics (or business) is if the bureaucracy that guards the political selection process (or economy) is professional, transparent and accountable (Vishnu, 2013).

Pablo Querubin (2011: 2) defines the political dynasty as 'a form of long elite mastery when a family or some monopolizes political power. Instead, he linked political dynasties in the Philippines in the framework of democracy, and so he criticized the policy of job restrictions that still had a gap for the political dynasty to remain in power. Querubin also attributed the continuity of political dynasties in the Philippines to the effects of incumbency. Various politically motivated killings in the Philippines witness how the political dynasty used various means to perpetuate its power by utilizing the existence of elections. Family descendants of politicians generally believe that public office is a birthright and is inherited (Tuazon, 2013). But in many countries, the political dynasty is legalized through the election process.

## II. METHOD

The object of this research is the practice of governance and elections in Banten Province. The type of research is qualitative using data collection techniques through interviews, observation and Focus Group Discussion in addition to documentation. While the data analysis technique used is triangulation. Triangulation refers to the use of more than one approach to the investigation of a research question in order to enhance confidence in the ensuing findings. Since much social research is founded on the use of a single research method and as such may suffer from limitations associated with that method or from the specific application of it, triangulation offers the prospect of enhanced confidence.

## III. FINDING AND DISCUSSION

### A. *The History of Political Kinship in Banten's Local Election Context*

Democratization practice in Indonesia in the context of Central-Regional Devolution policy after post-New Order Era has two contradictory consequences. On one hand, decentralization process has relatively succeeded in bringing common goodness, such as public services quality improvement, proportional finance relationship between local and central government, massive infrastructure development and the emergence of innovative policy of governance. But on the other hand, there are also some negative impacts, which one of them is power abuse in form of corruption conducted by local head which are directly elected by society through Local Election. Many public figures, both from executive and legislative institution have been arrested due to their power abuse.

The new Local Election regulation has generated some new problems. One of the significant case is the emergence of *local strongman* who became stowaway people (Migdal, 1988). Consequently, elections has led to pessimism for many scholars that the deepening democracy and enhancement of civic engagement through elections may just be theoretically effective due to the destructive ways to the political order to gain or retain power

The local strongman phenomenon does not only arise in the process of democratization in Indonesia. More similar symptoms occur in Thailand. McVey in his study shows that local bosses have become part of Thai political life. Local strongmen phenomenon is not really a new item in Thailand. However, their existence strengthened by democratization in around 1973. Local strongman in Thailand can be recognized at least through their monopolistic nature in almost all economic activities. They are also indicated to be actively involved in illegal business such as narcotics trade, gambling, and smuggling. They are respected for their ability to control the community through its coercive apparatus in the region (McVey, 2000).

In Camaçari, Brazil, democratization encourages the emergence of clientelism among the economic and political elites, thus fueling acute ungovernability. The effect is very real. Regional finances are robbed by the economic and political elite in both the executive and the legislature. They manipulate the policymaking body to produce political decisions that benefit him and his cronies. The impact is not a bit of an economic boss and local strongman who later became a formal figure (McVey, 2000).

But the local strongman phenomenon in Indonesia has a unique side when compared to in other countries. Local Strongman in Indonesia is greedy and self-enlarging. Although both strengthened its existence after the wave of democratization, but these powerful local people were not satisfied when they managed to gain political power for themselves. From time to time they seek to expand their influence and power by shaping a dynastic politics or political network of kinship by placing members of their family in public positions available and widely accessible through direct elections after the fall of the authoritarian and centralized political system.

One of the most powerful political dynasties that should be used as a reference when discussing dynastic politics or kinship politics in Indonesia is the Chasan Sochib family in Banten. This dynasty or kinship network is considered special in Bantanese politics after the New Order because it succeeded in placing a number of family members occupying important positions in the executive and legislative spheres both at the central and regional levels. The imprisonment of the two key figures in this family, Ratu Atut and his daughter Tubagus Chaeri Wardana (Wawan) who had been the key actors of the dynastic actions of Banten politics and economics did not necessarily undermine this dynasty. Noted dynasties are still able to get some victory in the election after both of them imprisoned. Andika, Atut's son, even managed to establish himself as the second person in Banten after his partner won the 2017 election against Rano Karno-Embay Mulya Syarif pair. Embay himself is a founding figure of Banten Province. The victory is also proving that Chasan Sochib dynasty is still taken into account.

Talking about the kinship that for the people of Banten known as 'Rau Family' is certainly cannot be separated from a figure named Haji Chasan Sochib, the father of Ratu Atut. Chasan Sochib was a Bantanese *Jawara* from Ciomas who, in the 1960s, began to enter the business world by trading rice. Already before the reform era, Chasan Sochib was known to be very close to both civilian and military elites. It is this proximity that makes him often get major construction projects especially from the government of West Java Province as well as logistics projects from Kodam VI Siliwangi at that time (CNN, 2000).

