

middle level, and need to make more measures to improve campus security.

For E, σ_1 is very lower, σ_3 is far more larger than σ_2 but σ_1 which means it has more indicators belong to strong level, which means it is more obvious than other two levels.

For D, it is similar to A, it also need to make more measures to improve campus security.

C is belong to middle level. Further comparing σ_1 , σ_2 and σ_3 , we know that σ_2 is close to σ_3 and larger than σ_1 , which means it has more features of strong level than that of lower level.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] Lui Sifeng. Entropy-effectiveness grey system theory and application. Beijing science press .1999(3).pp.95-102.
- [2] Liuwei, study on the campus emergency management capacity. China university of mining and technology, 2009.
- [3] Li Mingsheng, He Tianxiang. Comprehensive evaluation of sustainable development about regional foreign trade . Explorations,2005(2),pp.8-11.
- [4] Cozman, F. G. Graphical models for imprecise probabilities. International Journal of Approximate Reasoning, 2005, 39(2): 167—184.
- [5] Zhihong Yu, Guoyou Ren. Study on evaluation index of campus security based on analytical hierarchy process. Journal of Safety Science and Technology, 2009(10):50-54.
- [6] Yu Jian-xin, Liu Huan-chun Wang. Campus Security Assessment Based on Hierarchy-grey Theory. Safety and Environmental Engineering,2011(3): 43-46.
- [7] Pi Zu-xun, Liu He-qing. Grey Incidence Assessment Model for Campus Safety and Its Application. China Safety Science Journal, 2008(6): 134-140.
- [8] Yang Jin. Evaluation of emergency management executive capability of the unexpected accidents in the universities. Science-Technology and Management. 2008(5): 116-119.
- [9] Tian Yilin, Yang Qing. Study of the Evaluation index system model of the Emergency capability on Emergency. journal of basic science and engineering. 2008(4): 200-207.
- [10] Wang ruilan. Research on the performance Evaluation index system for government's emergency management. journal of Anhui university. 2009(1):35-39.
- [11] Adam, Rostis. Make no mistake: the effectiveness of the lessons-learned approach to emergency management in Canada. International Journal of Emergency Management, 2007, 4(2): 197-210.
- [12] Fagioli, E., Zaffalon, M. 2U: An exact interval propagation algorithm for polytrees with binary variables[J]. Artificial Intelligence, 1998, 106(1): 77—107
- [13] Zhang Lianwen, Guo haipeng. Introduction to Bayesian Network. Beijing science and technology press, 2006
- [14] Saaty T L. The analytic hierarchy process: planning setting priorities, resource allocation[M]. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1980.