







for future development of students.

## Acknowledgement

This work was supported by teaching reform project of higher vocational education research in Zhejiang Institute of Communications which is named classroom teaching reform and practice of the website design course of medium-sized enterprises.

## References

- [1] Edward F. Crawley, Rethinking Engineering Education:the CDIO Approach[M], Beijing: Higher Education Press, 2009.
- [2] ZHA Jianzhong, HE Yongshan, Three Major Strategies of the Chinese Engineering Education Reform[M], Beijing: Beijing Institute of Technology Press, 2009.
- [3] ZHA Jianzhong, HE Yongshan, Reform of University Teaching Exam and Innovative Personnel Training[J], Journal of Education and Vocational, 2011(32)33-34.
- [4] FANG Zhenyu, Approaches of Cultivating Innovative Software Engineering Talents in Higher Educational Institutions[J], Journal of Zhejiang Normal University(Social Sciences), 2012(37) 89-93.
- [5] Lin Ling, Discussion of Team and Project Driving Teaching Models in Software Engineering[J], Journal of Fujian Normal University( Natural Science Edition), 2011(27)14-17.




Fig.1. Web project development process and task decomposition

Table I. the main content and the weight of course assessment methods

Time	Method	Proportion	Standard	
Usual	Usual performance	20%	Assess according to the usual attendance, work performance etc.	
	Project practice	30%	<i>Score</i>	<i>Score standards</i>
			Excellent (90~100)	All tasks are successfully completed, the web system can be normally operated
			Good (80~89)	Basic tasks are completed, the web project has some defects
			Pass (60~79)	Finish part tasks(more than 60%), need help to basically complete
Fail (<60)	Most tasks were unfinished (more than 40%), web project structure is a mess.			
Final	Project defense	10%	Assess by defense, individual project summary.	
	Final exam	40%	Assess by the final score.	