Chasan Sochib then became a very influential person in Banten with his company, CV Sinar Ciomas, which later transformed into PT Sinar Ciomas Raya. He masters chamber of Commerce and Industry (KADIN) Banten, Gapensi Banten, Construction Services Development Agency (LPJK) Banten, and numbers of other business organizations. Furthermore, through a Banten scholar named Haji Mahmud, Chasan Sochib began to get acquainted with the political world by becoming activists and administrators of the Golkar party. After successfully delivering Atut to be a Vice-Governor of Banten in 2002, Chasan Sochib even claimed that he was the 'Governor General' reflecting the magnitude of his influence in the most western province of Java (Tempo, 2013).

In the reform era, there is a trend to demand of new local government formation). The people of Banten also began to demand a separated province, apart from the province of West Java. There was a fear from Chasan Sochib that the separation of Banten from West Java will disrupt his business. But when the wind of demands of the establishment of the Banten province increasingly strong, then Chasan Sochib changed direction. From what was opposed to the efforts of the establishment of this new province became known as one of the founders of Banten province (Tempo, 2013). When Banten succeeded in becoming a new autonomous region, Chasan Sochib encouraged his daughter, Ratu Atut, to plunge into politics by pairing Atut with Djoko Munandar. The effort was fruitful with the success of the couple became Governor and Vice Governor of Banten 2002-2007 through an election by members of the Banten Provincial DPRD (Local House of Representatives) in 2001.

The election was described as intimidating, where the houses of Banten DPRD members was guarded by Jawara, the subordinates of Chasan Sochib. Not only in the house, the Jawara also gave psychological pressure to the members of the DPRD by entering the courtroom. (Tempo, 2013). Jawara also controls the developing opinion with two strategies. First, by buying media people to defend their interests; And secondly, repressing uncooperative media people by violent means. After three years of being vice Governor in Banten, Atut promoted to be Governor in charge, because Djoko Munandar, the governor, is caught by KPK in a corruption case

Around 2004, the reins of this dynastic leadership began to shift to Chasan Sochib's son from his first wife, Tb. Chaeri Wardana or commonly called Wawan. Wawan to this day has never been directly involved in politics by becoming a regional head or a member of the legislature. Yet it was Wawan who became a determinant person in this family, who determined the business and political strategy of the Rau Family. Wawan determines the family members whom to be fight in the elections. Lobby with political parties is also a matter of Wawan. Determination of government officials such as the head of Dinas (local office) must also be through the approval of Wawan. This monopoly on economy and politics in the hands of this family makes the clan of Chasan Sochib very strong in Banten.

In 2007, Atut advanced to the nomination of governors indirect elections. Atut paired with Mohammad Masduki managed to occupy the post of Governor of Banten period 2007-2012. Atut who then paired with Rano Karno also managed to win the 2011 elections of Banten so that back into the Governor of Banten period 2012-2017. After becoming the governor of Banten, Atut had large opportunities to put his family into the realm of practical politics, just as his father did. In the same year, Atut's brother, Tubagus Haerul Jaman, was elected as Vice Mayor of Serang, Banten, accompanying Bunyamin. But three years later, on March 25, 2011, Haerul rose to mayor of Serang by replacing Bunyamin who died. Haerul then re-elected mayor of Serang for 2013-2018 period. Atut's half-brother, Ratu Lilis Karyawati, strengthens the political network and secures the interests of this family from the party line with his success as Chairman of DPD II Golkar Serang City during 2009-2014.

In 2010, Atut one mother's sister, Ratu Tatu Chasanah, was elected Vice Regent of Serang accompanying Taufik Nuriman for 2010-2015 period. Ratu Tatu also managed to become Chairman of DPD I Golkar Party of Banten on December 27, 2013, in Musdalub Golkar Party, replacing his brother-in-law who is also the husband of Ratu Atut, Hikmat Tomet who died. His career as a politician increased after in the Serang district elections held in December 2015, Ratu Tatu successfully elected as Regent of Serang for 2016-2021 period paired with H. Pandji Tirtayasa. Atut's stepmother or Chasan Sochib's wife, Heryani, was elected as Vice Regent of Pandeglang in 2011 to accompany Erwan Kurtubi. While Atut's other stepmother or Chasan Sochib's sixth wife, Ratna Komalasari, was elected to Serang City Council Member 2009-2014 period.

TABLE 1 THE FAMILY OF TUBAGUS CHASAN SOCHIB'S OCCUPATION OF PUBLIC OFFICE

Name	Family Relationship	Position
Heryani Yuhana	5 <sup>th</sup> wife	Member of DPRD Pandeglang 2009-2014, Vice Regent of Pandeglang 2011-2016
Ratna Komalasari	6 <sup>th</sup> wife	Serang City Council Member 2009-2014
Ratu Atut Chosiyah	The first daughter of the first wife (Wasiah Samsudin)	Vice Governor of Banten 2002-2005; Governor of Banten in charge 2005-2007; Governor of Banten 2007-2012; Governor of Banten 2012-2014

