

# When is a sum of projections equal to a scalar operator?

Yurii S. SAMOÏLENKO

*Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine,  
vul. Tereshchinkivs'ka, 3, Kiev, Ukraine  
E-mail: yurii\_sam@imath.kiev.ua*

*This article is part of the Proceedings titled "Geometrical Methods in Physics: Bialowieza XXI and XXII"*

## 1 Introduction

Collections of self-adjoint operators that act on a separable complex Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $\dim H \leq \infty$ , have their spectra,  $\sigma(A_k)$ , in given finite sets  $M_k \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , and are such that the sum of them is a multiple of the identity operator play an important role in analysis, algebraic geometry, representation theory, and mathematical physics (see [1, 2] and the bibliography therein). The problem of describing the set  $\Sigma_n$  of values of the parameter  $\alpha$  for which there exists a Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $n$  orthogonal projections on  $H$ ,  $P_1, \dots, P_n$ , which are operators with the spectra in  $\{0, 1\}$ , and such that  $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k = \alpha I_H$  has been studied in [3, 4, 5]. The latter condition is equivalent to the fact that the  $*$ -algebra

$$\mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha} = \mathbb{C}\langle p_1, \dots, p_n \mid p_k^2 = p_k^* = p_k (k = 1, \dots, n), \sum_{k=1}^n p_k = \alpha e \rangle$$

has  $*$ -representations on a Hilbert space. Since the dimension of  $H$  is not fixed (it could even be infinite), it is difficult to describe  $\Sigma_n$  by using Horn's inequalities, see [1, 2] and the bibliography therein.

In this survey, following [5], we describe the set  $\Sigma_n$ . For  $n \leq 4$ , the set  $\Sigma_n$  is discrete, and the description of  $\Sigma_n$  and the corresponding representations have become a part of the mathematical folklore (a survey of the main results and a bibliography can be found in [5]). However, it turns out that the set  $\Sigma_n$  contains a nonempty interval for  $n \geq 5$ . If  $n \geq 4$ ,  $\Sigma_n = \Lambda_n \cup [\frac{n-\sqrt{n^2-4n}}{2}, \frac{n+\sqrt{n^2-4n}}{2}] \cup (n - \Lambda_n)$ , where  $\Lambda_n$  is a discrete set which is the union of the following two series:

$$\Lambda_n^1 = \left\{ 0, 1 + \frac{1}{n-1}, 1 + \frac{1}{n-2-\frac{1}{n-1}}, 1 + \frac{1}{n-2-\frac{1}{n-2-\frac{1}{n-1}}}, \dots \right\},$$

$$\Lambda_n^2 = \left\{ 1, 1 + \frac{1}{n-2}, 1 + \frac{1}{n-2-\frac{1}{n-2}}, 1 + \frac{1}{n-2-\frac{1}{n-2-\frac{1}{n-2}}}, \dots \right\}.$$

We also give the following expression for  $\Lambda_n$ :

$$\Lambda_n = \left\{ \frac{n - \sqrt{n^2 - 4n} \coth(k \operatorname{Arch}(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}))}{2} \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

All points of the sets  $\Sigma_n$  were found with the help of an approach to the description of the sets  $\Sigma_n$  based on the introduction of two functors  $\Phi^+$  and  $\Phi^-$  on the categories  $\operatorname{Rep} \mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha}$  of  $*$ -representations of the algebras  $\mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha}$ , see [6]. The functors  $\Phi^+$  and  $\Phi^-$  will be called Coxeter functors, because their structure and the role in the description of representations of the algebras  $\mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha}$  are similar to those of the Coxeter functors in [7] in many respects.

Note that the problem of finding values of the parameter  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{TL}_{\infty,\tau} = \mathbb{C}\langle p_1, \dots, p_n, \dots \mid p_k^2 = p_k = p_k^* (k \in \mathbb{N}); p_k p_j = p_j p_k, |k - j| \geq 2; p_k p_{k\pm 1} p_k = \tau p_k \rangle$  has at least one representation is similar and goes back to the famous series of works of V. Jones (see [8]).

## 2 A description of the set $\Sigma_n$ .

### 2.1 Preliminaries.

#### 2.1.1 Elementary properties of $\Sigma_n$ .

**Proposition 1.** (a)  $\Sigma_n \subset [0, n]$ ;

(b)  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\} \subset \Sigma_n$ ;

(c)  $(0, 1) \cap \Sigma_n = \emptyset$ ;

(d)  $(1, 1 + \frac{1}{n-1}) \cap \Sigma_n = \emptyset$ ;

(e)  $\alpha \in \Sigma_n \iff n - \alpha \in \Sigma_n$ .

**Proof.** (a) We have  $0 \leq \alpha \leq n$ , since the equivalent identities  $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k = \alpha I$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^n (I - P_k) = (n - \alpha)I$  have positive operators in the left-hand sides.

(b) If  $P_k$  are projections in a one-dimensional space such that  $m$  of them are identities and the other are zeros, then  $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k = mI$ .

(c)  $\Sigma_n \cap (0, 1) = \emptyset$ , since if  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k = \alpha I$ , then at least one projection  $P_j \neq 0$ . Then  $\sum_{k \neq j}^n P_k = \alpha I - P_j$ . But there is a nonnegative operator in the left-hand side of this identity, whereas the right-hand side is an operator which is not nonnegative.

A contradiction.