Ratu Tatu Chasanah	The second daughter of the first wife (Wasih Samsudin)	Serang Vice Regent 2010-2015, Serang Regent 2016-2021
Tubagus Haerul Jaman	The first son of the second wife (Ratu Rapih Suhaemi)	Deputy Mayor of Serang 2008-2011; Mayor of Serang 2011-2013 and 2013-2018
Andika Hazrumy	Grandchild (the son of Ratu Atut)	Senator of DPD RI 2009-2014; Members of the DPR RI period 2014-2019; Vice Governor of Banten 2017-2022
Andiara Aprilia Hikmat	Grandchild (the daughter of Ratu Atut)	Member of DPD RI 2014-2019

The success of the regent or mayor in the province of Banten applies not only to his wife and a direct descendant Chasan Sochib, but also to indirect descendants. Sister in law of Atut, Airin Rachmi Diany, the wife of Tubagus Chaeri Wardana, had to run for vice-regent of Tangerang accompany Jazuli Juwaini in 2008. Although at the time she was lost to the incumbent Ismet Iskandar and Rano Karno, but Airin Rachmy Diani paired with Benyamin Davnie eventually went on to become mayor of South Tangerang in the elections of 2011. In December 2015 election, Airin again won the elections and became the mayor of South Tangerang South Tangerang 2016-2021 period. Atut's another brother in law, namely Aden Abdul Kholik is also husband of Ratu Lilis became a member Banten Parliament of 2009-2014 period. But in the middle of the way his political career stalled. Aden dismissed and was replaced as a result of his indiciplinary acts for violating the party line to run in the regent election of Tangerang from another party (Tempo, 2013).

Not only in the local scope of Banten, Atut's family also even expanded into the national political scene. The late husband of Atut, Hikmat Tomet who died on November 9, 2013, is a member of House Commission V of the period 2009-2014. Atut's son, Andika Hazrumy, also managed to become a member of DPD RI (Indonesian Regional Representative House) in the period 2009-2014. After that Andika also became a member of Parliament of the period 2014-2019. However, before his tenure in the House of Representatives, Andika decided to fight for the seat of Banten Regent in 2017 by becoming a representative of Wahidin Halim who previously also a member of the House of Representatives. Atut's son-in-law, Ade Rossi Khairunnisa who is also Andika's wife helped strengthen the Atut clan network in the political arena of Banten by serving as Vice Speaker of Serang DPRD 2009-2014 and in the period 2014-2019 succeeded in becoming a member of Banten Regional House of Representatives. Ade Rossi was then appointed Vice Chairman of the DPRD Banten in 2016.

The number of Atut relatives who occupy positions in the executive and legislative body both at the district, provincial, and central levels is not separated from the large number of descendants of Chasan Sochib own pretty much obtained from some wives whose numbers are no one knows. Wasiah, Chasan Sochib's first wife confessed to Tempo that Hasan Sochib himself was not sure how many children he had and the names of all his children. However, based on the Supreme Court letter obtained by Tempo, Chasan Sochib has 25 heirs of six wives.

TABLE 2 RELATIVES OF CHASAN SOCHIB WHO OCCUPY PUBLIC POSITIONS

Name	Family Relationship	Position
Hikmat Tomet (late)	Son in Law (the husband of Ratu Atut)	Member of DPR RI 2009-2014
Airin Rachmi Diany	Daughter in Law (the wife of Tubagus Chaeri Wardana)	Mayor of South Tangerang 2011-2016; 2016-2021
Aden Abdul Kholik	Son in Law (the husband of Ratu Lilis Karyawati)	Member of DPRD Banten 2009-2014
Ade Rossi Khairunnisa	Grandaughter in law (the wife of Andika)	Vice Chairman of DPRD Serang 2009-2014; Banten Provincial DPRD 2014-2019; Vice Chairman of DPRD Banten Province 2016-2019.
Tanto Warsono Arban	Grandson in law (the husband of Andiara Aprilia Hikmat)	Chairman of Commission III of DPRD Banten 2014-2016; Vice Regent of Pandeglang 2016-2021

The kinship network not only controls the public positions as described in the table above, but the network is booming because it covers political parties, especially Golkar Party, strategic organizations and interest groups in Banten region including business association, organization of sports and youth, religious organizations, and socio-cultural organizations that have a meaning for the people of Banten as illustrated in the table below.