(d) Let us first give a simple proof assuming that  $\dim H = m < \infty$ . Let  $P_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ , be projections in the space  $H$ ,  $0 < \epsilon$ , and the sum of the projections equal  $(1 + \epsilon)I$ . Then  $\forall k, k = 1, \dots, n$ , we have  $\sum_{i \neq k}^n P_i = (1 + \epsilon)I - P_k$  and  $\sum_{i \neq k}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_i) = (1 + \epsilon)m - \operatorname{tr}(P_k)$  ( $m$  is the dimension of  $H$ ). Since  $\sum_{i \neq k}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_i) \geq \operatorname{rank}(\sum_{i \neq k}^n P_i) = m$ , we have that  $\operatorname{tr}(P_k) \leq \epsilon m$ . Because  $k$  is arbitrary,  $(1 + \epsilon)m = \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon m = mn\epsilon$ , whence  $\epsilon \geq \frac{1}{n-1}$ .

If the space  $H$  is separable, to prove property (d) we will need the following lemmas on the spectrum of a sum of orthogonal projections.

**Lemma 1.** *Let a number  $1 \geq \tau > 0$  and projections  $P_1, P_2$  be given. Then if  $\lambda \in \sigma(\tau P_1 + P_2)$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0, \tau, 1, 1 + \tau$ , we have that  $1 + \tau - \lambda \in \sigma(\tau P_1 + P_2)$ .*

**Proof.** It is sufficient to check the statement of the lemma for irreducible pairs of orthogonal projections. Irreducible pairs of orthogonal projections can only be one and two-dimensional. For one-dimensional pairs of projections,  $\lambda \in \sigma(\tau P_1 + P_2) \subset \{0, \tau, 1, 1 + \tau\}$ . Any two-dimensional pair of orthogonal projections is unitarily equivalent to the pair

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 \phi & \cos \phi \sin \phi \\ \cos \phi \sin \phi & \sin^2 \phi \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $0 < \phi < \pi/2$ , and the statement of the lemma for this pair is verified directly. ■

**Corollary 1.** *If  $0 < \epsilon < \tau \leq 1$  and  $\tau P_1 + P_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)I$ , then  $\tau P_1 + P_2 \geq (\tau - \epsilon)P_{\text{Im } P_1 + \text{Im } P_2}$ , where  $P_{\text{Im } P_1 + \text{Im } P_2}$  is the orthogonal projection onto the closed linear span of  $\text{Im } P_1 + \text{Im } P_2$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that there exists a number  $\lambda \in \sigma(\tau P_1 + P_2)$  such that  $0 < \lambda < (\tau - \epsilon)$ . Then  $1 + \tau - \lambda > 1 + \epsilon$ . However, by Lemma 1,  $1 + \tau - \lambda \in \sigma(\tau P_1 + P_2)$ , which contradicts the conditions of the corollary. ■

In the next lemma, we consider the case of a greater number of orthogonal projections.

Let  $P_1, \dots, P_k$  be projections on a Hilbert space. Define the subspaces  $\mathfrak{H}_k = \text{Im } P_1 + \dots + \text{Im } P_k$  in  $H$  as closed linear spans of  $\text{Im } P_1 + \dots + \text{Im } P_k$  in  $H$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k \leq (1 + \epsilon)I$ . Then  $\sum_{k=1}^m P_k \geq (1 - (m - 1)\epsilon)P_{\mathfrak{H}_m}$  for all  $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .*

**Proof.** We use induction on  $m$ . For  $m = 2$ , the statement of the lemma is directly deduced from Corollary 1, since  $P_1 + P_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)I$ . Let now  $m > 2$  be fixed and  $\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} P_k \geq (1 - (m - 2)\epsilon)P_{\mathfrak{H}_{m-1}}$ . Then  $\sum_{k=1}^m P_k \leq (1 + \epsilon)I$  and, by Corollary 1,  $\sum_{k=1}^m P_k = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} P_k + P_m \geq (1 - (m - 2)\epsilon)P_{\mathfrak{H}_{m-1}} + P_m \geq (1 - (m - 1)\epsilon)P_{\mathfrak{H}_m}$ . ■

Let us now proceed with the proof of property (d). If  $P_k$  are projections on  $H$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k = (1 + \epsilon)I$ , then the operator  $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P_k = (1 + \epsilon)I - P_n$  has the diagonal form in a certain basis,  $\text{diag}\{1 + \epsilon, \dots, 1 + \epsilon, \dots, \epsilon, \dots, \epsilon, \dots\}$ . This shows that the space  $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$  coincides with the entire  $H$  and  $\epsilon \in \sigma(P_1 + \dots + P_{n-1})$ . By applying Lemma 2 with  $m = n - 1$ , we get  $\epsilon \geq 1 - (n - 2)\epsilon$ , that is,  $\epsilon \geq \frac{1}{n-1}$ .

(e) If  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  are orthogonal projections on  $H$  such that  $\sum_1^n P_k = \alpha I$ , then  $P_k^\perp$  are orthogonal projections on  $H$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k^\perp = \sum_{k=1}^n (I - P_k) = nI - \sum_{k=1}^n P_k = (n - \alpha)I$ . Hence,  $(n - \alpha) \in \Sigma_n$ . ■

**Remark 1.** The  $*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{n,n-\alpha}$  are isomorphic. Therefore, the categories of their  $*$ -representations,  $\text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha}$  and  $\text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n,n-\alpha}$ , coincide. Indeed, let  $\mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha} = \mathbb{C}\langle p_1, \dots, p_n \mid p_k^2 = p_k^* = p_k, \sum_{k=1}^n p_k = \alpha e \rangle$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_{n,n-\alpha} = \mathbb{C}\langle \tilde{p}_1, \dots, \tilde{p}_n \mid \tilde{p}_k^2 = \tilde{p}_k^* = \tilde{p}_k, \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{p}_k = (n - \alpha)e \rangle$ . Then the mapping  $p_k \mapsto e - \tilde{p}_k$  defines a  $*$ -isomorphism of the  $*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{n,n-\alpha}$ .