**TABLE 3 FAMILY AND RELATIVES CHASAN SOCHIB IN THE MANAGEMENT OF GOLKAR PARTY**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Family Relationship</b>	<b>Party's Structure</b>	<b>Position</b>
Ratu Atut	Daughter	DPP of Partai Golkar;	Head of Women Empowerment Department
Hikmat Tomet	Son in Law	DPD Golkar Banten	Chairman
Ratu Tatu	Daughter	DPD Golkar Banten	Chairman (2013-2015; 2016-2021)
Haerul Jaman	Son	DPD II Golkar Kota Serang	Chairman
		DPD Golkar Banten	Treasurer (2013-2015)
Tb. Chaeri Wardana	Son	DPD Golkar Banten;	Treasurer
Andika Hazrumy	Grandchild	DPD Golkar Banten	Vice Chairman of Youth and Sports field (2013-2015; 2016-2021)
		DPP Golkar	Vice of General Secretary 2014-2019
Ade Rossi	Grandchild in law	DPD Golkar Banten	Vice Head of Community Service Department(2013-2015), Vice Head of Arts and Culture Department (2016-2021)
Tanto Warsono	Grandchild in law	DPD Golkar Banten	Vice Treasurer (2013-2015); Vice Head of Cooperation, Entrepreneur dan Small Middle Economic Sector Department 2016-2021)
Aden Kholik	Son in law	DPD Golkar Banten	Vice Head of Inform and Communication Department (2013-2015); Vice Head of Community Services Department (2016-2021)
Ratu Lilis Karyawati	Daughter	DPD II Golkar Kota Serang	Chairman (2009-2014)
Ratu Ria Mariana	Daughter	DPD II Golkar Kota Serang	Chairman (2016-2019)
Airin Rachmy Diani	Daughter in law	DPD II Kota Tangerang Selatan	Chairman (2014-2016; 2016-2021)

Because of the control of Chasan Sochib's family and relatives in Golkar Party starting from the regency/city, provincial and central levels, it becomes very easy to understand why in almost every election in Banten the Chasan Sochib family can easily get access to involved in with the Golkar flag. This further reinforces the allegation that political parties have been instrumental in building political dynasties. Political parties today have a tendency to include party cadres who have strong capital. The average political dynasty has a strong capital that allows it to win in electoral battles, even though it does not have sufficient capacity

The party is considered to have a role in building this dynasty because in the process of recruiting the cadres who actually have strong ability and integrity, tend not to ogle because of the lack of capital they have. Capital in the form of money, popularity, and the extent of the organization network owned by the Chasan Sochib family became one of the extraordinary bargaining powers for Golkar Party in Banten region to carry the candidate from this family, besides the party's party structure control by this family. As a result, the party's internal mechanisms in the recruitment of candidates who will occupy public positions tend not to work as they should. A member of KPU Pandeglang also recognizes ineffective party recruitment mechanism. Act Number 2 Year 2011 on Political Parties actually requires political parties to play the role of education and regeneration. However, the tendency of the party is closed in its recruitment pattern. The average party candidates are those who economically established, not the result of a serious recruitment process.

Although traditionally the 'Rau Family' is entrusting its political legitimacy through the Golkar Party, it is actually trying to secure their interests by maintaining political support from parties other than Golkar Party. Any party that will organize an activity and need financing will come to Atut's family usually will be supported so that the party feels a debt to this family, which in any event can serve as a 'check' to withdraw support from the political parties against the interests 'Rau Family'.

**TABLE 4 LIST OF FAMILY AND RELATIVE AFFAIRS OF CHASAN SOCHIB IN MASS ORGANIZATIONS**

Type of Organization	Mass Organization	Names
Religious Organization	Satuan Karya (Satkar) Ulama Indonesia	Tb. Chasan Sochib
Business Association	Gabungan Pengusaha Konstruksi Nasional Indonesia (Gapensi) Banten (Association of Indonesian Construction Businessman)	Tb. Chasan Sochib
	Kamar Dagang dan Industri (KADIN) Banten (Banten's Chamber of Trade and Industry)	Tb. Chasan Sochib, Tb. Chaeri Wardana
	Lembaga Pengembangan Jasa Konstruksi (LPJK) (Construction Service Development Institution)	Tb. Chasan Sochib
	Masyarakat Agrobisnis dan Agroindustri Indonesia Banten (The Banten Society of Agribusiness and Agrotechnology)	Ratu Tatu Chasanah
Sports	Wushu Indonesia Banten (Wushu)	Tb. Chasan Sochib
	KONI Serang (Indonesian Sports Committee)	Ade Rosi Khairunnisa
	Perbasi Serang (Association of Basketball)	Aden Abdul Kholiq
Youth Organization	DPD KNPI Banten	Aden Abdul Kholiq
	Gerakan Pemuda Ansor (Anshor Movement)	Andika Hazrumy
	Karang Taruna Banten (Youth Association)	Andika Hazrumy
	Angkatan Muda Partai Golkar (AMPG) Banten (Golkar Youth Organization)	Tb. Chaeri Wardana
Socio-Culture Organization	Persatuan Pendekar Persilatan dan Seni Budaya Banten (PPPSBB) Banten	Tb. Chasan Sochib
	PMI Provinsi Banten (Banten Province Chapter of Indonesian Red Cross)	Ratu Atut
	Persatuan Artis Film (Parfi) Banten (Association of Film Artists)	Ratna Komalasari

One thing that is rarely known, Atut's big family also uses modern political strategy despite effective control the Golkar Party of Banten, and put many members of his family in mass organizations in Banten. Before and during the Local Election fight, families employed political consultants, and trusted how popular they were or how electable they were by conducting surveys. They also use political marketing techniques to convince people to vote for them.

Although not as big as Chasan Sochib clan, Banten also has other political dynasties. One of them is located in Lebak District, that is the Jayabaya family. The dynastic plunge into politics was preceded by the election of Mulyadi Jayabaya, the head of the family, the regent of Lebak for two periods, during 2003-2013. Not enough ten years to become regent of Lebak, Mulyadi then passed the throne of Lebak to his daughter, Hj. Iti Octavia Jayabaya who succeeded in winning Lebak Election and became Regent of the 2014-2019 period. The Jayabaya family also grew stronger when Mulyadi's son Mochamad Hasbi Asyidiki Jayabaya was elected to the House of Representatives for the period 2014-2019. The other Mulyadi's daughter, Diana Dramawati Jayabaya, succeeded in becoming a member of Banten Regional House of Representatives for the period 2014-2019. In addition, his younger sister, Mulyanah, was elected to become member of Lebak's DPRD during 2009-2014. Mulyanah's Husband, Agus R. Wisas, became a member of the DPRD Banten for the period of 2009-2014. In the last elections of 2014, he failed to become a member of the House of Representatives. The nephew of Jayabaya, Vivi Sumantri Jayabaya, also managed to become a member of the House of Representatives for the period of 2014-2019.

Similarly, Jayabaya family is also active in several mass organizations and political parties in Lebak District and Banten Province level. Mulyadi for example, became chairman of KADIN Banten period 2015-2020 to replace his brother who died, Sumantri Jayabaya. As for Mochamad Hasbi known as Chairman of KONI of Lebak Regency for 2010-2014 tenure.

One thing that distinguishes the two families is in its relation to political parties. The Jayabaya family is relatively flexible and pragmatic in party affairs. The Jayabaya family does not only exist in one party as the Chasan Sohib family that relies on the Golkar Party to gain its political legitimacy. Mulyadi himself is the Head of the Lebak District PDIP Branch Office, the party that supports him twice becomes the Lebak regent. While his younger brother, Sumantri Jayabaya had been the general treasurer of the Lebak Regency Golkar Branch Office.

His children are also not in the same political vehicle. Before becoming Regent of Lebak, Iti was a member of the House of Representatives from the Democratic Party. Currently, she is the Chairman of Democratic Party of Lebak District. When progressing in Lebak Local Election 2013, Iti is also supported by the Democratic Party. While his brother, Hasbi, became a member of the House through the PDIP. Their cousin, Vivi Sumantri also became a member of the House of Representatives by carrying the Democratic Party.

Despite being a PDIP officer of Lebak District, but in 2017 Banten Gubernariate Election, Mulyadi actually had time to support Wahidin Halim-Andika pair that carried Golkar, Democrat, Hanura, PKS, PAN, PKB and Gerindra Party instead of Rano Karno-Embay Syarif whom supported by PDIP. Nevertheless, about 4 months before the election, Mulyadi made a maneuver in politics by reiterating the support of the couple who carried by PDIP. This political choice is different from other Jayabaya family members such as his sister, Sumantri Jayabaya or even his daughter, Iti Octavia who in the 2017 election gave support to the couple of Wahidin Halim-Andika whom carried by Golkar. Mulyadi's choice to support Rano Karno-Embay is similar to his son's choice, Hasbi. Although in some different election news it shows the outbreak of the Jayabaya dynasty, but there is also the possibility that the maneuver shows a two-leg political game performed by the Jayabaya family. Whoever wins the Jayabaya family remains in a politically secure position

### *B. Background of the Emergence of the Political Kinship Actors*

Based on an explanation above, there are two reasons of the emergence of political actors originating from a certain dynasty or kinship network in the Banten Local Election, which are: First, due to the opening of access to enter politics as a result of democratization and decentralization that significantly alter the pattern of relations between the center and the regions. This is what is called as political opportunity. If in the era of centralization of power during the Suharto period the regional head became the central and oligarchic domain in its determination, then the elections in the era of reform and regional autonomy have extended such access to anyone with the capital to obtain the post. This is in line with the argument of Yoes Kenawas who believes that the constitutional change in the local electoral mechanism from the centralization mechanism to the decentralization system ultimately creates an unforeseen consequence, namely the emergence of political dynasties at the subnational level. The new mechanism opens opportunities for local strongmen to consolidate and expand the power base by using undemocratic method (Kenawas, 2015).

Because capital is a key requirement in the competitive political system, it is not surprising that many local elites or entrepreneurs who later plunged into politics by becoming regional heads. Entrepreneurs who were formerly limited to become rent-seekers or clients of central and regional officials, then in an era of democracy entrepreneurs plunge directly as political players who have the authority to formulate policies that benefit their families or groups. When they are already in power, the more open the opportunity to put their relatives to occupy the seat of the regional head elsewhere. Moreover, political parties as a means of political legitimacy to advance Local Election already in the clutch of their families. Strategic interest groups and mass organizations that become symbols of the identity of local communities who are already in their control then they make them as mass mobilization machine to accumulate votes in the Local Election. The increasing numbers of family members who became the political players that further strengthen the political dynasty in the region. The symptoms in Banten found in the Chasan Sohib and Jayabaya families took advantage of the opportunity of political change that provided momentum to gain as much power as possible by including as many family members as heads of regions asserting the first background