### 2.1.2 A description of $\Sigma_n$ and $*$ -representations of the $*$ -algebras $\mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha}$ , $\alpha \in \Sigma_n$ for $n \leq 4$ .

Several papers deal with this problem (see [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14] e.a.) The following simple assertion holds.

- Proposition 2.** (a)  $\Sigma_3 = \{0, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, 3\}$ ;  
 (b)  $\mathcal{P}_{3,\alpha} = 0$ , if  $\alpha \notin \Sigma_3$ ;  
 (c)  $\mathcal{P}_{3,0} = \mathcal{P}_{3,3} = \mathbb{C}^1$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{3,1} = \mathcal{P}_{3,2} = \mathbb{C}^1 \oplus \mathbb{C}^1 \oplus \mathbb{C}^1$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{3,3/2} = M_2(\mathbb{C}^1)$ ;  
 (d) *There exists a unique, up to a unitary equivalence, irreducible representation of the algebra  $\mathcal{P}_{3,3/2}$ ,*

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} & \frac{3}{4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} & \frac{3}{4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

All the algebras  $\mathcal{P}_{4,\alpha}$  are already infinite dimensional; only the algebra  $\mathcal{P}_{4,2}$  is a *PI*-algebra (see [15]). However,  $\Sigma_4$  and the  $*$ -representations  $\mathcal{P}_{4,\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in \Sigma_4$ , have a simple structure (see, for example, [14]).

- Proposition 3.** (a)  $\Sigma_4 = \{0, 1, 1 + \frac{k}{k+2} (k \in \mathbb{N}), 2, 3 - \frac{k}{k+2} (k \in \mathbb{N}), 3, 4\}$ ;  
 (b) *The  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{P}_{4,0}$  has a unique representation,  $P_1 = P_2 = P_3 = P_4 = 0$ ;*  
 (c) *The  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{P}_{4,1}$  has 4 irreducible (nonequivalent, one-dimensional) representations,  $P_1 = \dots = P_{k-1} = P_{k+1} = \dots = P_4 = 0$ ,  $P_k = 1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ;*  
 (d) *For odd  $k$ , there exists a unique (up to an equivalence)  $(k+2)$ -dimensional irreducible representation of the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{P}_{n,1+\frac{k}{k+2}}$ ;*  
 (e) *For even  $k = 2k_1$ , there exist four nonequivalent  $(k_1 + 1)$ -dimensional irreducible representations of the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{P}_{n,1+\frac{k}{k+2}}$ ;*  
 (f) *The algebra  $\mathcal{P}_{4,2}$  is a *PI*-algebra. The irreducible  $*$ -representations of  $\mathcal{P}_{4,2}$  are one- and two-dimensional. There exist six nonequivalent one-dimensional representations of  $\mathcal{P}_{4,2}$ , — two projections equal zero and two projections equal the identity. Nonequivalent two-dimensional representations  $\pi_{a,b,c}$  of the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{P}_{4,2}$  depend on points of the set  $\{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1, a > 0, b > 0, c \in [-1, 1], \text{ or } a = 0, b > 0, c > 0, \text{ or } a > 0, b = 0, c > 0\}$ , the operators of the representation are the following:*

$$\pi_{a,b,c}(p_1) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1+a & -b-ic \\ -b+ic & 1-c \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pi_{a,b,c}(p_2) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1-a & b-ic \\ b+ic & 1+a \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\pi_{a,b,c}(p_3) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1-a & -b+ic \\ -b-ic & 1+a \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pi_{a,b,c}(p_4) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1+a & b+ic \\ b-ic & 1-a \end{pmatrix}.$$

We remark that a proof of items (a) – (e) and the formulas for the operators of the irreducible representations of the  $*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{P}_{4,\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in \Sigma_4$ , can be obtained from the constructions carried out below for the  $*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha}$ , where  $n \geq 4$ .

## 2.2 On Coxeter functors and their properties

### 2.2.1 Functors of linear and hyperbolic reflections

Let us construct a functor  $T : \text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha} \longrightarrow \text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n,n-\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < n$ . If  $\pi$  is a representation in the category  $\text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha}$  and  $\pi(p_i) = P_i$  are projections on the space  $H$ , then, on the same space, the operators  $P_i^\perp = I_H - P_i$  define a representation  $T(\pi)$  in the category  $\text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n,n-\alpha}$ . Functor  $T$  is identity on morphisms.

In the sequel, we will call the functor  $T$  the *linear reflection functor*. It is clear that  $T^2 = Id$ , where  $Id$  is the identity functor.

Construct now a functor  $S : \text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha} \longrightarrow \text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n,1+\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}$ ,  $\alpha > 1$  (in the proceeding, we call it the *hyperbolic reflection functor*).

Let  $\pi$  be a representation of the algebra  $\mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha}$ ,  $\pi(p_i) = P_i$ , where  $P_i$  are orthogonal projections on the space  $H$ . Consider the spaces  $H_i = \text{Im } P_i$  and the natural isometries  $\Gamma_i : H_i \longrightarrow H$ . Then  $\Gamma_i^* : H \longrightarrow H_i$  are epimorphisms and

$$\Gamma_i^* \Gamma_i = I_{H_i}, P_i = \Gamma_i \Gamma_i^*. \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $\mathfrak{H} = H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \dots \oplus H_n$ . Define the linear operator  $\Gamma : \mathfrak{H} \longrightarrow H$  by its Pierce decomposition,  $\Gamma = [\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_n]$ .