Secondly, the emergence of political dynasties in the regions of the consolidating democracies is motivated primarily by the ability of the incumbent dynastic politicians to create an uneven playing field by exploiting their kinship networks and materials to seek victory for family members (Levitsky, et.al, 2010). The family network becomes important for politicians to run various variations of 'manipulation menu' such as vote-buying, abuse of State's financial resources and infrastructure, the politicization of state institutions (eg. mobilization of government apparatus), and intimidation through groups of thugs. Unlike political dynasties in the advanced democracies relying on the big names of families, the sustainability of politicians in developing democracies lies in the ability to distribute prosperity (Kenawas, 2015), or in Indonesia is known as money politics. The conviction of several clan members of Hasan Sohib, such as Atut, Wawan, and Ratu Lilis for bribery and corruption cases as well as the examination of Mulyadi Jayabaya by Kejati Banten related to corruption case to illustrate how political dynasty is very vulnerable to corruption.

### *C. Factors Behind the Involvement of Political Actors from A Political Dynasty or Kinship Network*

There are two main factors causing political actors to apply kinship politics in Local Election in Banten. First, the socio-cultural factors associated with Banten's epidemic are highly characterized by patron-client relationship patterns. Empirical facts show that the party that can be accepted as a cultural leader and ultimately also formal is from among scholars or champions.

Banten community will be very subordinate to the command of leaders of these circles. Secondly, the low factor of public education and the lack of social control over the regional head. It is being a common knowledge that the education level of the Banten people is the lowest among the six provinces in Java. The educated population is very low, so the middle-class function should be able to exercise low social control.

Research conducted by Fatih (2016) shows that there are 3 categories of society seen from the tendency of political attitudes, namely: 1. A pragmatic society that is central to the countryside. Kyai is no longer a culture broker but a political broker. 2. Apathy community spread in urban areas 3. Enlightened society that comes from pragmatists and apathy.

#### *D. Cadre Process of Political Parties*

In the current era of Liberal Democracy, political parties have a very significant role due to they are the only organization that formally to be contestants in the Local Election. Political parties are expected to present their ideological cadres to become executive officials or to be legislative representatives. Therefore, ideological cadre is expected to run well internally within the Political Parties.

However, the result of the study of party behavior since the beginning of the reform has led to a conclusion that breaks the assumption of the strong role of political ideology. Or in other words, political party actually shows the failure of ideological cadre. Instead of being driven by ideological interests, political parties are in fact are more driven by survival efforts and the need to continue in the flow of power, using pragmatic means, for which even the "ideological migration" or displacement of ideological attitudes though. From the study, Ambardi then concluded the phenomenon as a political cartel with the character of a party system that is cartelized, which are:

- a) Ideology is something that is not important in determining the behavior of the party.
- b) The parties are permissive or all-inclusive (promiscuous) in forming coalitions.
- c) The opposition tends to be non-existent or unidentifiable because it threatens to interfere with the government.
- d) Election results have little or no impact on the behavior of parties.
- e) The parties, whether in government or not, tend to affiliate into a large group in dealing with emerging policy issues.

Character number 1, the ideology as something that is not important in determining the behavior of the party, can be proved by the behavior of Political Parties in the regeneration to determine the candidate leader, either in the Executive Board or Legislative Institution in Banten Province. Political parties are less effective in recruitment and cadre. The Act of 2011 requires the party to play the role of education and regeneration. However, the tendency of the party closed in recruitment. The average party candidates are those who are economically steadily established not the result of a serious recruitment process. In Golkar Party of Pandeglang Regency for example, this Party is controlled not by Pandeglang people. But by the family of Rau (family of Haji Chasan). Clans and patrons became the pattern used by the Rau family. Hasan Shocib started structurally using the party with his charismatic as Jawara (thugs). Jawara loyal to any risk and Hasan Shohib was able to pay anyone who would sacrifice for the Rau family. The entry through the party took place around the year 2002, as Ratu Atut became the definitive governor for about 11 years old. Forming a patron and controlling the bureaucracy. Political party always led his opinion to heavily carry other candidates who are not from the Rau family.

Chasan Shochib's family was traditionally affiliated to Golkar Party, which in the context of Local Election battle in Banten became a pragmatic party. However, in fact, it is not only Golkar Party that is maintained, any Party that comes to the Ratu Atut family is supported. So, any party such as PBR, PPP finally have a debt to them. Their activists often visit Sukamiskin to visit their money machines. This is one way to maintain the network by controlling other parties with financing support. The dynasty political practice that took place in Banten will continue to undermine democracy in Indonesia and of course in the Local Election. In addition, the political dynasty has also damaged the form of regeneration of political parties. There is no change even more rampant with the politics of dynasties in Indonesia, even political parties do not care about the background of its politicians, which is important only enough logistics for the campaign. This means that political party becomes very pragmatic in Banten province. There are many loopholes that can be used by political parties to continue to perpetuate dynastic politics or kinship politics. None of the Election and Election Laws limits the nomination requirements of the Regional Head based on family relations.