Since  $\Gamma \Gamma^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_i \Gamma_i^* = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i = \alpha I_H$ , we have that  $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \Gamma)(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \Gamma^*) = I_H$ , so that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \Gamma^*$  is an isometry of the space  $H$  into  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Let  $\hat{H}$  be the orthogonal complement to  $\text{Im } \Gamma^*$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

Denote by  $\sqrt{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} \Delta^*$  the natural isometry of  $\hat{H}$  into  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Then  $U^* = \left[ \sqrt{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} \Delta^*, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \Gamma^* \right]$  is a unitary operator from the space  $\hat{H} \oplus H$  onto the space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{H} = H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \dots \oplus H_n$ , the operator  $U$  has the following Pierce decomposition:

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} \Delta_1 & \sqrt{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} \Delta_2 & \dots & \sqrt{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} \Delta_n \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \Gamma_1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \Gamma_2 & \dots & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \Gamma_n \end{bmatrix},$$

$U : \mathfrak{H} \longrightarrow \hat{H} \oplus H$ ,  $\Delta_i : H_i \longrightarrow \hat{H}$ ,  $\Delta_i^* : \hat{H} \longrightarrow H_i$ . Since  $U^* U = I_{\mathfrak{H}}$ , we have that  $\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \Delta_i^* \Delta_i + \frac{1}{\alpha} \Gamma_i^* \Gamma_i = I_{H_i}$  or (since  $\Gamma_i^* \Gamma_i = I_{H_i}$ )  $\Delta_i^* \Delta_i = I_{H_i}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Moreover,  $\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \Delta_i^* \Delta_j + \frac{1}{\alpha} \Gamma_i^* \Gamma_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , so that  $\Delta_i^* \Delta_j = -\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \Gamma_i^* \Gamma_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Since  $U U^* = I_{\hat{H} \oplus H}$ , we have that  $\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} (\Delta_1 \Delta_1^* + \dots + \Delta_n \Delta_n^*) = I_{\hat{H}}$ , or  $\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \Delta_i^* = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} I_{\hat{H}}$ . Besides,  $\frac{\sqrt{\alpha-1}}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \Gamma_i^* = 0$ , i.e.,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \Gamma_i^* = 0$ . Hence, we have the following formulas:

$$\Delta_i^* \Delta_i = I_{H_i}, i = 1, \dots, n; \quad (2.2a)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \Delta_i^* = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} I_{\hat{H}}; \quad (2.2b)$$

$$\Delta_i^* \Delta_j = -\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \Gamma_i^* \Gamma_j \text{ for } i \neq j; \quad (2.2c)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \Gamma_i^* = 0. \quad (2.2d)$$

Define now the functor  $S$  as follows:  $S(\pi) = \hat{\pi}$ , where  $\hat{\pi}(p_i) = \Delta_i \Delta_i^*$ . It is easy to verify that identity (2.2a) implies that  $\Delta_i \Delta_i^*$  are orthogonal projections which are denoted in the sequel by  $Q_i$  ( $Q_i : \hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{H}$ ). Identity (2.2b) means that  $\sum_{i=1}^n Q_i = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} I_{\hat{H}}$ , that is,  $\hat{\pi}$  is a representation of the algebra  $\mathcal{P}_{n, 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha-1}}$ .

Let  $C$  be a morphism from a representation  $\pi$  to a representation  $\tilde{\pi}$ , i.e., a mapping  $C : H \rightarrow \tilde{H}$  such that  $C\pi(p_i) = \tilde{\pi}(p_i)C$ . Denote by  $C_i$  the restriction of the operator  $C$  to the space  $H_i$ ;  $C_i$  maps  $H_i$  into the space  $\tilde{H}_i$ . It is easy to see that

$$C\Gamma_i = \tilde{\Gamma}_i C_i; \quad (2.3a)$$

$$C_i \Gamma_i^* = \tilde{\Gamma}_i^* C. \quad (2.3b)$$

It follows from relations (2.3) that

$$C_i = \tilde{\Gamma}_i^* C \Gamma_i; \quad (2.4a)$$

$$C = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\Gamma}_i C_i \Gamma_i^*. \quad (2.4b)$$

Using a formula similar to formula (2.4b) we set  $\hat{C} = \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\Delta}_i C_i \Delta_i^*$ . Let us show that  $\hat{C}$  is a morphism from the representation  $\hat{\pi} = S(\pi)$  into the representation  $\hat{\tilde{\pi}} = S(\tilde{\pi})$ , i.e.,  $\hat{C}\hat{\pi}(p_i) = \hat{\tilde{\pi}}(p_i)\hat{C}$  or  $\hat{C}Q_k = \tilde{Q}_k\hat{C}$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ).

It will suffice to prove that  $\hat{C}\Delta_k = \tilde{\Delta}_k C_k$  and  $C_k \Delta_k^* = \tilde{\Delta}_k^* \hat{C}$  (then  $\hat{C}Q_k = \hat{C}\Delta_k \Delta_k^* = \tilde{\Delta}_k C_k \Delta_k^* = \tilde{\Delta}_k \tilde{\Delta}_k^* \hat{C} = \tilde{Q}_k \hat{C}$ ). We have  $\hat{C}\Delta_k = \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\Delta}_i C_i (\Delta_i^* \Delta_k)$ . By using (2.2a) and (2.2d) we get  $\hat{C}\Delta_k = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n \tilde{\Delta}_i (C_i \Gamma_i^*) \Gamma_k + \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \tilde{\Delta}_k C_k$ . It follows from (2.3b) that  $\hat{C}\Delta_k = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n \tilde{\Delta}_i \tilde{\Gamma}_i^* (C \Gamma_k) + \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \tilde{\Delta}_k C_k$ , and (2.3a) yields  $\hat{C}\Delta_k = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n \tilde{\Delta}_i \tilde{\Gamma}_i^* \tilde{\Gamma}_k C_k + \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \tilde{\Delta}_k C_k$ . Using (2.4b) we get  $\hat{C}\Delta_k = \frac{1}{\alpha} \tilde{\Delta}_k \tilde{\Gamma}_k^* \tilde{\Gamma}_k C_k + \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \tilde{\Delta}_k C_k = \frac{1}{\alpha} \tilde{\Delta}_k C_k + \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \tilde{\Delta}_k C_k = \tilde{\Delta}_k C_k$ , what was to be proved.

Similarly,  $\tilde{\Delta}_k^* \hat{C} = \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\Delta}_k^* \tilde{\Delta}_i C_i \Delta_i^* = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n \tilde{\Gamma}_k^* (\tilde{\Gamma}_i C_i) \Delta_i^* + \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} C_k \Delta_k^* = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n \tilde{\Gamma}_k^* C \Gamma_i \Delta_i^* + \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} C_k \Delta_k^* = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n C_k \Gamma_k^* \Gamma_i \Delta_i^* + \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} C_k \Delta_k^* = \frac{1}{\alpha} C_k \Gamma_k^* \Gamma_k \Delta_k^* + \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} C_k \Delta_k^* = \frac{1}{\alpha} C_k \Delta_k^* + \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} C_k \Delta_k^* = C_k \Delta_k^*$ .

Define  $S(C) = \hat{C}$ . This completes the construction of the functor  $S$ .

**Remark 2.** A more precise notation for the functor  $S$  would include indices that indicate the category  $\text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n, \alpha}$  on which it is defined, for example,  $S_{n, \alpha}$ . But it is more convenient for us to regard the functor  $S$  as being the same for each category  $\text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n, \alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ .

**Remark 3.** The restriction of the constructed unitary operator  $U : H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \dots \oplus H_n \rightarrow$

$\hat{H} \oplus H$  to the subspace  $H_i$  is the isometry  $\mathcal{B}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} \Delta_i \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \Gamma_i \end{bmatrix}$  of the space  $H_i$  into  $\hat{H} \oplus H$ ,

so that the operator  $\mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{B}_i \mathcal{B}_i^*$  is an orthogonal projection in the space  $\hat{H} \oplus H$ ,

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \Delta_i \Delta_i^* & \frac{\sqrt{\alpha-1}}{\alpha} \Delta_i \Gamma_i^* \\ \frac{\sqrt{\alpha-1}}{\alpha} \Gamma_i \Delta_i^* & \frac{1}{\alpha} \Gamma_i \Gamma_i^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} Q_i & \frac{\sqrt{\alpha-1}}{\alpha} \Delta_i \Gamma_i^* \\ \frac{\sqrt{\alpha-1}}{\alpha} \Gamma_i \Delta_i^* & \frac{1}{\alpha} P_i \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using identities (2.2) it is easy to check that  $\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2 + \dots + \mathcal{P}_n = I_{\hat{H} \oplus H}$ . We thus have constructed a concrete ‘‘joint’’ dilatation of resolutions of the identity operators  $I_{\hat{H}} =$

$\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}Q_1 + \dots + \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}Q_n$  and  $I_H = \frac{1}{\alpha}P_1 + \dots + \frac{1}{\alpha}P_n$  in the spaces  $\hat{H}$  and  $H$ , correspondingly, to a decomposition of the identity operator in the spaces  $\hat{H} \oplus H$  into a sum of orthogonal projections.

**Theorem 1.** *We have  $S^2 = \text{Id}$  (by  $\text{Id}$  we denote the identity functor on the corresponding category  $\text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha}$ ). The functor  $S$  defines an equivalence between the categories  $\text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha}$  and  $\text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n,1+\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $C_i = \tilde{\Gamma}_i^* C \Gamma_i$ ,  $C_i = \tilde{\Delta}_i^* \hat{C} \Delta_i$ , and  $C = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\Gamma}_i C_i \Gamma_i^*$ ,  $\hat{C} = \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\Delta}_i C_i \Delta_i^*$ , we have that the functor  $S$  is strict and full. Each representation  $\hat{\pi}$  in the category  $\text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n,1+\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}$  is equivalent to one of the representations  $S(\pi)$  (for example,  $S^2(\hat{\pi})$ ). The operators  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $\Delta_i$  enter the matrix  $U$  symmetrically, so that  $S^2 = \text{Id}$ .  $\blacksquare$

### 2.2.2 The Coxeter functors $\Phi^+$ and $\Phi^-$ . The Coxeter mappings $\Phi^+$ and $\Phi^-$ on $\Sigma_n$ and on the dimensions of the representations.

Define now the functors  $\Phi^+$  and  $\Phi^-$  as follows:  $\Phi^+ = ST$  for  $\alpha < n - 1$ ,  $\Phi^- = TS$  for  $\alpha > 1$ . In what follows, we call these functors the Coxeter functors on the set of categories  $\text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha}$ .

**Theorem 2.** *The functors  $\Phi^+ : \text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha} \longrightarrow \text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n,1+\frac{1}{n-1-\alpha}}$ ,  $\Phi^- : \text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha} \longrightarrow \text{Rep } \mathcal{P}_{n,n-1-\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}$  define an equivalence of the corresponding categories;  $\Phi^+ \Phi^- = \text{Id}$ ,  $\Phi^- \Phi^+ = \text{Id}$ .*

**Proof.** The proof follows in an evident way from Theorem 1 and a similar assertion for the functor  $T$ .  $\blacksquare$

The functors  $\Phi^+$ ,  $\Phi^-$ ,  $S$ ,  $T$  give rise to mappings on the sets of dimensions of representations (in the case where the representations are finite dimensional) and on the set  $\Sigma_n$ . These mappings will be denoted with the same symbols as the functors.