Therefore, if a family has unlimited financial resources, then they have a great opportunity to play the political party as a vehicle to advance in the contestation of the Regional Head Election. The institution rejected judicial Review to the Constitutional Court about this restriction. This is one of the major jobs in the context of the regeneration of Political, Recruitment and Democracy in Indonesia.

#### *E. Kinship Political Instrument*

There are many interesting facts that can be gathered from many media that the great family of Ratu Atut Chosiyah can be so superior in Banten because of direct intervention from political party. In 2002, Atut was elected Vice Governor with Djoko Munandar who was supported by the Golkar faction and the PPP (United Development Party) faction. In the 2006 elections, Ratu Atut Chosiyah, who was paired with HM Masduki, won the Local Election Banten with support from Golkar, PDI-P, PBR,



PBB, PDS, Patriot Party and PKPB. Then in 2011, Atut re-set as the winner by the KPUD Banten paired with Rano Karno with support from the Golkar Party, PDI-P, Hanura, Gerindra, United Nations, PKB, PAN, Nahdlatul Ummah Persatuan Indonesia Party, United Nations Concerned Party, Regional, Prosperous Peace Party, and supported by 22 non-parliamentary political parties.

In 2008, Bunyamin who was paired with Tubagus Haerul Jaman (Ratu Atut's sister from stepmother), won the election of the Mayor of Serang supported by Golkar Party, in 2013, Tubagus Haerul Jaman in pairs with Sulhi Choir again won the Serang election. The party that supports the two as written by Tempo on June 10, 2013 are 12 parties named Coalition Madani, namely Golkar Party, Democratic Party, PKB, PPP, PKS, Partai Nahdlatul Umat (PNU), Partai Bintang Reformasi (PBR), PKP Indonesia, Gerindra Party, Republican, PNI Marhaenisme and the Indonesian Workers Entrepreneurs Party (PPPI).

In 2010, Ratu Tatu Chasanah (Younger sister of Ratu Atut) was elected as Serang Vice Regent accompanying Taufik Nuriman as Regent of Serang, supported by Democrat Party, PDI-P, Golkar Party, PPP, PKS, PAN and Hanura. In 2011, the Election Commission (KPU) of Pandeglang established the pair of Erwan Kurtubi and Heryani (the Stepmother of Ratu Atut), which carried the Golkar, Democrat Party, PKS, PPRN, PKPB, PKB, PMB and Hanura as Regents and Vice Regent of Pandeglang.

In 2011, Airin Rachmi Diany was inaugurated by Atut as the Mayor of South Tangerang in pairs with Benjamin Davnie as his deputy. The couple is supported by nine parties in Legislative namely, Golkar, Democrat, PDIP, PKS, PKB, PPD, PDS and PAN. Then the husband of the Governor Hikmat Tomet, is a member of the House of Representatives of the Golkar Party. Even in the Golkar Party, Hikmat is the Chairman of the Regional Leadership Council (DPD) I Golkar Party of Banten Province for the period of service 2009-2014.

Andika Hazrumy, Atut's first child who had served as DPD RI Member of Banten representative, and now Vice Governor of Banten Province. Ade Rossi Khairunnisa (Andika Hazrumy's wife) who served as Vice Speaker of Serang City DPRD, became the legislative candidate of Banten Regional District of Serang. Atut's daughter, Andiara Aprilia Hikmat, is now registered as a DPD candidate from Banten. Andiara's husband, Tanto Warsini Arban, advanced as the legislative candidate of the DPRD number 1 from the Banten 7 electoral district. Aden Abdul Khaliq (brother in law of Tubagus Chaeri Wardhana who was caught by KPK), returned as the legislative candidate of Banten District 3. They All come from the Golkar Party.

The above notes show that it is unlikely that Atut and his extended family can take control of Banten without the support of the Political Party which he says is a necessity for a "World of Democracy". In other words, the main instrument used by the Ratu Atut Family to perpetuate their Family Politics is by "holding" the Political Party in Banten Province. Even with the arrogance of the Great Family of Ratu Atut dare say that they are bigger than the Political Party, because under any conditions Political Kinship they can still play with once again take advantage of Political Party is very pragmatic. Once again, it happens because our constitution does give the gap of the kinship politics. Such a vital role given to Political Parties by the constitution has placed the Party as a meeting place for people of the Power Dynasty. When people call collusion a disgrace, at the same time Political Party is used as a means to cover such shame for constitutional reasons.

Political parties are touted as an institution of the people's aspirations, it is no more merely an agency for the division of office for people who have great resources. The fact shows that most corruptors in this country are politicians or officials and businessmen who come from Political Parties or alliances with Political Parties. This phenomenon adequately illustrates clearly that the Political Party, although referred to as the constitution product, but its full picture is not in line with the democratic spirit and reform.