By the generalized dimension of a representation  $\pi$  of the algebra  $\mathcal{P}_{n,\alpha}$  on a space  $H$ , we will call the vector  $(d; d_1, \dots, d_n)$ , where  $d = \dim H$ ,  $d_i = \dim H_i$  ( $H_i = \text{Im } P_i$ ).

It is easy to see how the dimension changes when passing to the representations  $S(\pi)$  and  $T(\pi)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S(d; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) &= (\sum_{i=1}^n d_i - d; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n), \\ T(d; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) &= (d; d - d_1, d - d_2, \dots, d - d_n). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

For the set of the generalized dimension, the mappings  $\Phi^+$ ,  $\Phi^-$  are compositions of the mappings (2.5).

The number-valued mappings  $T$ ,  $S$ ,  $\Phi^+$ ,  $\Phi^-$  on  $\Sigma_n$  are given by  $T(\alpha) = n - \alpha$ ,  $S(\alpha) = 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha-1}$ ,  $\Phi^+(\alpha) = 1 + \frac{1}{n-1-\alpha}$ ,  $\Phi^-(\alpha) = n - 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha-1}$ . Denote  $\Phi^{+k}(\alpha) = \Phi^+(\Phi^{+k-1}(\alpha))$  ( $\Phi^{+0}$  is the identity mapping). Let  $\Phi^{+k}(\alpha) = 1 + \frac{a_{k-1}}{a_k}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Then  $\vec{a} = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$  is a linear recurrence sequence with the characteristic polynomial  $F(x) = x^2 - (n-2)x + 1$  and the initial vector  $(1, n-1-\alpha)$ . As is well known, the linear space  $L(F)$  of all linear recurrence sequences with a fixed characteristic polynomial  $F(x)$  is a module over the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{R}[x]$  if setting  $x\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$  (a shift to the left by one position). Here,





**Lemma 5.** *If  $[3/2, 2] \subset \Sigma_5$ , then  $[2, n-2] \subset \Sigma_n$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $[3/2, 2] \subset \Sigma_5$ . By applying the functor  $\Phi^-$  to the line segment  $[3/2, 2]$ , we get, by Lemma 3, that  $[2, 3] \subset \Sigma_5$ . Now, using induction on  $n$  we prove that  $[2, n-2] \subset \Sigma_n$  for  $n \geq 5$ . Let  $k \geq 5$  and  $[2, k-2] \subset \Sigma_k$ . Since  $\Sigma_k \subset \Sigma_{k+1}$ , we have that  $[2, k-2] \subset \Sigma_{k+1}$ . If  $\alpha \in [k-2, (k+1)-2]$ , the number  $(\alpha-1) \in \Sigma_k$ , and so there is a representation  $P_1 + \dots + P_k = (\alpha-1)I$ , where  $P_i$  are certain projections from  $L(H)$ . By setting  $P_{k+1} = I$ , we get  $P_1 + \dots + P_{k+1} = \alpha I$ . Hence,  $[k-2, (k+1)-2] \subset \Sigma_{k+1}$  and, consequently,  $[2, (k+1)-2] \subset \Sigma_{k+1}$ . ■

**Lemma 6.** *If  $[2, n-2] \subset \Sigma_n$ , then  $(\frac{n-\sqrt{n^2-4n}}{2}, \frac{n+\sqrt{n^2-4n}}{2}) \subset \Sigma_n$ .*

**Proof.** The mapping  $\Phi^+$  is continuous. So, since  $\Phi^+(2) = 1 + \frac{1}{n-3}$  and  $\Phi^+(n-2) = 2$ , we have that  $[1 + \frac{1}{n-3}, 2] \subset \Sigma_n$ . Now,  $\Phi^+(1 + \frac{1}{n-3}) = 1 + \frac{1}{n-2-\frac{1}{n-3}}$  and  $\Phi^+(2) = 1 + \frac{1}{n-3}$ , that is,  $[1 + \frac{1}{n-2-\frac{1}{n-3}}, 1 + \frac{1}{n-3}] \subset \Sigma_n$ . By continuing this process, we see that  $(\beta_n, 2] \subset \Sigma_n$ , where  $\beta_n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi^{+k}(2) = \frac{n-\sqrt{n^2-4n}}{2}$ . Using the mapping  $T$  we get that  $\Sigma_n$  contains the interval  $[n-2, n-\beta_n)$  and, consequently,  $(\beta_n, n-\beta_n) \subset \Sigma_n$ . ■

**Lemma 7.**  $(3/2, 2) \subset \Sigma_5$ .

Before proving the lemma, let us prove two auxiliary results. Everywhere in the sequel,  $\alpha \in (3/2, 2)$  and  $\epsilon = \alpha - 1$ .

We will need the following definition.

**Definition 1.** By a *sewing* of the matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \dots & a_{mm} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & \dots & b_{1l} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{l1} & \dots & b_{ll} \end{pmatrix},$$

we mean the matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1m-1} & a_{1m} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \dots & a_{mm-1} & a_{mm} + b_{11} & b_{12} & \dots & b_{1l} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & b_{l1} & b_{l2} & \dots & b_{ll} \end{pmatrix},$$

which is denoted in the sequel by  $A \tilde{+} B$ .

It follows directly from the definition that if the matrices  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k$  are projections, then the matrix  $P_1 \tilde{+} P_2 \tilde{+} \dots \tilde{+} P_k$  is a sum of  $k$  projections (the matrix  $P_i$  is augmented with zero rows and zero columns if necessary as to get the needed dimension). In particular, if  $0 \leq x \leq 2$  and  $\tau = (x-1)^2$ , then the matrix  $(1) \tilde{+} \begin{pmatrix} \tau & \sqrt{\tau-\tau^2} \\ \sqrt{\tau-\tau^2} & 1-\tau \end{pmatrix}$  with the spectrum  $\{x, 2-x\}$  is a sum of the two projections,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} \tau & \sqrt{\tau-\tau^2} \\ \sqrt{\tau-\tau^2} & 1-\tau \end{pmatrix}$ .

Then the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 - \tau_1 & \sqrt{\tau_1 - \tau_1^2} \\ \sqrt{\tau_1 - \tau_1^2} & \tau_1 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{+} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 2 - x \end{pmatrix}$  is a sum of three projections. It is easy to check that if  $\epsilon \leq x \leq \alpha$ ,  $\tau_1 = \frac{\epsilon(\alpha-x)}{x}$ , then it has the spectrum  $\{x - \epsilon, \alpha, 2 - x\}$ .

Let us prove the following statement.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $\epsilon \leq a \leq \alpha$  and, for some  $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , the inequalities  $0 < 2 - a - k\epsilon \leq \epsilon$  hold. Then the matrix  $\text{diag}\{a, \underbrace{\alpha, \dots, \alpha}_{k \text{ times}}, 2 - a - k\epsilon\}$  is a sum of three projections.*

**Proof.** The cases where  $k = 0$  and  $k = 1$  have been considered above. Let  $k = 2$  and  $0 < 2 - a - k\epsilon \leq \epsilon$ . Set

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} (a + \epsilon)/2 & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2a + 2\epsilon - (a + \epsilon)^2} \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2a + 2\epsilon - (a + \epsilon)^2} & 1 - (a + \epsilon)/2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} (a + \epsilon)/2 & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2a + 2\epsilon - (a + \epsilon)^2} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2a + 2\epsilon - (a + \epsilon)^2} & 1 - (a + \epsilon)/2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$\tau_1 = \frac{\epsilon(1-a)}{a+\epsilon}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \frac{\epsilon(a+2\epsilon-1)}{2-a-\epsilon}$ . Then the spectrum of the matrix

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \tau_1 & \sqrt{\tau_1 - \tau_1^2} \\ \sqrt{\tau_1 - \tau_1^2} & \tau_1 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{+} (Q + R) \tilde{+} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_2 & \sqrt{\tau_2 - \tau_2^2} \\ \sqrt{\tau_2 - \tau_2^2} & 1 - \tau_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

consists of the points  $a, \alpha, \alpha, 2 - a - 2\epsilon$ , counting the multiplicity. Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - \tau_1 & \sqrt{\tau_1 - \tau_1^2} \\ \sqrt{\tau_1 - \tau_1^2} & \tau_1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \tau_2 & \sqrt{\tau_2 - \tau_2^2} \\ \sqrt{\tau_2 - \tau_2^2} & 1 - \tau_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a projection, the matrix  $D$ , as well as any matrix that is equivalent to it, can also be represented as a sum of three projections. ■

Let us now consider sums of five projections.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $1 \leq b \leq \alpha$ . Then for some  $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , we have  $0 < 3 - b - k\epsilon \leq \epsilon$  and there exist five projections  $P_1, \dots, P_5$  such that  $\sum_1^5 P_i = \text{diag}\{b, \underbrace{\alpha, \dots, \alpha}_{k \text{ times}}, 3 - b - k\epsilon\}$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $1 \leq b \leq \alpha$ , we have that  $\epsilon < 3 - \alpha \leq 3 - b \leq 2 \leq 4\epsilon$ . Whence,  $0 < 3 - b - k\epsilon \leq \epsilon$  for some  $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Let now  $b$  and  $k$  be fixed and  $0 < 3 - b - k\epsilon \leq \epsilon$ . Define

$$Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} b/2 & \sqrt{b/2 - b^2/4} \\ \sqrt{b/2 - b^2/4} & 1 - b/2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} b/2 & -\sqrt{b/2 - b^2/4} \\ -\sqrt{b/2 - b^2/4} & 1 - b/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows from Proposition 4 that for the number  $a = \alpha - (2 - b)$  there exist projections  $Q_3, Q_4$ , and  $Q_5$  such that

$$Q_3 + Q_4 + Q_5 = \text{diag}\{a, \underbrace{\alpha, \dots, \alpha}_{k-1 \text{ times}}, 2 - a - (k-1)\epsilon\}.$$

The matrix  $D = (Q_1 + Q_2) \tilde{+} (Q_3 + Q_4 + Q_5)$  can be represented as a sum of five projections  $P_1, \dots, P_5$  constructed from the matrices  $Q_1, \dots, Q_5$  using the sewing operation. At the same time,  $D = \text{diag}\{b, \underbrace{\alpha, \dots, \alpha}_{k \text{ times}}, 3 - b - k\epsilon\}$ . ■

**Remark 4.** The matrices  $P_1, \dots, P_5$  from Proposition 5 satisfy the following condition:

$$P_1 \operatorname{diag} \{0, \dots, 0, 1\} = P_2 \operatorname{diag} \{0, \dots, 0, 1\} = 0,$$

$$P_3 \operatorname{diag} \{1, 0, \dots, 0\} = P_4 \operatorname{diag} \{1, 0, \dots, 0\} = P_5 \operatorname{diag} \{1, 0, \dots, 0\} = 0.$$

Hence, the matrices  $\underbrace{P_i \tilde{+} \dots \tilde{+} P_i}_{l \text{ times}}, l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$ , are also projections for  $i = 1, \dots, 5$ .