The second instrument used by the Ratu Atut family to perpetuate the Politics of Kinship is to utilize the bureaucracy. Among bureaucrats for example in Lebak there is a briefing to select a particular candidate. The role of Jawara and Ulama is very significant here. There is pressure on bureaucrats through promotion or mutation to bureaucrats. In the event of bureaucracy, keep the distance. At the top leadership level, top leaders play a more important role in rents. At least for project affairs directed to Wawan. But the most in touch with the people is the village head, so the Village Head is very important in raising the masses. In the Year 2006 when Ratu Atut against Rano Karno, each village got Rp. 2 million. Given 3 days before the election through the Village Head, with the District Officer as its intermediary.

Not only using the instruments of political parties and bureaucracy alone, the Ratu Atut family also take advantage of the condition of rural communities those are very pragmatic. Indeed, the character of the Banten community is divided into three parts, namely the pragmatism of rural communities, urban apathy and enlightened communities. In the countryside the community is very pragmatic even very acute. At the rural level, kyais are no longer cultural brokers but political brokers, who are able to influence the preferences of the villagers' preferences.

No wonder the supporters of Ratu Atut's votes come from rural communities that are still relatively easy to be affected with money. While in urban society tend apathetic. Why people in urban areas tend to be apathetic? As an illustration is the phenomenon of Andre Taulani who lost against Airin in South Tangerang Local Election. In addition to money factor there is also a natural factor that is rain. In the first round, their votes are very thin. Until entering the second round where the Airin camp played a money politics that turned out to be only a slight increase in his vote. But the Airin camp was saved because in the second-round the city people did not want to come to the polling station because of the rain and assumed that the results of

Local Election have no effect on them. Whereas in the first round, urban area is a pocket of votes from the stronghold of Andre Taulani. It is this pragmatism and apathy that is deliberately preserved so that the politics of kinship can still be carried on, so that the hope for the Banten people is the middle group that begins to be an enlightened and anti-political dynasty.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

The practice of political kinship has rapidly grown in Banten elections which originated from the family of Tubagus Chasan Sochib, then, followed by the family of Jayabaya and Iskandar. Political kinship practice is emerging and well spreading in Banten because of the strong patron-client pattern of leadership and the low social control due to the relatively low level of education of Banten society. Political kinship will always be growing unless there is strong effort to increase the enlightened society group who effectively control the governance.

#### REFERENCES

- Djati, W.R. (2013). Revivalisme Kekuatan Familisme dalam Demokrasi: Dinasti Politik di Aras Lokal. *Jurnal Sosiologi MASYARAKAT*, 18(2). pp. 181-231.
- Eriyanto. (2012). Dinasti Politik dalam Pilkada: Menguntungkan atau Merugikan Kandidat? (Kasus Pilkada Kabupaten Kediri). *Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan*, 36. FGD Result Book. (2017). *Politik Kekeabatan dan Dinasti Politik dalam Pemilihan Gubernur Banten*. Universitas Mathla'ul Anwar Banten.
- Hamid, A. (2010) Memetakan Aktor Politik Lokal Banten Pasca Orde Baru: Studi Kasus Kiai dan Jawara di Banten. *POLITIKA Jurnal Ilmu Politik*, 1(2), p. 4.
- Kenawas, Y.C. (2015). The Rise of Political Dynasties in a Democratic Society. Presented at the 2014 Arryman Fellows' Symposium, on May 16, 2015 as part of the Equality Development and Globalization Studies (EDGS) program at Northwestern University, with generous support from the Rajawali Foundation. p. 4.
- Levitsky, S., & Way, L.A. (2010). *Competitive Authoritarianism: Hybrid Regimes After The Cold War*. Cambridge University Press.
- Liddle, W. (1992). *Partisipasi dan Partai Politik di Indonesia Awal Orde Baru*. Jakarta: Pustaka Utama Grafiti.
- Muhtadi, B. (2013). Politik Uang dan Dinamika Elektoral di Indonesia: Sebuah Kajian Awal Interaksi Antara "Party\_id" dan Patron Klien. *Jurnal Penelitian Politik*, 10(1), p.17/
- McVey, R. (2000). *Money and Power in Provincial Thailand*. Copenhagen: Nordic Institute of Asian Studies (NIAS).
- Migdal, J.S.S. (1988). *Societies and Weak State: State-Society Relations and State Capabilities in the Third World*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Scönleitner, G. (2004). Can Public Deliberation Democratise State Action? Municipal Health Council And Local Democracy in Brazil. in John Harriss, Kristian Stokke, & Olle Tornquist. (eds.) *Politicizing democracy: the local politics of democratization*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, pp.. 75-106.
- Tempo. Retrieved from <https://m.tempo.co/read/news/2013/10/07/063519657/silsilah-dinasti-banten-abah-chasan-dan-para-istri/3>
- Tuazon, B.M. (2012). Six Centuries of Political Dynasties: Why The Philippines will forever be Ruled by Political Clans? Retrieved from <http://www.cenpeg.org/2012/governance/december2012/CenPeg%20Tuazon%206%20centuries%20of%20dynaties%2012%2010%2012.pdf>
- Querubin, P. (2011). Political Reform and Elite Persistence: Term Limits and Political Dynasty in the Philippines. APSA 2012 Annual Meeting Paper. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2108036>