**Proof of Lemma 7.** Let  $b_1 = \alpha$ . It follows from Proposition 5 that there exist a number  $k_1$  and projections  $P_1^1, \dots, P_5^1$  such that  $\sum_1^5 P_i^1 = \operatorname{diag} \{b_1, \underbrace{\alpha, \dots, \alpha}_{k_1 \text{ times}}, 3 - b_1 - k_1 \epsilon\}$ . By

choosing  $b_2 = \alpha - (3 - b_1 - k_1 \epsilon)$  (clearly,  $1 \leq b_2 \leq \alpha$ ) and using the constructions in Proposition 5, we find projections  $P_1^2, \dots, P_5^2$  such that  $\sum_1^5 P_i^2 = \operatorname{diag} \{b_2, \underbrace{\alpha, \dots, \alpha}_{k_2 \text{ times}}, 3 - b_2 - k_2 \epsilon\}$ . Continuing this process we choose  $b_s$  by the formula  $b_s = \alpha - (3 - b_{s-1} - k_{s-1} \epsilon)$  and find a sequence of projections  $P_1^s, \dots, P_5^s, s = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . It follows from Remark 4 that  $P_i = P_i^1 \tilde{+} P_i^2 \tilde{+} P_i^3 \tilde{+} \dots$  are projections in  $l_2$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . Moreover, by the construction,  $\sum_1^5 P_i = \alpha I$ . ■

**Remark 5.** Let us note that the inclusion  $[3/2; 5/2] \subset \Sigma_5$  is proved in [16] by using another method.

Lemmas 5, 6, and 7 give  $(\frac{n - \sqrt{n^2 - 4n}}{2}, \frac{n + \sqrt{n^2 - 4n}}{2}) \subset \Sigma_n$ .

The proof of the theorem is concluded by the following lemma proved by V. S. Shulman [17] in a more general situation. We will give a proof of the lemma.

**Lemma 8.** *The set  $\Sigma_n$  is closed.*

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha_k \in \Sigma_n, P_j^{(k)} \in L(H_k)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) be projections such that  $\sum_{j=1}^n P_j^{(k)} = \alpha_k I_{H_k}$  and  $\alpha_k$  converges to  $\alpha$ . Consider the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of uniformly norm bounded sequences of operators  $X_k \in L(H_k)$  and a closed two-sided  $*$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of sequences converging to zero with respect to the norm. Consider also the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$ . Denote by  $\pi$  the quotient mapping  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ . Then the projections  $P_j = (P_j^{(1)}, P_j^{(2)}, P_j^{(3)}, \dots) \in \mathcal{A}$  define the projections  $\pi(P_j) \in \mathcal{B}$ . Here  $\sum_{j=1}^n \pi(P_j) = \alpha I_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Since the abstract  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is isomorphic to a concrete  $C^*$ -algebra of operators, the lemma is proved. ■

## References

- [1] Klyachko A. A. Stable bundles, representation theory and Hermitian operators. *Selecta Math.* **4** (1998), 419–445.
- [2] Fulton W. Eigenvalues, invariant factors, highest weights and Schubert calculus. *Bull. of the AMS* **37** No. 3 (2000), 209–249.
- [3] Rabanovich V. I., Samoilenko Yu. S. When the sum of idempotents or projections is a multiple of the identity. *Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen.* **34**, no. 4 (2000), 91–93; English transl. *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **34**, no. 4 (2000), 311–313.

- 
- [4] Rabanovich V. I., Samoilenko Yu. S. When a scalar operator can be represented as a sum of projections. *Ukr. Mat. Zh.* **53**, no. 7 (2001), 939–952; English transl. *Ukr. Math. J.* **53**, no. 7 (2001), 1116–1133.
- [5] Kruglyak S. A., Rabanovich V. I., Samoilenko Yu. S. *Funktsional'nyi Analiz i ego Prilozheniya* **36**, No 3 (2002), 20–35.
- [6] Kruglyak S. A. Coxeter functors for a certain class of  $*$ -quivers. *Ukrain Mat. Zh.* **54** No. 6 (2002), 789–797 (Russian); translation in *Ukrainian Math. J.* **53** No. 7 (2001).
- [7] Bernshtein I. I., Gel'fand I. M., Ponomarev V. A. Coxeter functors and a theorem of Gabriel. *Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk*, Vol. XXVIII, No. 2 (1973) 19–33. (Russian).
- [8] Jones V. Index for subfactors. *Invent. Math.* **72** (1983), 1–25.
- [9] Fillmore P. A. On sums of projections. *J. Funct. Anal.* **4** (1969), 146–152.
- [10] Wang J.-H. Decomposition of operators into quadratic type, Ph.D. dissertation., National Chia Tung Univ., Hsinchu, Taiwan, 1991.
- [11] Wu P. Y. Additive combinations of special operators. In: *Functional Analysis and Operator Theory*, Banach Center Publ., vol. 30, Warszawa, 1994, pp. 337–361.
- [12] Bespalov Yu. V. Collections of orthogonal projections satisfying relations. *Ukrain Mat. Zh.* **44**, no. 3 (1992), 309–317 (Russian); translation in *Ukrainian Math. J.* **44**, no. 3 (1992).
- [13] Ehrhardt T. Sums of four idempotents. (preprint), Chemnitz, 1995.
- [14] Ostrovskii V., Samoilenko Yu. Introduction to the Theory Representation of Finitely Presented  $*$ -algebras. 1. Representations by bounded operators. *Rev. Math. & Math Phys.* vol. 11 1–261, Gordon and Breach (1999).
- [15] Strelets A. V., Rabanovich V. I., Samoilenko Yu. S. On identities in the algebras  $\mathcal{Q}_{n,\lambda}$  generated by idempotents. *Ukrain Mat. Zh.* **53**, no. 10 (2001), 1380–1390 (Russian); translation in *Ukrainian Math. J.* **53** no. 10 (2001), 1380–1390.
- [16] Ehrhardt T. On sums of five projections equal to a multiple of the identity. (preprint), Chemnitz, 2000.
- [17] Shulman V. S. On representations of limit relations. *Meth. Func. Anal. Topology* **7**, no. 4 (2002), 85–87.